

A simpler, fairer, and more efficient tax system is critical to achieving many of the President's fiscal and economic goals. At a time when middle-class and working parents remain anxious about how they will meet their families' needs, the tax system does not do enough to reward hard work, support working families, or create opportunity. After decades of rising income and wealth inequality, the tax system continues to favor unearned over earned income, and a porous capital gains tax system lets the wealthy shelter hundreds of billions of dollars from taxes each year. In a period where an aging population will put increasing pressure on the Federal budget, a wide range of inefficient tax breaks prevents the tax system from raising the level of revenue the Nation needs. The U.S. needs to invest in building an American transportation system that supports a competitive 21st Century economy -- innovative, sustainable, and capable of integrating new technologies and speeding goods to market -- while reducing reliance on oil, cutting carbon pollution, and strengthening resilience to the impacts of climate change. And while commerce around the world is increasingly interconnected, an out-of-date, loophole-ridden business tax system puts U.S. companies at a disadvantage relative to their competitors, while also failing to encourage investment in the United States.

The tax proposals outlined in this chapter address each of these challenges. The Budget would reform and simplify tax incentives that help families afford child care, pay for college, and save for retirement, while expanding tax benefits that support and reward work. It would pay for these changes by reforming the system of capital gains taxation and by imposing a new fee on large, heavilyleveraged financial firms, and it would raise revenue for deficit reduction by curbing high-income tax benefits and closing loopholes. The Budget also supports sustained investment in a 21st Century Clean Transportation Plan while providing for the long-term solvency of the new Transportation Trust Fund by levying a new fee on oil, paid by oil companies. Finally, the Budget includes proposals to broaden the business tax base, strengthen incentives for research and clean energy, grow and create innovative small businesses, and reform the international tax system.

Going forward, the President is committed to working with the Congress and other stakeholders to build on the foundation laid by the Budget to create a tax system that is fair, simple, and efficient—one that is right for the 21st Century American economy.

ESTIMATES OF GOVERNMENTAL RECEIPTS

Governmental receipts (on-budget and off-budget) are taxes and other collections from the public that result from the exercise of the Federal Government's

18.3

18.1

18.9

19.4

sovereign or governmental powers. The difference between governmental receipts and outlays is the surplus or deficit.

20.0

19.9

19.9

19.9

20.0

19.8

Table 12–1. RECEIPTS BY SOURCE—SUMMARY (In billions of dollars)

Estimate 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 Actual 2026 1,540.8 1,627.8 1,788.0 1,891.3 1,985.0 2,106.3 2,221.9 2,339.1 2,460.7 2,585.9 2,716.4 2,853.4 Individual income taxes Corporation income taxes 343.8 292.6 418.7 492.8 525.2 574.7 582.4 554.1 537.0 545.9 556.4 567.8 Social insurance and retirement receipts 1,065.3 1,100.8 1,141.2 1,191.1 1,239.7 1,286.5 1,351.8 1,416.5 1,478.6 1,546.4 1,614.0 1,694.7 (440.7)(On-budget) (294.9)(303.1)(314.3)(327.9)(341.5)(354.6)(371.6)(388.9)(406.5)(422.9)(462.3)(980.2) (Off-budget) (770.4)(797.7)(826.9)(863.3)(898.2)(931.9)(1,027.5)(1,072.0)(1,123.5)(1,173.3)(1,232.4)Excise taxes 98.3 96.8 110.1 142.9 152.6 164.6 178.2 189.0 192.7 196.5 201.0 206.1 Estate and gift taxes 19.2 21.1 22.4 31.5 34.0 36.7 39.8 43.0 46.7 50.9 55.5 60.2 35.0 36.7 39.5 39.9 41.0 42.4 43.8 45.2 46.5 47.7 48.9 50.3 Customs duties 97.6 104.6 145.1 Miscellaneous receipts 147.5 159.7 122.8 102.1 114.0 123.8 131.6 139.2 152.8 7.0 20.0 30.0 40.0 45.0 64.0 74.0 Allowance for immigration reform 1.0 55.0 84.0 Total, receipts 3,249.9 3.335.5 3,643.7 3,898.6 4,095.1 4,345.7 4,572.0 4,755.8 4,948.9 5,411.2 5.669.3 5,176.5 (On-budget) (2,479.5)(2,537.8)(2,816.9)(3,035.4)(3,196.8)(3,413.8)(3,591.8)(3,728.3)(3,876.8)(4,053.0)(4,237.9)(4,436.9)(Off-budget) (770.4)(797.7)(826.9)(863.3)(898.2)(931.9)(980.2)(1,027.5)(1,072.0)(1,123.5)(1,173.3)(1,232.4)Total receipts as a percentage of

19.5

20.0

The Federal Government also collects income from the public from market-oriented activities. Collections from these activities, which are subtracted from gross outlays, rather than added to taxes and other governmental receipts, are discussed in the next Chapter.

Total governmental receipts (hereafter referred to as "receipts") are estimated to be \$3,335.5 billion in 2016, an increase of \$85.6 billion or 2.6 percent from 2015. The estimated increase in 2016 is largely due to increases in payroll taxes and individual income taxes. Receipts in 2016 are estimated to be 18.1 percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which is lower than in 2015, when receipts were 18.3 percent of GDP.

Receipts are estimated to rise to \$3,643.7 billion in 2017, an increase of \$308.2 billion or 9.2 percent relative to 2016. Receipts are projected to grow at an average annual rate of 5.8 percent between 2017 and 2021, rising to \$4,572.0 billion. Receipts are projected to rise to \$5,669.3 billion in 2026, growing at an average annual rate of 4.4 percent between 2021 and 2026. This growth is largely due to assumed increases in incomes resulting from both real economic growth and inflation, as well as the effect of the Budget's receipt proposals.

As a share of GDP, receipts are projected to increase from 18.1 percent in 2016 to 18.9 percent in 2017, and to rise to 20.0 percent in 2026.

LEGISLATION ENACTED IN 2015 THAT AFFECTS GOVERNMENTAL RECEIPTS

Several laws were enacted during 2015 that affect receipts. The major provisions of those laws that have a significant impact on receipts are described below.¹

TERRORISM RISK INSURANCE PROGRAM REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-1)

This Act, which was signed into law by President Obama on January 12, 2015, extended the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program for six years through December 31, 2020, and made major reforms to the program. These reforms reduced tax-payer exposure, increased private sector contributions, and better positioned the Program for future transition to the private sector. The Act also established a National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers (NARAB) as a mechanism for insurance producers to be licensed to sell insurance in States other than their home State without having to be separately licensed in each State.

MEDICARE ACCESS AND CHIP REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-10)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on April 16, 2015. The major provisions of this Act that affect receipts are described below.

Permanently extend the work-related transitional medical assistance (TMA) program.—This Act permanently extended the TMA program, which requires States to provide continued medical coverage for certain families who would otherwise become ineligible for Medicaid because of increased earnings. Some of those families would no longer be enrolled in employment-based health insurance or Marketplace qualified health plans. This will increase tax revenues and reduce outlays associated with the premium tax credit.

Extend the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).—This Act extended CHIP through 2017, which would reduce enrollment in employment-based health insurance and Marketplace qualified health plans. This

will increase tax revenues and reduce outlays associated

providers with delinquent tax debt.—Under prior law, the Department of the Treasury was authorized to continuously levy up to 30 percent of a payment to a Medicare provider to collect delinquent tax debt. This Act increased this authority to 100 percent, effective for payments made more than 180 days after the date of enactment.

TRADE PREFERENCES EXTENSION ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-27)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on June 29, 2015. The major provisions of this Act that affect receipts are described below.

Extend the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP).—Under GSP, which expired under prior law on July 31, 2013, the United States provided nonreciprocal elimination of duties on up to 5,000 products from 122 developing countries. Generally, duty-free treatment of imported goods from GSP-designated developing countries applied to products that are not considered import-sensitive, with many used as inputs by U.S. companies to manufacture goods in the United States. Under this Act, GSP was renewed retroactively to August 1, 2013, and extended through December 31, 2017.

Extend the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA).—Under AGOA, the United States provides nonreciprocal tariff reductions to roughly 40 eligible sub-Saharan African countries for certain goods that the United States imports. This Act extended the authority for reduced tariffs under AGOA, which were set to expire at the end of September 30, 2015, through September 30, 2025. This Act also extended the special rule that would apply to certain lesser-developed sub-Saharan countries under AGOA. Under this rule, a lesser-developed country may export duty-free to the United States any apparel good that is assembled within the country, regardless of the origin of the fabric or yarn. In addition, this Act revised the rules of origin for AGOA beneficiary countries under GSP and provided the Executive Branch more flexibility to withdraw, suspend, or limit benefits under

with the premium tax credit.

Increase levy authority for payments to Medicare

¹ In the discussions of enacted legislation, years referred to are calendar years, unless otherwise noted.

AGOA and undertake an out-of-cycle review of a country's AGOA eligibility.

Extend preferential duty treatment for Haiti.— Under the Haitian Hemispheric Opportunity through Partnership Encouragement Act (HOPE) and related programs, certain textile and apparel goods that the United States imports from Haiti are eligible for duty-free treatment if restrictions regarding the source of the yarns and fabrics used in the imported goods are met. Under prior law, some of these trade benefits for Haiti were scheduled to expire beginning in 2016. This Act extended the duty-free status for qualifying goods from Haiti through September 30, 2025. Special rules regarding the duty-free entry of apparel articles, including woven articles and certain knit articles assembled in Haiti and imported by the United States from Haiti or the Dominican Republic were extended through December 19, 2025.

Reinstate, extend, and modify the health coverage tax credit (HCTC).—Under prior law, the HCTC was provided to eligible individuals for a portion of the cost of qualified health insurance for the individual and qualifying family members. Qualified individuals included those eligible for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) or alternative TAA, and certain retired workers whose pensions were paid by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) and who were not eligible for Medicare. This refundable tax credit, which expired on December 31, 2013, was advanced to eligible individuals and families for health coverage on a monthly basis applied to their health plan premium or paid as a credit on their Federal tax returns. Under this Act the HCTC was reinstated retroactively to January 1, 2014, and extended through December 31, 2019. The credit rate was set at 72.5 percent of premiums paid for qualifying health insurance (the last rate in effect under prior law). The Act also provided that an eligible individual could not claim both the HCTC and the premium tax credit provided under the Affordable Care Act (ACA) for the same coverage for the same month and that individual health insurance coverage purchased through the Health Insurance Marketplace is qualified coverage for coverage months in 2014 and 2015.

Modify tariff classification of certain articles.— This Act established new categories in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States for recreational performance outerwear, effective for such articles entering the United States or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption 180 days after the date of enactment. This Act also modified the definition of protective active footwear and reduced the duty rate on such articles effective for such articles entering the United States or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption 15 days after the date of enactment.

Modify the timing of estimated tax payments by corporations.—Corporations generally are required to pay their income tax liability in quarterly estimated payments. For corporations that keep their accounts on a calendar year basis, these payments are due on or before April 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15. If these dates fall on a holiday or weekend, payment is due on the next business day. This Act increased the estimated

tax payments due in July through September by corporations with assets of at least \$1 billion to 108 percent of the amount otherwise due in 2020. For corporations affected by this provision, the next required estimated tax payment is reduced accordingly.

Require payee statement to claim certain education tax benefits.—Under this Act, except as otherwise provided by the Secretary of the Treasury, a taxpayer may not claim the American Opportunity tax credit (AOTC), the Hope Scholarship tax credit, the Lifetime Learning tax credit, or a tax deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses unless the taxpayer or the taxpayer's dependent receives a payee statement containing the student's taxpayer identification number (TIN) and other information. This provision is effective for taxable years beginning after the date of enactment.

Establish special rule for educational institutions unable to collect TINs of individuals with respect to higher education tuition and related expenses.—Under this Act, information reporting penalties are not imposed on eligible educational institutions for failure to provide the student's TIN on Form 1098-T if the institution contemporaneously certifies under penalties of perjury that it has complied with standards promulgated by the Secretary of the Treasury for obtaining the TIN. This provision is effective for returns required to be made and statements required to be furnished after December 31, 2015.

Increase penalty for failure to file correct information returns and provide payee statements.—This Act increased penalties for failure to file correct information returns and correct payee statements, and for the intentional disregard of such requirements. This provision is effective for returns and statements required to be filed after December 31, 2015.

Disallow refundable child tax credit for taxpayers electing to exclude foreign earned income from tax.—This Act disallowed any taxpayer who elects to exclude from gross income any amount of foreign earned income or foreign housing costs from claiming the refundable portion of the child tax credit for the taxable year. This change is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND VETERANS HEALTH CARE CHOICE IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-41)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on July 31, 2015. The major provisions of this Act that affect receipts are described below.

Modify mortgage reporting requirements.—Under prior law, mortgage lenders who received interest from a borrower of \$600 or more on any mortgage for any calendar year were required to include on their information returns the following items: (1) the name and address of the borrower; (2) the amount of interest received; and (3) the amount of points received. Effective for returns required to be filed and statements required to be furnished after December 31, 2016, this Act required mortgage lend-

ers to include the following additional information: (1) the outstanding principal on the mortgage as of the beginning of the calendar year; (2) the mortgage origination date; and (3) the address (or other description in the case of property without an address) of the property that secures the mortgage.

Require consistency between estate tax value and income tax basis of assets acquired from a decedent.—This Act imposes a consistency requirement on the recipient of property inherited from a decedent if that property increases the estate's Federal estate tax liability: the recipient's initial basis in that inherited property may not exceed the final value of that property for federal estate tax purposes. A penalty is imposed on any underpayment of tax attributable to any inconsistent estate basis. In addition, the Act requires the executor of any estate subject to Federal estate tax to furnish the Department of the Treasury and each person acquiring any interest in property included in the decedent's gross estate a statement identifying the estate tax value of the person's interest in such property. This statute is intended to ensure that beneficiaries do not overstate the basis of an inherited property, and thus understate the tax liability, at the time of sale and applies to property with respect to which an estate tax return is filed after July 31, 2015.

Clarify six-year statute of limitations in the case of overstatement of basis.—In general, the amount of any tax imposed under the Internal Revenue Code must be assessed within three years after the return is filed by the taxpayer. However, among other exceptions to this general rule, if a taxpayer omits from gross income an amount properly includible that is in excess of 25 percent of the amount of gross income stated in the return, the tax may be assessed at any time within six years after the return was filed. Under this Act, "an understatement of gross income by reason of an overstatement of unrecovered cost or other basis" is to be included as an omission in determining the amount of the understatement of gross income for purposes of applying the six-year statute of limitations. This provision applies to returns filed after July 31, 2015 and returns filed on or before that date if the period of limitations on assessment with respect to such return has not expired as of that date.

Modify certain due dates.—Under this Act, the tax return due date for filing tax returns of partnerships and S corporations is March 15 following the close of the calendar year (or the fifteenth day of the third month following the close of the fiscal year, in the case of a fiscal-year filer) and the tax return due date for filing tax returns of C corporations is April 15 following the close of the calendar year (or the fifteenth day of the fourth month following the close of the fiscal year). This Act also increased the automatic three-month extension for filing a tax return for a corporation to six months, except in the case of C corporations with a taxable year that ends on December 31 and begins before January 1, 2026: (1) there is a five-month automatic extension; and (2) C corporations with a taxable year that ends on June 30 and begins before January 1, 2026, the automatic extension is seven

months. These changes are generally effective for returns for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015. For C corporations with a year that ends on June 30, the change in the tax return due date is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2025.

Extend the ability of employers to transfer excess pension assets to retiree health accounts.—This Act extended the ability of employers to transfer excess assets of a defined benefit pension plan to a retiree medical account for four years to apply to such transfers made after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2026.

Equalize excise taxes on liquefied natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas, and compressed natural gas.—This Act adjusted the excise taxes on a gallon of liquefied natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas, and compressed natural gas on an energy-equivalent basis with a gallon of gasoline or diesel. These changes apply to any sale or use of such fuel after December 31, 2015.

Modify Internal Revenue Code with regard to health care for veterans.—Under this Act, effective for months beginning after December 31, 2015, a veteran receiving medical care under any law administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for a service-connected disability cannot be denied eligibility for a health savings account merely because the individual receives such care. In addition, effective for months beginning after December 31, 2013, an individual with medical coverage under TRICARE or a Department of Veterans Affairs health program for a month shall not be taken into account for such month as an employee solely for purposes of determining whether an employer is large enough to be subject to the employer shared responsibility provisions under the Affordable Care Act (ACA).

AIRPORT AND AIRWAY EXTENSION ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-55)

This Act, which was signed into law by President Obama on September 30, 2015, extended the authority to collect taxes that fund the Airport and Airway Trust Fund through March 31, 2016. The prior law exemption from domestic and international air passenger ticket taxes provided for aircraft in fractional ownership aircraft programs was also extended through that date. These taxes had been scheduled to expire after September 30, 2015, under prior law.

BIPARTISAN BUDGET ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-74)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on November 2, 2015. The major provisions of this Act that affect receipts are described below.

Allow adjustments to mortality tables used by defined benefit pension plans.—Under prior law, private sector-defined benefit pension plans generally had to use mortality tables prescribed by the Department of the Treasury for purposes of calculating pension liabilities. Plans could apply to use a separate mortality table only under certain conditions. Under this Act, effective

for plan years beginning after December 31, 2015, the determination of whether a plan has credible mortality information shall be made in accordance with established actuarial credibility theory, which is materially different from prior law rules. A plan will be allowed to use mortality tables that are adjusted from the tables provided by the Department of the Treasury tables if such adjustments are based on a plan's experience.

Extend current funding stabilization percentages for single-employer pension funding rules.—Under prior law, the interest rates for valuing single-employer defined benefit pension plan liabilities for plan years 2012 through 2017 were deemed not to vary more than 10 percent from the average interest rates over the prior 25 years. That interest rate corridor increased by five percent per year through 2021, and remained permanently at 30 percent in each subsequent year. Under this Act, the corridor on interest rates will remain at 10 percent through 2019 and will increase by five percent per year through 2023, at which point the corridor will remain permanently at 30 percent.

Repeal automatic enrollment in health plans by large employers.—This Act repealed the prior-law requirement that employers with more than 200 full-time employees automatically enroll new full-time employees in a health plan if one is offered by that employer, and continue the enrollment of current employees in a health plan offered by the employer. Prior to repeal, employers had not been required to comply with this provision, as regulations had not been issued.

Adjust civil monetary penalties for inflation.— This Act amended the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 by requiring that no later than July 1, 2016, all Federal agencies with civil monetary penalties covered by the statute update penalties based on their value in the last update prior to 1996 and the change in the consumer price index (CPI) between that date and October 2015. This initial "catch up adjustment" would be capped at 150 percent. This Act also required annual adjustments in such penalties not later than January 15th of each subsequent year, replaced prior law rounding rules with a simple rule that penalties be rounded to the nearest dollar, and expanded these inflation adjustments to apply to civil penalties assessed under the Occupational Safety and Health Act and under the Social Security Act. The Act also provided for increasing a penalty by less than the required amount if increasing the penalty by the full amount would have a negative economic impact or the social costs outweighed the benefits.

Extend reserve depletion date for Social Security's Disability Insurance program.—This Act provided a temporary reallocation of payroll taxes from the Social Security Administration's Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) Trust Fund to the Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund, effective for wages paid in calendar years 2016 through 2018, and self-employment earnings reported in taxable years beginning after December 31 2015, and before January 1, 2019. Under this reallocation the combined OASDI payroll tax rate will remain at 12.4 percent; however, 10.03 percent will be allocated to OASI

and 2.37 percent will be allocated to DI, compared to the 10.6 percent and 1.8 percent allocations, respectively, in prior years. This reallocation is expected to allow the DI Trust Fund to pay full disability benefits until calendar year 2022.

Modify partnership audit rules.—This Act replaced existing partnership audit rules with a centralized system for audit, adjustment, and collection of tax at the partnership level, unless a partnership makes a valid election to opt out of application of these rules (generally available to partnerships with no more than 100 partners). Under these rules any adjustment to items of partnership income, gain, loss, deductions, credits, or partnership distribution as a result of such adjustments is determined at the partnership level and any tax resulting from an imputed underpayment attributable to these adjustments is generally imputed to the partnership and assessed and collected at the partnership level in the year the adjustment becomes final. As an alternative to payment at the partnership level, the partnership may elect to push the partnership adjustments out to the partners for the reviewed year and have them pay in the current year the tax attributable to their allocable portion of the adjustments. The partners are generally bound by the final determination of partnership adjustments and any action taken by the partnership's designated representative who may be a partner or other person with a substantial presence in the United States. These new rules generally apply to returns filed for partnership taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

Clarify rules for partnership interests created by gift.—This Act clarified that in the case of a capital interest in a partnership in which capital is a material income-producing factor, the determination of whether a person is a partner with respect to such interest must be made under the generally applicable rules defining a partner and a partnership, without regard to whether such interest was derived by gift from any other person. This clarification applies to partnership taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2015.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016 (PUBLIC LAW 114-92)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on November 25, 2015. The provision of this Act that affects receipts is described below.

Establish a Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) benefit for all uniformed servicemembers.—This Act established a TSP benefit for all uniformed servicemembers who enter on or after October 1, 2017, or current eligible servicemembers who make a voluntary election to optin to the new plan. Under this Act, the Department of Defense would provide an automatic TSP contribution of one percent to all uniformed servicemembers upon reaching 60 days of service, which would continue through the second year of service. After the second year of service, the Department of Defense would begin matching TSP contributions by servicemembers up to five percent of that servicemember's base pay. Both the automatic and

matching TSP contributions would end on the day the servicemember reaches 26 years of service.

FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 2015 (PUBLIC LAW 114-94)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on December 4, 2015. The major provisions of this Act that affect receipts are described below.

Extend highway-related taxes.—This Act extended the authority to collect taxes that fund the Highway Trust Fund, the Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Trust Fund, and the Sport Fish Restoration and Boating Trust Fund, which were scheduled to expire on September 30, 2016, through September 30, 2022. This Act also extended the annual use tax on heavy vehicles, which is deposited in the Highway Trust Fund and was scheduled to expire on September 30, 2017, through September 30, 2023

Revoke or deny passport in case of certain unpaid taxes.—This Act provided for the denial, revocation or limitation of passports by the Department of State for persons with seriously delinquent tax debts (generally individuals who owe more than \$50,000 and who are not on a payment plan), effective on December 4, 2015.

Reform rules relating to qualified tax collection contracts.—Under this Act, the Secretary of the Treasury is required to enter into qualified tax collection contracts for the collection of outstanding inactive tax receivables. Inactive tax receivables are defined as any tax receivable 1) removed from the active inventory for lack of resources or inability to locate the taxpayer, 2) for which more than one-third of the applicable limitations period has lapsed and no Internal Revenue Service (IRS) employee has been assigned to collect the receivable, or 3) for which a receivable has been assigned for collection but more than 365 days have passed without interaction with the taxpayer or a third party for purposes of furthering the collection. Tax receivables are defined as any outstanding assessment that the IRS includes in potentially collectible inventory. The provision designates certain tax receivables as not eligible for collection under qualified tax collection contracts and requires the Secretary of the Treasury to give priority to private collection contractors and debt collection centers currently approved by the Treasury Department's Bureau of the Fiscal Service. The provision generally applies to tax receivables identified by the Secretary after the date of enactment.

Limit surplus funds of Federal Reserve banks.— This Act capped the Federal Reserve surplus account at \$10 billion and required any amounts that exceed the cap to be remitted to the U.S. Treasury.

Reduce dividends of certain Federal Reserve member banks.—For member banks with assets in excess of \$10 billion, this Act reduced the dividend paid by the Federal Reserve to the lower of six percent or the high yield of the 10-year Treasury note auctioned at the last auction held prior to the payment of a dividend. For

member banks with assets of \$10 billion or less, the Act retained the six-percent dividend consistent with prior law, indexed to inflation.

CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2016 (PUBLIC LAW 114-113)

This Act was signed into law by President Obama on December 18, 2015. The major provisions that affect receipts are included in Division Q of this Act, which may be cited as the "Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015." These provisions, as well as those included in Division P of this Act, "Tax Related Provisions," are described below.

PROTECTING AMERICANS FROM TAX HIKES ACT OF 2015

Tax Relief for Families and Individuals

Permanently extend increased refundability of the child tax credit (CTC).—The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) increased the refundability of the CTC by reducing the earnings threshold for refundability to \$3,000 (unindexed) from \$10,000 (indexed after 2001), effective for taxable years 2009 and 2010. The Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act of 2010 (TRUIRJCA) extended this provision through 2012 and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (ATRA) extended the provision through 2017. This Act permanently extended the \$3,000 earnings threshold.

Permanently extend Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) marriage penalty relief.—ARRA, as extended by TRUIRJCA and ATRA, provided tax relief through 2017 to married couples filing a joint return (regardless of the number of qualifying children) by increasing the amount by which the income thresholds for the phaseout of the EITC exceed the thresholds for other taxpayers from \$3,000 (indexed for inflation after 2008) to \$5,000 (indexed for inflation after 2009). This Act permanently extended the indexed \$5,000 increase in the EITC phaseout threshold for married couples.

Permanently extend EITC for larger families.—ARRA, as extended by TRUIRJCA and ATRA, added a fourth credit schedule to the EITC through 2017 to provide a larger credit for families with more than two qualifying children. This Act permanently extended the fourth schedule.

Permanently extend AOTC.—The AOTC, which was created under ARRA and extended through 2017 by TRUIRJCA and ATRA, provided taxpayers a credit of up to \$2,500 per eligible student per year for qualified tuition and related expenses paid for each of the first four years of the student's post-secondary education in a degree or certification program. The student must be enrolled at least half-time to receive the credit, which is partially refundable and phased out above specified income thresholds. This Act permanently extended the AOTC.

Modify and permanently extend the above-theline deduction for qualified out-of-pocket classroom expenses.—Certain teachers and other elementary and secondary school professionals are permitted to deduct up to \$250 in annual qualified out-of-pocket classroom expenses. Under prior law, the deduction expired for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and permanently extended this abovethe-line deduction, effective for such expenses incurred after December 31, 2014, and provided for the annual indexation of the \$250 deduction limit, effective for taxable years beginning after 2015. In addition, this Act expanded the deduction to apply to professional development expenses, effective for such expenses incurred after December 31, 2015.

Permanently extend parity for exclusion from income for employer-provided mass transit and parking benefits.—Qualified transportation fringe benefits provided by an employer through transit passes and vanpooling can be excluded from an employee's income up to a statutory maximum of \$100 per month in combined transit pass and vanpool benefits and \$175 per month in qualified parking benefits. Both statutory limits are adjusted annually for inflation after 1999. Prior law temporarily provided parity in these benefits by increasing the monthly exclusion for combined employer-provided transit pass and vanpool benefits to the same level as the exclusion for employer-provided parking benefits. This Act reinstated and permanently extended that parity, effective for benefits provided after December 31, 2014.

Permanently extend optional deduction for State and local general sales taxes.—Under prior law, a taxpayer was allowed to elect to take an itemized deduction for State and local general sales taxes in lieu of the itemized deduction for State and local income taxes for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and permanently extended this deduction, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

Modify and extend the ability to exclude discharges of indebtedness on principal residences from gross income.—Up to \$2 million (or up to \$1 million per spouse for married taxpayers filing separate returns) of discharges of certain indebtedness on a principal residence may be excluded from gross income for indebtedness discharged before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the exclusion for two years, to apply to indebtedness discharged after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017. The exclusion will also apply to indebtedness discharged after December 31, 2016, if the discharge is pursuant to a written arrangement entered into before 2017.

Extend deduction for mortgage insurance premiums.—Certain premiums paid or accrued for qualified mortgage insurance by a taxpayer in connection with acquisition indebtedness on a qualified residence are deductible for income tax purposes, for amounts paid or accrued before 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the deduction for two years, to apply to amounts paid or accrued in 2015 and 2016 that are not properly allocable to any period after December 31, 2016.

Extend deduction for qualified tuition and related expenses.—An above-the-line deduction of up to \$4,000 is provided for qualified higher education expenses paid by a qualified taxpayer during the taxable year. For a given taxable year, the deduction may not be claimed: (1) if an education tax credit is claimed for the same student; (2) for amounts taken into account in determining the amount excludable from income due to a distribution from a Coverdell education savings account or the amount of interest excludable from income with respect to education savings bonds; and (3) for the amount of a distribution from a qualified tuition plan that is excludable from income, except that the deduction may be claimed for the amount not attributable to earnings. Under prior law, the deduction expired for expenses incurred in taxable years after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the deduction for two years, to apply to expenses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Tax Incentives for Charitable Giving

Modify and permanently extend increased limits on contributions of partial interest in real property for conservation purposes.—Special rules for the deductibility of qualified conservation contributions were temporarily enhanced, applicable for qualified conservation contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2015. These enhancements: (1) increased the cap on deductions for qualified conservation contributions from 30 percent to 50 percent of the excess of the donor's contribution base over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions; (2) increased the cap on deductions for qualified conservation contributions applicable to qualified ranchers and farmers to 100 percent of the excess of the donor's contribution base over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions in the case of individuals and to 100 percent of the excess of taxable income over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions in the case of corporations; and (3) increased the number of years qualified conservation contributions in excess of the 50- and 100-percent caps may be carried forward from five to 15 years. This Act reinstated and permanently extended these enhanced special rules, applicable for qualified conservation contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014. In addition, Alaska Native Corporations will be allowed to deduct donations of conservation easements of up to 100 percent of taxable income, effective for such donations made after December 31, 2015.

Permanently extend tax-free distributions from Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) for charitable contributions.—An exclusion from gross income was provided for otherwise taxable distributions from a traditional or a Roth IRA made directly to a qualified charitable organization in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2015. The exclusion for these qualified charitable distributions may not exceed \$100,000 per taxpayer per taxable year and is applicable only to dis-

tributions made on or after the date the IRA owner attains age 70 1/2. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the exclusion to apply to distributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

Modify and permanently extend the enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory.—A taxpayer's deduction for charitable contributions of inventory generally is limited to the taxpayer's basis (typically cost) in the inventory or, if less, the fair market value of the inventory. For certain contributions of inventory, C corporations may claim an enhanced deduction equal to the lesser of: (1) basis plus one-half of the item's appreciation; or (2) two times basis. However, under a special temporary provision, any taxpayer (not just a C corporation) engaged in a trade or business was eligible to claim the enhanced deduction for donations of food inventory in taxable years beginning after August 28, 2005, and before January 1, 2015. To qualify for the enhanced deduction, the donated food inventory must meet certain quality standards and cannot exceed 10 percent of the taxpayer's net income from the related trade or business. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the enhanced charitable deduction for contributions of food inventory, to apply to contributions made after December 31, 2014. In addition, this Act increased the limitation from 10 percent to 15 percent of the taxpayer's net income from the related trade or business and modified the deduction to provide special rules for valuing food inventory, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015.

Permanently extend special rule regarding tax treatment of certain payments to controlling exempt organizations.—Interest, rents, royalties, and income from annuities generally are excluded from the tax on unrelated business income of tax-exempt organizations, unless such income is received from a taxable or tax-exempt subsidiary that is more than 50-percent controlled by the parent tax-exempt organization. However, under a special temporary provision, such income received by a tax-exempt parent organization from a controlled subsidiary before January 1, 2015, and pursuant to a binding written contract that was in effect on August 17, 2006, is taxable only to the extent that it exceeds amounts that would have been received if such payments had been determined under the arm's length principles of section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code. This Act reinstated and permanently extended this provision, to apply to such income received after December 31, 2014.

Extend basis adjustment to stock of S corporations contributing appreciated property.—Each shareholder of an S corporation must take into account his or her pro rata share of a charitable contribution by the S corporation in determining his or her income tax liability. For donations of property, this generally is the pro rata share of the property's fair market value; the shareholder's basis in the stock of the company is reduced by the amount of the charitable contribution that flows through to the shareholder. However, effective for charitable contributions made by an S corporation in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2005, and before

January 1, 2015, shareholders were allowed to adjust their basis in the stock of the company by their pro rata share of the adjusted basis of the contributed property instead of by their pro rata share of the market value of the contributed property. This Act reinstated and permanently extended this provision, to apply to charitable contributions made by an S corporation in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014.

Tax Incentives for Growth, Jobs, Investment, and Innovation

Modify and permanently extend research and experimentation (R&E) tax credit.—A tax credit of 20 percent is provided for qualified research and experimentation expenditures above a base amount. An alternative simplified credit (ASC) of 14 percent is also provided. Under prior law, these credits expired for amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and permanently extended these tax credits, to apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2014. In addition, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, eligible small businesses (\$50 million or less in gross receipts) will be allowed to claim the credit against their alternative minimum tax (AMT) liability, and certain qualified small businesses will be able to claim the credit against their Social Security payroll tax liability.

Permanently extend employer wage credit for employees who are active duty members of the uniformed services.—Some employers voluntarily pay their employees who are called to active duty in the armed forces of the United States the difference between the compensation that they would have paid the employee during the period of military service and the amount of pay received by the employee from the military. This payment by the employer is often referred to as "differential pay." Eligible small business employers are provided a tax credit equal to 20 percent of up to \$20,000 in annual eligible differential wage payments made to each qualified employee. Under prior law, this credit expired for amounts paid after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the credit, making it available for eligible differential wage payments made to a qualified employee after December 31, 2014, and expanded the credit to apply to all employers, effective for such payments made after December 31, 2015.

Permanently extend modified recovery period for qualified leasehold improvement property, qualified restaurant property, and qualified retail improvement property.—This Act reinstated and permanently extended the 15-year recovery period for qualified leasehold improvement property, qualified restaurant property, and qualified retail improvement property, effective for such property placed in service after December 31, 2014.

Modify and permanently extend increased expensing for small business.—Taxpayers were allowed to expense up to \$500,000 in annual investment expenditures for qualifying depreciable property used in an active trade or business (including off-the-shelf comput-

er software and up to \$250,000 of certain qualified real property) placed in service in taxable years beginning after 2009 and before 2015. The maximum amount that could be expensed was reduced by the amount by which the taxpayer's cost of qualifying property exceeded \$2 million. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the annual expensing limit and the phase-out threshold amount that were in effect in 2010 through 2014, effective for qualifying property placed in service in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014. Qualifying property will continue to include off-the-shelf computer software and certain real property. Effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, both the \$500,000 and \$2 million amounts will be indexed annually for inflation; the \$250,000 cap on annual expensing of certain real property will be eliminated; and the definition of qualifying property will be expanded to include air conditioning and heating units.

Permanently extend special tax rules applicable to regulated investment companies (RICs).—This Act reinstated and permanently extended, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, the following special tax rules applicable to RICs: (1) the exemption from U.S. withholding tax for certain interest-related dividends and short-term capital gain dividends paid by a RIC to a foreign shareholder; and (2) the treatment of RICs as "qualified investment entities" for purposes of the provisions regarding foreign investment in U.S. real property interests.

Permanently extend exclusion of 100 percent of gain on certain small business stock.—Capital gains realized on the sale of certain small business stock held by an individual for more than five years are excluded from tax, effective for stock issued after September 27, 2010, and before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the 100-percent exclusion and eliminated the treatment of a percentage of the exclusion as a preference for the AMT, to apply to qualified small business stock issued after December 31, 2014.

Permanently extend reduction in recognition period for S corporation built-in gains tax.—A "small business corporation" may elect to be treated as an S corporation. Unlike C corporations, S corporations generally pay no corporate-level tax; instead, items of income and loss of an S corporation pass through to its shareholders. A corporate level tax, at the highest marginal tax rate applicable to corporations (currently 35 percent), is imposed on the net recognized built-in gain of an S corporation that arose prior to the conversion of a C corporation to the S corporation and that is recognized by the S corporation during the "recognition period." The "recognition period" is the 10-year period beginning with the first day of the first taxable year for which the election to be treated as an S corporation is in effect; however, the "recognition period" was reduced to five years for dispositions of property in taxable years beginning in 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the five-year recognition period, to apply to dispositions of property in taxable years beginning in 2015

Extend subpart F "active financing" and "lookthrough" exceptions.—Under the rules contained in subpart F of the Internal Revenue Code, U.S. shareholders of a controlled foreign corporation (CFC) are subject to U.S. tax currently on certain income earned by the CFC, whether or not such income is distributed. Exceptions from subpart F are provided for: (1) certain income derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing, insurance, or similar business (active financing exception); and (2) dividends, interest, rents, and royalties received by one CFC from a related CFC to the extent attributable or properly allocable to income of the related CFC that is neither subpart F income nor income treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States (look-through exception). Under prior law, these exceptions expired for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the exception under subpart F for active financing income to apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2014, and reinstated and extended the look-through exception for five years, to apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2020.

Extend the New Markets tax credit (NMTC).—The NMTC is a 39-percent credit for qualified equity investments made in qualified community development entities that are held for a period of seven years. This Act reinstated and extended the NMTC, which expired at the end of 2014, for five years, authorizing up to \$3.5 billion in qualifying investment for each year, 2015 through 2019.

Modify and extend the work opportunity tax credit (WOTC).—The WOTC provides incentives to employers for hiring individuals from one or more of nine targeted groups. The credit available for qualified wages paid to members of all targeted groups (except for longterm family assistance recipients and qualified summer youth employees) is equal to 40 percent (25 percent for employment of 400 hours or less) of the first \$6,000 of qualified first-year wages attributable to service rendered during the one-year period beginning with the day the individual began work for the employer. With respect to qualified summer youth employees, the maximum credit is \$1,200 (40 percent of the first \$3,000 of qualified firstyear wages). In the case of long-term family assistance recipients, the credit is equal to 40 percent (25 percent for employment of 400 hours or less) of the first \$10,000 in qualified first-year wages and 50 percent of the first \$10,000 of qualified second-year wages. Under prior law, this credit expired for individuals who begin work for an employer after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for five years, to apply to wages paid to qualified individuals who begin work for the employer after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2020. This Act also modified the credit to apply to wages paid to qualified long-term unemployed individuals (those who have been unemployed for 27 weeks or more) who begin work for the employer after December 31, 2015, and before January 1, 2020.

162 Analytical perspectives

Extend first-year depreciation deduction for certain property.—This Act reinstated and extended for five years the additional first-year depreciation deduction to apply to qualifying property acquired and placed in service in calendar years 2015 through 2019. The placedin-service deadline was extended through 2020 for certain longer-lived property, transportation property, and certain aircraft. The deduction is 50 percent of the adjusted basis of the property for qualifying property acquired and placed in service in calendar years 2015 through 2017, 40 percent for property placed in service in 2018, and 30 percent for property placed in service in 2019. For certain longer-lived property, transportation property, and certain aircraft, the deduction percentage is 50 percent for 2016 through 2018, 40 percent for 2019, and 30 percent for 2020. Under this Act, corporations may continue to elect to claim additional AMT credits in lieu of claiming the additional first-year depreciation for property placed in service in 2015. The Act increased the amount of unused AMT credits that may be claimed in lieu of bonus depreciation for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015. This Act expanded the definition of qualified property to include qualified improvement property for property placed in service after December 31, 2015, in taxable years beginning after such date. After December 31, 2015, and before January 1, 2020, it also altered the treatment for certain trees, vines, and plants bearing fruit or nuts that are planted or grafted to a plant that has already been planted. The additional first-year depreciation deduction is allowed when such plants are planted or grafted, rather than when they are placed in service.

Extend tax incentives for employment on Indian reservations.—This Act reinstated and extended for two years, for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2017, the employment tax credit for qualified workers employed on an Indian reservation. The employment tax credit is not available for employees involved in certain gaming activities or who work in a building that houses certain gaming activities.

Modify and extend railroad track maintenance *credit.*—A 50-percent business tax credit is provided for qualified railroad track maintenance expenditures paid or incurred by an eligible taxpayer in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2004, and before January 1, 2015. The credit was limited to the product of \$3,500 times the number of miles of railroad track owned or leased by, or assigned to, an eligible taxpayer as of the close of the taxable year. In general, an eligible taxpayer is a Class II or Class III railroad. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to qualified expenses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017. This Act also modified the credit to apply to expenditures for maintaining railroad track owned or leased as of January 1, 2015, rather than as of January 1, 2005, as provided under prior law.

Extend credit for mine rescue training.—An eligible taxpayer may claim a general business tax credit with respect to each qualified mine rescue team employee equal to the lesser of: (1) 20 percent of the amount paid or incurred by the taxpayer during the taxable year with

respect to the training program costs of the qualified mine rescue team employee; or (2) \$10,000. Under prior law, this credit expired for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to costs incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend the issuance of qualified zone academy bonds.—This Act reinstated and extended the qualified zone academy bond program for two years, authorizing the issuance of \$400 million in such bonds in calendar years 2015 and 2016.

Extend classification of certain race horses as three-year property.—Under this Act, the three-year recovery period applicable to any race horse placed in service after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2015, was reinstated and extended for two years, to apply to race horses placed in service before January 1, 2017.

Extend seven-year recovery period for motor-sports entertainment complexes.—Under this Act, the seven-year recovery period applicable to motorsports entertainment complexes placed in service before January 1, 2015, was reinstated and extended for two years, to apply to such facilities placed in service before January 1, 2017.

Modify and extend accelerated depreciation for business property on Indian reservations.—This Act reinstated and extended for two years, through December 31, 2016, the accelerated depreciation rules for qualified property used in the active conduct of a trade or business within an Indian reservation. Property used to conduct or house certain gaming activities is not eligible for the accelerated depreciation rules. This Act also modified the deduction for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, allowing taxpayers to elect out of the accelerated depreciation rules.

Extend expensing of advanced mine safety equipment.—Under prior law, taxpayers were allowed to immediately expense 50 percent of the cost of underground mine safety equipment that is above and beyond existing safety equipment requirements for property placed in service before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended this provision for two years, to apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend expensing for certain qualified film and television productions.—Taxpayers could elect to deduct up to \$15 million (\$20 million for productions in certain areas) of the aggregate costs of any qualifying film and television production in the year in which the expenses were incurred, in lieu of capitalizing the cost and recovering it through depreciation allowances. Under prior law, this deduction expired for qualifying film and television production, commencing after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended this provision for two years, to apply to qualified film and television productions commencing after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017. The Act also extended this expensing provision to qualified live theatrical productions commencing after December 31, 2015.

Extend the domestic production activities deduction for activities in Puerto Rico.—A deduction is provided for a portion of a taxpayer's qualified production activities income. Qualified production activities income generally is equal to domestic production gross receipts reduced by the sum of the costs of goods sold and other expenses, losses, or deductions that are properly allocable to those receipts. Domestic production gross receipts generally only include receipts from activities performed within the United States, and do not include receipts from activities performed in Puerto Rico. For taxable years beginning after May 17, 2006, the amount of the deduction for a taxable year is limited to 50 percent of the wages paid by the taxpayer and properly allocable to domestic production gross receipts during the calendar year that ends in such taxable year. Wages paid to bona fide residents of Puerto Rico generally are not included in the wage limitation amounts. However, effective for the first nine taxable years of a taxpayer beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2015, a taxpayer with gross receipts from sources within the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico can treat production activities performed in Puerto Rico as performed in the United States for purposes of determining qualified production activities income, and can take into account wages paid to bona fide residents of Puerto Rico for services performed in Puerto Rico in computing the 50-percent wage limitation, provided all of the taxpayer's gross receipts are subject to the Federal income tax. This Act reinstated and extended this provision for two years, to apply to the first eleven taxable years of a taxpayer beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2017.

Modify and extend tax incentives for empowerment zones.—This Act reinstated and extended the tax incentives (including employment credits and low-cost loans) that are provided to businesses located in the 40 Federally-designated empowerment zones (30 in urban areas and 10 in rural areas) for two years, through December 31, 2016. In addition, beginning in 2016, employees will be allowed to meet the enterprise zone facility bond employment requirement if they are residents of the empowerment zone, an enterprise community, or a qualified low-income community within an applicable nominating jurisdiction.

Extend temporary increase in limit on cover over of rum excise taxes to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.—A \$13.50-per-proof-gallon excise tax is imposed on distilled spirits produced in or imported into the United States. Under current law, \$10.50 per proof gallon of the tax imposed on rum imported into the United States is covered over (paid) to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. A temporary increase in the amount covered over to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands to \$13.25 per proof gallon expired with respect to rum imported into the United States after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the \$13.25-per-proof-gallon cover over amount for two years, to apply to rum imported into the United States after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend the economic development credit for American Samoa.—Under prior law, a domestic corporation that was an existing possession tax credit claimant with respect to American Samoa and elected the application of the tax credit for its last taxable year beginning before January 1, 2006, was allowed to claim a possession tax credit based on the economic activity-based limitation rules for the first nine taxable years beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2015. A domestic corporation that was an existing possession tax credit claimant and did not elect the application of the tax credit for its last taxable year beginning before January 1, 2006, was allowed to claim a possession tax credit based on the economic activity-based limitation rules for the first three taxable years beginning after December 31, 2011, and before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the ability of domestic corporations to claim a possession tax credit based on the economic activity-based limitation rules for two years, to apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Suspend tax on manufacturers of medical devices for two years.—This Act suspended the 2.3-percent excise tax imposed on the sale of any taxable medical device by the manufacturer, producer, or importer of the device, effective for sales after December 31, 2015, and before January 1, 2018.

Tax Incentives for Real Estate Investment

Permanently extend temporary minimum Low-Income Housing tax credit (LIHTC) rate for non-Federally subsidized new buildings.—The LIHTC is provided to owners of qualified low-income rental units. The credit may be claimed over a 10-year period for a portion of the cost of rental housing occupied by tenants having incomes below specified levels. Under prior law, a temporary minimum credit percentage of nine percent was provided for newly constructed non-Federally subsidized buildings that received an allocation of a housing credit dollar amount before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the nine-percent rate, effective January 1, 2015.

Permanently extend treatment of basic housing allowances for the purpose of LIHTC income eligibility rules.—In general, to be eligible for the LIHTC, a qualified low-income housing project must satisfy one of two tests at the election of the taxpayer: (1) 20 percent or more of the residential units in the project are both rentrestricted, and occupied by individuals whose income is 50 percent or less of area median gross income; or (2) 40 percent or more of the residential units in the project are both rent-restricted, and occupied by individuals whose income is 60 percent or less of area median gross income. These income requirements are adjusted for family size. Effective for income determinations made after July 30, 2008, and before January 1, 2015, for buildings that are located in certain counties, the basic housing allowance (payments provided under section 403 of title 37, United States Code) provided to military personnel was not included in income for the purpose of LIHTC income

eligibility rules. This Act reinstated and permanently extended the disregard of basic housing allowances for purposes of LIHTC income eligibility rules for buildings in those counties, effective for income determinations made after December 31, 2014.

Permanently extend special tax rules applicable to RICs provided under the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act (FIRPTA).—This Act reinstated and permanently extended the following special tax rules applicable to RICs: (1) the exemption from U.S. withholding tax for certain interest-related dividends and short-term capital gain dividends paid by a RIC to a foreign shareholder; and (2) the treatment of RICs as "qualified investment entities" for purposes of the provisions regarding foreign investment in U.S. real property interests.

Tax Incentives for Energy Production and Conservation

Extend credit for nonbusiness energy property.—A tax credit is provided for the purchase of qualified energy efficient improvements to existing homes located in the United States and owned and used by the taxpayer as the taxpayer's principal residence. Under prior law, this credit expired for qualified property placed in service after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to property purchased and placed in service after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend credit for alternative fuel vehicle refueling property.—A tax credit is provided for the cost of qualified clean-fuel vehicle refueling property to be used in a trade or business of the taxpayer or installed at the principal residence of the taxpayer. Under prior law, the credit is available for hydrogen and non-hydrogen refueling property placed in service before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for hydrogen and non-hydrogen refueling property for two years, to apply to property placed in service after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend the credit for two-wheeled plug-in electric vehicles.—Under prior law, a ten-percent credit (capped at \$2,500) was available for qualifying two-wheeled plug-in electric vehicles acquired after December 31, 2011, and before January 1, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for a few years, to apply to such vehicles acquired after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend second generation biofuel producer credit.—An income tax credit (generally equal to \$1.01 per gallon) is provided to producers of second generation biofuel for fuel produced before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to fuel produced after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend credits for renewable diesel and biodiesel fuels.—An excise tax credit (or a payment) of \$1.00 is provided for each gallon of biodiesel and agri-biodiesel used by a taxpayer in producing a biodiesel mixture for

sale or use in a trade or business. An income tax credit for biodiesel fuels (the biodiesel fuels credit) is also provided. The biodiesel fuels income tax credit is the sum of three credits: (1) the biodiesel mixture credit, which is \$1.00 for each gallon of biodiesel and agri-biodiesel used by the taxpayer in the production of a qualified biodiesel mixture; (2) the biodiesel credit, which is \$1.00 for each gallon of biodiesel and agri-biodiesel that is not in a mixture with diesel when used as a fuel or sold at retail; and (3) the small agri-biodiesel producer credit, which is a 10-cents-per-gallon credit for up to 15 million gallons of agri-biodiesel produced by small producers. Renewable diesel is eligible for the excise tax credit (or payment) and the income tax credit provided to biodiesel fuels at a rate of \$1.00 per gallon. Under prior law, these credits and payments expired with respect to fuel sold or used after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended for two years, through December 31, 2016, these credits and payments for biodiesel and renewable diesel fuels.

Modify and extend credit for the production of Indian coal.—A credit is available for the production of coal from reserves owned by Indian tribes at a qualified facility (a facility placed in service before January 1, 2009) for the nine-year period beginning January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to production for the eleven-year period beginning January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2016. This Act also modified the credit beginning in 2016 by removing the placed-in-service date limitation and allowing the credit to be claimed against the AMT.

Extend tax credit with respect to facilities producing energy from certain renewable sources.— Taxpayers are allowed a tax credit for electricity produced from wind, closed-loop biomass, open-loop biomass, geothermal energy, solar energy, small irrigation power, municipal solid waste, qualified hydropower, and marine and hydrokinetic renewable energy at qualified facilities (the renewable electricity production credit). To qualify for the credit, electricity generally must be sold by the taxpayer to an unrelated person and must be produced at a qualified facility. For the production of electricity from solar energy or small irrigation power, a facility is qualified if it was placed in service before January 1, 2006, and October 3, 2008, respectively. For the production of electricity from wind, closed-loop biomass, open-loop biomass, geothermal energy, municipal solid waste, qualified hydropower, and marine and hydrokinetic renewable energy, a facility is qualified if construction began before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended for two years, through December 31, 2016, the date on which construction must commence for a facility that produces electricity from closed-loop biomass, open-loop biomass, geothermal energy, municipal solid waste, qualified hydropower, and marine and hydrokinetic renewable energy to be a qualified facility. This Act also extended for two years, through December 31, 2016, the election to treat qualified facilities as energy property eligible for the 30-percent energy production credit, in lieu of the renewable electricity production credit.

Extend credit for the construction of energy-efficient new homes.—An eligible contractor is provided a tax credit for each qualified new energy-efficient home that is constructed and acquired from the contractor by a person for use as a residence for homes purchased before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to homes purchased after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend special allowance for second generation biofuel plant property.—This Act reinstated and extended the additional first-year depreciation deduction, equal to 50 percent of the adjusted basis of qualified second generation biofuel plant property, for two years, to apply to such property placed in service before January 1, 2017.

Extend deduction for energy-efficient commercial building property.—A deduction is provided for the cost of energy-efficient commercial building property placed in service before January 1, 2015. This Act reinstated and extended the deduction for two years, to apply to such property placed in service after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017. This Act also updated the standard against which energy savings are measured in the definition of energy efficient commercial building property.

Extend special rules for sales or dispositions to implement Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) or State electric restructuring rules for qualified electric utilities.—Under a special provision of prior law, taxpayers were allowed to elect to recognize gain from the sale or disposition of qualifying electric transmission property before January 1, 2015 ratably over an eight-year period beginning in the year of sale if the amount realized from such sale was used to purchase exempt utility property (reinvestment property) within the applicable period. Any gain realized in excess of the amount used to purchase the reinvestment property was recognized as income in the year of the qualifying electric transmission transaction. This Act reinstated and extended this special rule for two years, to apply to the sale or disposition of qualifying electric transmission property after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2017.

Extend alternative fuels excise tax credits.—Two per-gallon excise tax credits are available for the production of alternative fuel: the alternative fuel credit and the alternative fuel mixture credit. Alternative fuel means liquefied petroleum gas, P Series fuels, compressed or liquefied natural gas, liquefied hydrogen, liquid fuel derived from coal through the Fischer-Tropsch process, compressed or liquefied gas derived from biomass, or liquefied fuel derived from biomass. The alternative fuel credit is 50 cents per gallon of alternative fuel or gasoline gallon equivalents of nonliquid alternative fuel sold by the taxpayer for use as a motor fuel in a motor vehicle or motorboat, sold for use in aviation or so used by the taxpayer. The alternative fuel mixture credit is 50 cents per gallon of alternative fuel used in producing an alternative fuel mixture for sale or use in a trade or business of the taxpayer. A taxpayer is also allowed to file a claim for payment equal to the amount of the alternative fuel credit. Under prior law, these credits and payments expired with respect to fuel used or sold after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the alternative fuel credit, the alternative fuel mixture credit, and related payments, to apply to fuel sold or used before January 1, 2017. In light of the retroactive nature of the provision as it relates to fuel sold or used in 2015, a special rule is provided to address claims regarding credits and payments associated with that year.

Extend credit for new qualified fuel cell motor vehicles.—A credit is provided for the purchase of new fuel cell vehicles. The amount of the credit ranges from \$4,000 to \$40,000, depending on the weight of the vehicle. Under prior law, the credit expired for vehicles purchased after December 31, 2014. This Act reinstated and extended the credit for two years, to apply to vehicles purchased after December 31, 2014 and before January 1, 2017.

Program Integrity

This Act included a number of provisions that could increase program integrity within the tax system by: (1) accelerating the filing due date for Forms W-2, W-3, and returns and statements reporting nonemployee compensation to January 31 and removing the extended March 31 due date for these electronically filed forms; and prohibiting the payment of credits or refunds to taxpayers receiving the refundable CTC or EITC prior to February 15; (2) establishing a safe harbor from penalties for certain de minimis errors on information returns and payee statements; (3) modifying the rules relating to the issuance, renewal, and expiration of an individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN); (4) prohibiting the filing of retroactive claims for the EITC, CTC or AOTC by requiring that the required TIN be issued on or before the filing due date of the return; (5) extending the paid-preparer due diligence requirements with respect to the EITC to returns claiming the CTC and the AOTC; (6) extending the rules that bar a taxpayer from claiming the EITC for 10 years if convicted of fraud and for two years if found to have recklessly or intentionally disregarded the rules, to apply to the CTC and the AOTC; (7) applying the penalty for erroneous refunds and credits to the EITC and providing reasonable cause relief from the penalty, and applying the accuracy-related penalty to the refundable portion of erroneously claimed refundable credits; (8) increasing the penalty for tax preparers who engage in willful or reckless conduct; (9) requiring that a taxpayer claiming the AOTC provide the employer identification number (EIN) of the educational institution to which the taxpayer makes qualified payments under the credit; and (10) requiring that educational institutions report only qualified tuition and related expenses actually paid on Form 1098-T.

Miscellaneous Provisions

This Act included a number of miscellaneous provisions that modify tax relief provided to families, modify the taxation of real estate investment trusts (REITs), and

make other changes to prior tax law. The major miscellaneous provisions that affect receipts are described below.

Modify tax relief provided to families.—This Act included a number of provisions that provided tax relief to families by: (1) excluding payments received under a comprehensive student work-learning-service program operated by a work college (as defined under the Higher Education Act of 1965) from gross income; (2) expanding the definition of qualified higher education expenses eligible for tax-preferred distributions from a qualified tuition program (section 529 account); (3) eliminating the residency requirement for qualified Achieving a Better Life Experience (ABLE) accounts; and (4) excluding from gross income civil damages, restitution, or other monetary awards received by a taxpayer as compensation for a wrongful incarceration.

Modify taxation of REITs and other provisions.— This Act included a number of provisions that modified the taxation of REITs by (1) placing restrictions on taxfree spinoffs involving REITs; (2) increasing the maximum stock ownership a shareholder may have held during the applicable period in a publicly traded REIT (from 5 to 10 percent) to avoid having that stock treated as a U.S. real property interest under FIRPTA or to avoid having a distribution from a publicly traded REIT being subject to FIRPTA; (3) providing that stock of a REIT owned by certain publicly traded foreign entities is not treated as a U.S. real property interest, and, therefore, can be disposed of without triggering FIRPTA withholding, except to the extent the REIT stock owned by such a publicly traded foreign entity is attributable to an investor that owns more than 10 percent of the publicly traded foreign entity; (4) exempting any U.S. real property interest held by, or any distribution received from a REIT by, a foreign pension fund from the application of FIRPTA, including FIRPTA withholding; (5) increasing the rate of withholding of tax on dispositions of most U.S. real property interests (from 10 to 15 percent) for dispositions occurring 60 days after the date of enactment; (6) providing that the "cleansing rule" (applicable to interests in corporations that generally have disposed of all U.S. real property interests during the prior five-year period in fully taxable transactions) applies only to interests in a corporation that has not been a RIC or a REIT during the five-year period ending on the date of the disposition of stock of the corporation; (7) providing new rules and presumptions for purposes of determining whether a RIC or a REIT is domestically controlled; and (8) making dividends derived by a foreign corporation from RICs and REITs ineligible for treatment as dividends from domestic corporations for purposes of determining whether dividends from the foreign corporation that owns shares in the RIC or REIT are eligible for a dividend received deduction.

Prevent transfer of certain losses from tax indif- ferent parties.—This Act modified the related-party loss rules to prevent losses from being shifted from a tax-indifferent party to another party in whose hands any gain or loss with respect to the property would be subject to U.S. tax. This change is effective for sales and other dispositions of property acquired after December 31, 2015.

Treat certain persons as employers with respect to motion picture projects.—Employment taxes imposed on employers and employees include the Social Security or old age, survivors, and disability insurance (OASDI) tax, equal to 6.2 percent of covered wages up to the OASDI wage base (\$118,500 for 2015) and taxes under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA), equal to six percent of wages up to the FUTA wage base of \$7,000. In each case, wages that exceed the applicable wage base are not subject to the otherwise applicable employment tax. A separate wage base applies to each employer that employs an individual during the calendar year. This Act modifies the application of the wage base to remuneration paid by a motion picture employer to a motion picture worker by treating all such remuneration as paid by a single employer without regard to whether the worker is a common law employee of multiple clients of the motion picture employer during the year. As a result, a single OASDI wage base and a single FUTA wage base will apply to all such remuneration paid during a calendar year.

Expand and modify the alternative tax for certain small non-life insurance companies.—This Act increased the maximum amount of annual premiums from \$1.2 million to \$2.2 million that a small non-life insurance company may receive and still elect to be taxed only on its taxable investment income. The \$2.2 million amount refers to a company's net written premiums (or, if greater, its direct written premiums) for a taxable year. This threshold amount is indexed for inflation beginning in 2016. The Act also added a diversification requirement for electing companies, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. No more than 20 percent of premiums for a taxable year be attributable to a single policyholder, where all related policyholders are treated as one. If this requirement is not met, then a company may still qualify as long as each owner of an interest in the insurance company that is a spouse or lineal descendant of an owner of the business or assets being insured by the insurance company does not own a greater (direct or indirect) percentage interest in the insurance company than he or she has in the insured business or insured assets.

Tax Administration

This Act included a number of provisions related to tax administration, including a number of IRS reforms that: (1) require the Commissioner to ensure that IRS employees are familiar with and act in accordance with certain taxpayer rights; (2) prohibit IRS employees from using personal e-mail accounts for official business; (3) permit the IRS to disclosure to the taxpayer the status of an investigation regarding a claim of unauthorized disclosure or inspection of the taxpayer's return or return information or unlawful acts by revenue officers or agents: (4) require the establishment of procedures under which a 501(c) organization may request an administrative appeal of an adverse determination; (5) require the establishment of a notification process for organizations claiming tax-exemption under section 501(c)(4); (6)

provide for the termination of IRS employees who take official actions for political purposes; and (7) extend IRS authority to permit truncated social security numbers on Forms W-2 furnished to employees. This Act also provides that the gift tax is not to apply to contributions to certain exempt organizations that are described in section 501(c) (4), (5) or)(6).

Trade-Related Provisions

Modify effective date of provisions relating to tariff classification of recreational performance outer wear.—This Act delayed implementation of changes in the classification of certain recreation performance outerwear products that would inadvertently increase tariffs on some of those products. The implementation is delayed from the 180th day after the enactment of the Trade Preferences Extension Act of 2015 on June 29, 2015, to March 31, 2016.

Reduce rates of duty on certain environmental goods to fulfill an agreement by Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation members.—This Act ensured that the reduction of tariffs on certain environmental goods to fulfill an agreement by members of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum is implemented in accordance with the Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015.

TAX RELATED PROVISIONS (DIVISION P)

Delay tax on high-cost employer-sponsored health insurance coverage.—This Act delayed the excise tax on high-cost employer-sponsored health insurance coverage for two years, making the tax effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2019. This Act also provided for the deductibility of the tax by taxpayers.

Place a one-year moratorium on tax levied on health insurance providers.—This Act placed a one-year moratorium on the excise tax levied on health insurance providers under section 9010 of the ACA, effective for calendar year 2017.

Modify and extend tax credit with respect to facilities producing energy from wind.—This Act extended through December 31, 2019, the date by which construction must commence for a facility that produces electricity from wind to qualify for the renewable electricity production tax credit, and included annual reductions in the credit rate for facilities that begin construction after 2016. This Act also extended through December 31, 2019, the election to treat qualified facilities as energy

property eligible for the energy property investment credit, in lieu of the renewable electricity production credit and phased out the energy percentage for facilities that begin construction after 2016.

Modify and extend investment tax credit for solar energy property.—This Act extended for five years the 30 percent business investment tax credit for solar energy property (equipment) used to generate electricity, to heat or cool a structure, or to provide solar process heat (except for the purpose of heating a swimming pool), effective for property on which construction commences after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2022. This Act reduced the rate of the credit from 30 percent to 26 percent for property on which construction commences after December 31, 2019, and before January 1, 2021, and to 22 percent for property on which construction commences after December 31, 2020 and before January 1, 2022. The energy percentage is 10 percent for eligible property on which construction begins before January 1, 2022, that is not placed in service before January 1, 2024. A permanent 10 percent credit is available to property on which construction begins on or after January 1, 2022.

Modify and extend tax credit for residential energy efficient solar property.—This Act extended for five years the tax credit provided to individuals for expenditures made on qualified solar electric property and qualified solar water heating property, to apply to purchases made by the taxpayer after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2022. A qualified solar electric property expenditure is an expenditure for property that uses solar energy to generate electricity for use in a dwelling unit located in the United States and used as a residence by the taxpayer. A qualified solar water heating property expenditure is an expenditure for property to heat water for use in a dwelling unit located in the United States and used as a residence by the taxpayer, if at least half of the energy used by the property for that purpose is derived from the sun. This Act also reduced the rate of the credit from 30 percent to 26 percent, effective for property placed in service after December 31, 2019, and before January 1, 2021, and to 22 percent, effective for property placed in service after December 31, 2020, and before January 1, 2022.

Modify treatment of transportation costs of independent refiners.—This Act temporarily exempted 75 percent of qualified transportation costs of certain independent refiners from the calculation of their domestic production activities, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2022.

BUDGET PROPOSALS

The number of special deductions, credits, and other tax preferences provided to businesses in the Internal Revenue Code has expanded significantly since the last comprehensive tax reform effort nearly three decades ago. Such tax preferences help well-connected special interests, but do little for economic growth. To be successful in an increasingly competitive global economy, the

Nation cannot afford to maintain a tax code burdened with such tax breaks; instead, the tax code needs to ensure that the United States is the most attractive place for entrepreneurship and business growth. Therefore, the President's Budget includes a detailed set of business tax reform proposals to achieve the following five goals: (1) cut the corporate tax rate and pay for it by making struc-

168 Analytical perspectives

tural reforms and eliminating loopholes and subsidies; (2) strengthen American manufacturing and innovation; (3) strengthen the international tax system; (4) simplify and cut taxes for small businesses; and (5) avoid adding to deficits in the short-term or the long-term.

The Administration's receipt proposals begin the process of comprehensively reforming the Internal Revenue Code to help address the challenges faced by working families. These proposals: (1) help make work pay by expanding the EITC for workers without qualifying children and creating a new second-earner credit; (2) reform and simplify tax incentives that help families save for retirement and pay for college and child care; and (3) reform capital gains taxation to eliminate a loophole that lets substantial capital gains income escape tax forever. They also reduce the deficit and make the tax system fairer by eliminating a number of tax loopholes and reducing tax benefits for higher-income taxpayers. The Administration's proposals that affect receipts are described below.

ELEMENTS OF BUSINESS TAX REFORM

Reform the U.S. International Tax System

Restrict deductions for excessive interest of members of financial reporting groups.—Section 163(j) of the Internal Revenue Code generally places a cap on the amount of interest expense paid to related parties (and to unrelated parties on debt guaranteed by a related party) that a corporation can deduct relative to its U.S. earnings, but does not consider whether a foreign-parented group's U.S. operations are more leveraged than the rest of the group's operations. In lieu of applying section 163(j), the Administration's proposal would limit the interest expense deduction of an entity that is a member of a group that prepares consolidated financial statements if the member's net interest expense for financial statement purposes exceeds the member's proportionate share of the group's financial statement net interest expense (excess financial statement net interest expense). The member's share of the groups' financial statement net interest expense would be determined based on the member's proportionate share of the group's reported earnings. If a member has excess financial statement net interest expense, that member will have excess net interest expense for tax purposes for which a deduction is disallowed in the same proportion that the member's net interest expense for financial statement purposes is excess financial statement net interest expense. Alternatively, if a member fails to substantiate its share of the group's net interest expense, or a member so elects, the member's interest deduction would be limited to 10 percent of the member's U.S. adjusted taxable income. The proposal would not apply to financial services entities or financial reporting groups that would otherwise report less than \$5 million of net U.S. interest expense for a taxable year. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Provide tax incentives for locating jobs and business activity in the United States and remove tax deductions for shipping jobs overseas.—To provide a tax incentive for U.S. companies to move jobs into the United States from offshore, the Administration proposes to create a credit against income tax equal to 20 percent of the expenses paid or incurred in connection with insourcing a U.S. trade or business. In addition, to reduce incentives for U.S. companies to move jobs offshore, the proposal would disallow deductions for expenses paid or incurred in connection with outsourcing a U.S. trade or For this purpose, insourcing (outsourcing) a U.S. trade or business means reducing or eliminating a trade or business or line of business currently conducted outside (inside) the United States and starting up, expanding, or otherwise moving the same trade or business within (outside) the United States. Also for this purpose, expenses paid or incurred in connection with insourcing or outsourcing a U.S. trade or business are limited solely to expenses associated with the relocation of the trade or business and do not include capital expenditures, severance pay, or other assistance to displaced workers. The proposal would be effective for expenses paid or incurred after the date of enactment.

Repeal delay in the implementation of worldwide interest allocation.—The rules for allocating and apportioning interest expense between U.S. and foreign source income are based on the theory that money is fungible and, therefore, interest expense is properly attributable to all investments of a taxpayer. Under current law, however, interest expense of the domestic members of a worldwide group of companies is allocated by treating only the domestic members as a single corporation. Consequently, U.S. members are required to allocate their U.S. interest expense to their U.S. and foreign investments without taking into account any third party interest expense incurred by foreign members of the group. Under current law, an election is available for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2020, to allow members of an affiliated group of U.S. corporations to allocate interest on a worldwide group basis under which interest expense incurred in the United States would be allocated against foreign-source income only to the extent that the debt-toasset ratio is higher for U.S. than for foreign investments. Under the Administration's proposal, this election would be permitted for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Impose a 19-percent minimum tax on foreign income.—Subject to certain limited exceptions under subpart F, U.S. companies are able to defer paying U.S. tax on the profits earned by their CFCs until the profits are repatriated. This ability to defer U.S. tax creates an incentive for U.S. multinationals to locate production overseas and shift profits abroad, eroding the U.S. tax base. In addition, the current system discourages these companies from bringing low-taxed foreign earnings back to the United States. To address these problems, the Administration proposes to supplement the existing subpart F regime with a per-country minimum tax on foreign earnings.

Under the Administration's proposal, foreign earnings, other than subpart F income, would be subject to current U.S. taxation at a rate of 19 percent less 85 percent of the per-country foreign effective tax rate. The tentative minimum tax base for each country would be the total earnings of all business units that are tax resident in that country under foreign law, net of dividends received. The tentative minimum tax base would be reduced by an allowance for corporate equity that would provide a risk-free return on equity invested in active assets. The minimum tax would be imposed on foreign earnings regardless of whether they are repatriated to the United States, and all foreign earnings of a CFC could be repatriated without further U.S. tax. Thus under the proposal, all CFC earnings would be subject to U.S. tax either immediately or not at all.

Foreign source royalty and interest payments paid to U.S. persons would be taxed at the U.S. statutory rate, but certain income attributable to a foreign branch or to the performance of services abroad would be eligible for taxation at the minimum tax rate. Interest expense allocated and apportioned to earnings for which the minimum tax is paid would be deductible at the U.S. minimum tax rate on those earnings. No deduction would be permitted for interest expense allocated and apportioned to foreign earnings for which no U.S. income tax is paid. While subpart F generally would continue in effect as under current law, the rules regarding CFC investments in U.S. property and previously taxed earnings would be repealed, and the subpart F high-tax exception would be made mandatory. In addition, the look-through exception, excluding from subpart F income interest, dividends, rents and royalties received or accrued from a related CFC (to the extent attributable or properly allocable to income of the CFC that is neither subpart F income nor income treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States), currently applicable to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2005 and before January 1, 2020, would be permanently extended, and income qualifying for the look-through exception would be subject to the minimum tax. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Impose a 14-percent one-time tax on previously untaxed foreign income.—Under current law, U.S. multinational companies do not pay U.S. tax on the profits earned by their CFCs until those profits are repatriated, subject to a limited exception under subpart F for passive and other highly mobile income. Under the Administration's proposal for companies to pay a minimum tax on foreign income, no U.S. tax would be imposed on a CFC's payment of a dividend to a U.S. shareholder. Therefore, the Administration proposes to impose a onetime 14-percent tax on the accumulated earnings of CFCs that were not previously subject to U.S. tax. A credit would be allowed for the amount of foreign income taxes associated with such earnings, multiplied by the ratio of the one-time tax rate to the otherwise applicable U.S. corporate tax rate. The earnings subject to the one-time tax could then be repatriated without any further U.S. tax.

Limit shifting of income through intangible property transfers.—Under current law, there is a lack of clarity regarding the scope of the definition of intangible property under section 936(h)(3)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code. This definition of intangible property applies for purposes of the special rules under section 367 of the Internal Revenue Code relating to transfers of intangible property by a U.S. person to a foreign corporation and the allocation of income and deductions among taxpayers under section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code to prevent inappropriate shifting of income outside the United States. The Administration's proposal would provide that the definition of intangible property under section 936(h) (3)(B) (and therefore for purposes of sections 367 and 482) also includes workforce in place, goodwill and going concern value, and any other item owned or controlled by a taxpayer that is not a tangible or financial asset and that has substantial value independent of the services of any individual. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Disallow the deduction for excess non-taxed reinsurance premiums paid to affiliates.—U.S affiliates of foreign insurance companies can avoid U.S. taxation of their profits from their U.S. insurance business by reinsuring that business with affiliated foreign insurance companies. Under the Administration's proposal, a U.S. insurance company would be denied a deduction for certain non-taxed reinsurance premiums paid to foreign affiliates, offset by an income exclusion for return premiums, ceding commissions, reinsurance recovered, or other amounts received from such affiliates. A foreign corporation that is paid premiums that would be affected by this provision could instead elect to treat those premiums and the associated investment income as income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and attributable to a permanent establishment for tax treaty purposes. For foreign tax credit purposes, such effectively connected income would be treated as foreign source income and would be placed into a separate category for purposes of applying the credit limitation rules. The proposal would be effective for policies issued in taxable years beginning after December 31,

Modify tax rules for dual capacity taxpayers.— The Administration proposes to tighten the foreign tax credit rules that apply to taxpayers that are subject to a foreign levy and that also receive (directly or indirectly) a specific economic benefit from the levying country (so-called "dual capacity" taxpayers). The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Tax gain from the sale of a partnership interest on look-through basis.—Under the Administration's proposal, gain or loss from the sale of a partnership interest would be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and subject to U.S. income taxation to the extent attributable to the partner's share of the partnership's unrealized gain or loss from property used in a trade or business in the United States. The proposal would also require the pur-

chaser of a partnership interest to withhold 10 percent of the purchase price to ensure the seller's compliance. The proposal would be effective for sales and exchanges after December 31, 2016.

Modify sections 338(h)(16) and 902 to limit credits when non-double taxation exists.—The Administration proposes to modify the foreign tax credit rules to reduce the availability of foreign tax credits in circumstances where no double taxation would otherwise exist. Under section 338 of the Internal Revenue Code, taxpayers can elect to treat certain acquisitions of the stock of a corporation as an acquisition of the corporation's assets for U.S. tax purposes. Because this election does not alter the foreign tax consequences of the transaction, section 338(h)(16) limits the ability of taxpayers to claim additional foreign tax credits by generally requiring the seller to continue to treat the gain recognized on the transaction as gain from the sale of stock for foreign tax credit purposes. The Administration proposes to extend these rules to other similar transactions that are treated as asset acquisitions for U.S. tax purposes but as acquisitions of an equity interest in an entity for foreign tax purposes. In addition, under the Administration's proposal, foreign income taxes paid by a foreign corporation would be reduced for U.S. tax purposes if a redemption transaction results in the elimination of earnings and profits of the foreign corporation. The foreign income taxes reduced under the proposal would be the foreign income taxes that are associated with the eliminated earnings and profits. The proposals would be effective for transactions occurring after December 31, 2016.

Close loopholes under subpart F.—Certain rules under subpart F rely on technical distinctions that may be manipulated or circumvented contrary to subpart F's policy of requiring current U.S. taxation of passive and other highly mobile income earned by CFCs. In order to close these loopholes, the Administration proposes to: (1) create a new category of subpart F income, foreign base company digital income, which generally would include income of a CFC from the lease or sale of a digital copyrighted article or from the provision of a digital service in cases where the CFC uses intangible property developed by a related party (including property developed under a cost sharing arrangement) to produce the income and the CFC does not, through its own employees, make a substantial contribution to the development of the property or services that give rise to the income; (2) expand the category of foreign base company sales income to include income of a CFC from the sale of property manufactured on behalf of the CFC by a related person, regardless of whether the CFC is characterized as obtaining the property through a purchase transaction or through a manufacturing service contract; (3) amend the ownership attribution rules of section 958(b) of the Internal Revenue Code so that certain stock directly owned by a foreign person is attributed to a related U.S. person for purposes of determining whether a foreign corporation is a CFC or a U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder; and (4) eliminate the requirement that a foreign corporation must be a CFC for an uninterrupted period of at least 30 days in order for a U.S. shareholder

to have a subpart F income inclusion with respect to the corporation. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Restrict the use of hybrid arrangements that create stateless income.—Taxpayers currently use a variety of cross-border hybrid arrangements to claim deductions without corresponding inclusions in any jurisdiction or to claim multiple deductions for the same payment in different jurisdictions. The Administration proposes to deny deductions for interest and royalty payments paid to related parties when either: (1) as a result of a hybrid arrangement there is no corresponding inclusion to the recipient in the foreign jurisdiction; or (2) a hybrid arrangement would permit the taxpayer to claim an additional deduction for the same payment in more than one jurisdiction. Additionally, sections 954(c)(3) and 954(c) (6) of the Internal Revenue Code would not apply to payments made to a foreign reverse hybrid held directly by a U.S. owner when such amounts are treated as deductible payments by a foreign related person. Regulatory authority would be granted to the Department of the Treasury to issue any regulations necessary to carry out the purposes of this proposal, including regulations that would deny all or a portion of the deduction claimed with respect to an interest or royalty payment that, as a result of the hybrid arrangement, is subject to inclusion in the recipient's jurisdiction pursuant to a preferential regime that has the effect of reducing the generally applicable statutory rate by at least 25 percent. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Limit the ability of domestic entities to expatriate.—Section 7874 of the Internal Revenue Code applies to certain transactions (known as "inversion transactions") in which a U.S. corporation is replaced by a foreign corporation as the parent company of a worldwide affiliated group. Under current law, if an inversion transaction occurs, certain adverse tax consequences apply depending upon whether the continuing ownership of historical shareholders of the U.S. corporation in the foreign acquiring corporation is either 80 percent or more (in which case the foreign acquiring corporation is treated as a domestic corporation for all U.S. tax purposes) or at least 60 percent but less than 80 percent (in which case the foreign status of the acquiring corporation is respected but other penalties apply). The Administration proposes to broaden the definition of an inversion transaction by reducing the 80-percent shareholder continuity threshold to a greater-than-50-percent threshold, and by eliminating the 60-percent threshold. The Administration also proposes to provide that, regardless of the level of shareholder continuity, an inversion transaction will occur if the fair market value of the stock of the U.S. corporation is greater than the fair market value of the stock of the foreign acquiring corporation, and the affiliated group is primarily managed and controlled in the United States and does not conduct substantial business activities in the relevant foreign country. In addition, the proposal would provide the IRS with authority to share with authorized employees of other Federal agencies, upon request, information collected with respect to the identity of companies that

are the subject of an inversion transaction. The proposal generally would be effective for transactions that are completed after December 31, 2016, except that, effective January 1, 2017, the proposal would provide the IRS with the authority to share with other Federal agencies the specified information without regard to when the inversion transaction occurred.

Simplification and Tax Relief for Small Business

Expand expensing for small business.—Business taxpayers are allowed to expense up to \$500,000 in annual investment expenditures for qualifying property. However, only \$25,000 of the cost of any sport utility vehicle (SUV) may be taken into account. The maximum amount that can be expensed is reduced by the amount by which the taxpayer's cost of qualifying property exceeds \$2 million. The maximum expensing limit and the phase-out threshold amount are indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015. The Administration proposes to increase the maximum expensing limit to \$1 million, indexed for inflation, effective for qualifying property placed in service in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. The \$25,000 expensing limit for SUVs would also be indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Expand simplified accounting for small business and establish a uniform definition of small business for accounting methods.—Current law contains several small business exceptions from various accounting requirements based on a taxpayer's average annual gross receipts. Exception thresholds vary between \$1 million and \$25 million of gross receipts, depending on the specific accounting rule, and the legal status and business activity of the taxpayer. The Administration proposes to create a uniform small business threshold at \$25 million in average annual gross receipts for allowing exceptions from certain accounting rules, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. This threshold would be indexed for inflation with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. Satisfaction of the gross receipts test would allow an entity to elect one or more of the following items: (1) use of the cash method of accounting in lieu of an accrual method (regardless of whether the entity holds inventories); (2) the non-application of the uniform capitalization (UNICAP) rules; and (3) the use of an inventory method of accounting that either conforms to the taxpayer's financial accounting method or is otherwise properly reflective of income. These rules would supersede the special cash method exceptions that apply to farm corporations, but current exceptions allowing the cash method by personal service corporations and by business entities that are not C corporations (other than partnerships with a C corporation partner) would continue. The exceptions from UNICAP not based on a gross receipts test would also continue.

Increase the limitations for deductible new business expenditures and consolidate provisions for start-up and organizational expenditures.—A taxpayer generally is allowed to elect to deduct up to \$5,000

of start-up expenditures in the taxable year in which an active trade or business begins. Similarly, a taxpayer may also elect to deduct up to \$5,000 of organizational expenditures in the taxable year in which a corporation or partnership begins business. In each case, the \$5,000 amount is reduced (but not below zero), by the amount by which such expenditures exceed \$50,000. To lower the tax cost of investigating new business opportunities and investing in new business activities, as well as tax administration and business compliance costs, the Administration proposes to consolidate the Internal Revenue Code provisions relating to start-up expenditures and organizational expenditures and to double permanently, from \$10,000 to \$20,000, the combined amount of new business expenditures that a taxpayer may elect to deduct, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. That amount would be reduced (but not below zero) by the amount by which the combined new business expenditures exceed \$120,000. Start-up and organizational expenditures that are not deducted under these provisions would continue to be amortized over a 180-month period, beginning with the month in which the active trade or business begins.

Expand and simplify the tax credit provided to qualified small employers for non-elective contributions to employee health insurance.—The ACA provides a tax credit to help small employers provide health insurance for employees and their families. To claim the credit, a qualified employer must have fewer than 25 full-time equivalent employees during the taxable year, pay annual full-time equivalent employee wages that average less than \$50,000 and make non-elective uniform contributions of at least 50 percent of the premium. The credit is generally available only for health insurance purchased through an Affordable Insurance Exchange and only for a maximum coverage period of two consecutive taxable years. The maximum credit, which is a specified percentage of premiums the employer pays during the taxable year, is reduced on a sliding scale between 10 and 25 full-time equivalent employees as well as between average annual wages of \$25,000 and \$50,000. Because the reductions are additive, an employer with fewer than 25 full-time equivalent employees paying average wages of less than \$50,000 might not be eligible for any tax credit. The qualified amount of the employer contribution on which the credit is based is reduced if the premium for the coverage purchased exceeds the average premium for the small group market in the rating areas in which the employee enrolls for coverage.

The Administration proposes to expand the credit to employers with up to 50 (rather than 25) full-time equivalent employees and to begin the phaseout of the maximum credit at 20 full-time equivalent employees (the credit would be reduced on a sliding scale between 20 and 50, rather than between 10 and 25, full-time equivalent employees). In addition, there would be a change to the coordination of the phaseouts of the credit that apply as the number of employees and average wages increase (using a formula that is multiplicative rather than additive) so as to provide a more gradual combined phaseout

and to ensure that employers with fewer than 50 employees and an average wage less than \$50,000 may be eligible for the credit, even if they are nearing the end of both phaseouts. The Administration also proposes to reduce taxpayer complexity by eliminating the requirement that an employer make a uniform contribution on behalf of each employee (although applicable non-discrimination laws will still apply), and eliminating the reduction in the qualifying contribution for premiums that exceed the average premium in the rating area. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015.

Incentives for Job Creation, Manufacturing, Research, and Clean Energy

Enhance and simplify research incentives.—The R&E tax credit calculated according to the "traditional" method is 20 percent of qualified research and experimentation expenditures above an historic base amount. An alternative simplified credit (ASC) of 14 percent is also provided. The Administration proposes to repeal the traditional method, which would not apply for expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2016. In addition, for expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2016, the following changes would apply: (1) the rate of the ASC would be increased to 18 percent; (2) the reduced ASC rate of 6 percent for businesses without qualified research expenses in the prior three years would be eliminated; (3) the credit would be allowed to offset AMT liability for all taxpayers; (4) contract research expenses would include 75 percent of payments to qualified non-profit organizations (such as educational institutions) for qualified research; and (5) the special rule for owners of a passthrough entity, which limits the amount of credit to the amount of tax attributable to that portion of a person's taxable income that is allocable or apportionable to the person's interest in such trade, business or entity would be repealed.

In addition, the proposal would repeal the requirement that research and experimentation costs be amortized over 10 years when calculating individual AMT. This would apply to expenditures paid or incurred after December 31, 2016.

Extend and modify certain employment tax credits, including incentives for hiring veterans.—The WOTC provides incentives to employers for hiring individuals from one or more of nine targeted groups and the Indian employment tax credit provides incentives to employers for hiring individuals who are members of an Indian tribe. The Indian employment tax credit applies to increases in qualified wages and health insurance costs over qualified wages and health insurance costs incurred in calendar year 1993 (the base year). The Administration proposes to permanently extend both credits, which include the Returning Heroes and Wounded Warrior credits enacted in 2011. In addition, beginning in 2017, the Administration proposes to: (1) expand the definition of disabled veterans eligible for the WOTC to include disabled veterans who use the GI bill to receive education or

training starting within one year after discharge and who are hired within six months of leaving the program; and (2) modify the Indian employment tax credit by changing the base year wages and health insurance costs to the average of those costs in the two years prior to the year for which the credit is being claimed.

Provide new Manufacturing Communities tax credit.—The Administration proposes to provide new tax credit authority to support qualified investments in communities affected by military base closures or mass layoffs, such as those arising from plant closures. This would provide about \$2 billion in credits for qualified investments approved in each of the three years, 2017 through 2019.

Provide Community College Partnership tax credit.—The Administration proposes a new tax credit authority to support collaboration between employers and community or technical colleges to encourage employer engagement and investment in these education and training pathways, and to facilitate the hiring of graduates of such colleges. This would provide \$500 million in credit authority for each of the five years, 2017 through 2021. The credit authority would be allocated annually to States on a per capita basis. Credits would be available to qualifying employers that hire qualifying community college graduates. The designated State agency would competitively award credit authority to qualifying community college consortia and certify employers' participation and eligibility to claim the credit.

Designate Promise Zones.—The Administration proposes to provide two tax incentives to the 20 designated Promise Zones. First, an employment credit would be provided to businesses that employ zone residents that would apply to the first \$15,000 of qualifying wages annually. The credit rate would be 20 percent for zone residents who are employed within the zone and 10 percent for zone residents employed outside of the zone. Second, qualifying property placed in service within the zone would be eligible for additional first-year depreciation of 100 percent of the adjusted basis of the property. Qualifying property would generally consist of depreciable property with a recovery period of 20 years or less. Zone designations for the purpose of the tax incentives would be in effect from January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2026.

Modify and permanently extend renewable electricity production tax credit and investment tax credit.—Current law provides production tax credits for renewable energy facilities. Qualified energy resources include wind, closed-loop biomass, open-loop biomass, geothermal energy, small irrigation power, municipal solid waste, qualified hydropower production, and marine and hydrokinetic renewable energy. Current law also provides an investment tax credit for renewable energy property. The investment tax credit is 30 percent of eligible basis for solar, fuel cell, and small wind property, and 10 percent for microturbine, combined heat and power system property, and geothermal property. Under current law, the production tax credit expires for wind facilities on which construction begins after December 31, 2019 and for eligible renewable sources other than wind, December

31, 2016. The Administration proposes to permanently extend the production tax credit at current credit rates (adjusted annually for inflation), make it refundable, and make it available to otherwise eligible renewable electricity consumed directly by the producer rather than sold to an unrelated third party, to the extent that its production can be independently verified. The production tax credit would also be available to individuals who install qualified solar electric and solar water heating property on a dwelling unit. Individuals would not be permitted to claim both the residential energy efficient property credit and the production tax credit. In addition, the proposal would permanently extend the investment tax credit under the terms available in 2016. Specifically, the proposal would permanently extend the 30-percent investment tax credit for solar (including solar process heat), fuel cell, and small wind property and the 10-percent credit for geothermal, microturbine, and combined heat and power property. The proposal would also make permanent the election to claim the proposed investment tax credit in lieu of the production tax credit for qualified facilities eligible for the production tax credit.

Modify and permanently extend the deduction for energy-efficient commercial building property.—Under current law, taxpayers are allowed to deduct expenditures for energy efficient commercial building property placed in service on or before December 31, 2016. For energy-efficient commercial building property placed in service after calendar year 2016, the Administration proposes to offer fixed deductions for the installation of energy-efficient commercial building property that reach an energy savings target. The proposal would also update the standard against which energy savings are measured in the definition of energy efficient commercial building property. In addition, the proposal would modify the baseline against which the required energy savings are measured for buildings with at least 10 years of occupancy. The new deductions would be permanent.

Provide a carbon dioxide investment and sequestration tax credit.—The Administration proposes to authorize \$2 billion in refundable investment tax credits for property installed at a new or retrofitted electric generating unit that captures and permanently "sequesters" carbon dioxide. Projects must capture and store at least one million metric tons of carbon dioxide per year. Projects that treat the entire flue gas stream from an electric generating unit or set of units must sequester at least 50 percent of the carbon dioxide in the stream. Projects that treat only a portion of the flue gas stream must capture at least 80 percent of the carbon dioxide in the stream. The investment tax credit would be available for 30 percent of the installed cost of eligible property. Eligible property includes only property that is part of a new project or retrofit placed in service after December 31, 2015. No more than \$800 million of the credits would be allowed to flow to projects that capture and store less than 80 percent of their carbon dioxide emissions. A minimum of 70 percent of the credits must flow to projects fueled by greater than 75 percent coal. The Administration also proposes to provide a 20-year, refundable sequestration tax credit

for facilities qualifying for the investment credit at a rate of \$50 per metric ton for carbon dioxide permanently sequestered and not beneficially reused and \$10 per metric ton for carbon dioxide that is permanently sequestered and beneficially reused. The sequestration credit would be indexed for inflation.

Provide additional tax credits for investment in qualified property used in a qualifying advanced energy manufacturing project.—A 30-percent credit for investment in eligible property used in a qualifying advanced energy manufacturing project was provided under ARRA. A qualifying advanced energy manufacturing project re-equips, expands, or establishes a manufacturing facility for the production of: (1) property designed to be used to produce energy from the sun, wind, geothermal deposits, or other renewable resources; (2) fuel cells, microturbines, or an energy storage system for use with electric or hybrid-electric motor vehicles; (3) electric grids to support the transmission of intermittent sources of renewable energy, including the storage of such energy; (4) property designed to capture and sequester carbon dioxide; (5) property designed to refine or blend renewable fuels (excluding fossil fuels) or to produce energy conservation technologies; (6) new qualified plug-in electric drive motor vehicles or components that are designed specifically for use with such vehicles; or (7) other advanced energy property designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions as may be determined by the Department of the Treasury. Eligible property must be depreciable (or amortizable) property used in a qualifying advanced energy project and does not include property designed to manufacture equipment for use in the refining or blending of any transportation fuel other than renewable fuels. The credit is available only for projects certified by the Department of the Treasury (in consultation with the Department of Energy). The Administration proposes to provide an additional \$2.5 billion in credits, thereby increasing the amount of credits to \$4.8 billion. In addition, the Administration proposes to allow up to \$200 million of these credits to be allocated to the construction of infrastructure that contributes to networks of refueling stations that serve alternative fuel vehicles.

Extend the tax credit for second generation biofuel production.—The nonrefundable tax credit of \$1.01 per gallon for blending cellulosic fuel expires on December 31, 2016. The Administration proposes to extend the tax credit at the expired level through December 31, 2022. The amount of the credit would then be reduced by 20.2 cents per gallon in each subsequent year, so that the credit would expire after December 31, 2026.

Provide a tax credit for the production of advanced technology vehicles.—Current law provides a tax credit for plug-in electric drive motor vehicles. The Administration proposes to replace this credit with a credit for advanced technology vehicles. The credit would be available for a vehicle that meets the following criteria: (1) the vehicle operates primarily on an alternative to petroleum; (2) as of January 1, 2015, there are few vehicles in operation in the United States using the same technology as such vehicle; and (3) the technology used

by the vehicle substantially exceeds the footprint-based target miles per gallon. In general, the credit would be scalable based on the vehicle's miles per gallon gasoline equivalent, but would be capped at \$10,000 (\$7,500 for vehicles with a manufacturer's suggested retail price above \$45,000). The credit for a battery-powered vehicle would be determined under current law rules for the credit for plug-in electric drive motor vehicles if that computation results in a greater credit. The credit would be allowed for vehicles placed in service after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2024. The credit would be limited to 75 percent of the otherwise allowable amount for vehicles placed in service in 2021, to 50 percent of such amount for vehicles placed in service in 2022, and to 25 percent of such amount for vehicles placed in service in 2023. The credit would be allowed to the vehicle manufacturer and would be transferable.

Provide a tax credit for medium- and heavy-duty alternative-fuel commercial vehicles.—Current law provides no tax incentive for alternative-fuel vehicles (other than fuel-cell vehicles) weighing more than 14,000 pounds. The Administration proposes to provide a tax credit for dedicated alternative-fuel commercial vehicles weighing more than 14,000 pounds. The credit would be \$25,000 for vehicles weighing between 14,000 and 26,000 pounds and \$40,000 for vehicles weighing more than 26,000 pounds. The credit would be allowed for vehicles placed in service after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2023. For vehicles placed in service in calendar year 2022, the credit would be limited to 50 percent of the otherwise allowable amount. The credit would be allowed to the manufacturer of the vehicle and would be transferable. If the credit is transferred to an end-use business purchaser, the purchaser would not be required to reduce the basis of depreciable property by the amount of the credit.

Modify and extend the tax credit for the construction of energy-efficient new homes.—Under the Administration's proposal, the tax credit for energy-efficient new homes, which expires on December 31, 2016, would be replaced with a two-tier credit starting in 2017. The first tier would provide a \$1,000 tax credit to homebuilders for the construction of each qualified ENERGY STAR certified new home that meets guidelines for energy efficiency and construction set by the Environmental Protection Agency. The second tier would provide a \$4,000 tax credit for the construction of each qualified Department of Energy (DOE) Zero Energy Ready Home certified to meet substantially higher standards for energy savings and construction set by the DOE. To ensure that a new home meets the ENERGY STAR or DOE Zero Energy Ready Home guidelines, verification by a qualified third party would be required. The new credits would apply to qualified new homes acquired from the homebuilder for use as a residence after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2027.

Incentives to Promote Regional Growth

Modify and permanently extend the NMTC.—The NMTC is a 39-percent credit for qualified equity investments made in qualified community development entities that are held for a period of seven years. The NMTC provision expires at the end of 2019. The Administration proposes to permanently extend the NMTC. Up to \$5 billion in qualifying investment would be allowed in each year beginning in 2020. The proposal would also permit the NMTC to permanently offset AMT liability for qualified equity investments made after December 31, 2019.

Reform and expand the LIHTC.—The LIHTC provides a tax incentive for affordable rental housing developments. The Administration proposes to make several changes to the rules governing LIHTCs. First, States would be empowered to convert some private-activitybond volume cap into authority to allocate additional LIHTCs. Also, a building would be able to qualify for 30-percent-present-value LIHTCs without issuing bonds if the building receives an adequate allocation of taxexempt volume cap. This proposal would provide States greater flexibility to address their affordable housing priorities, and would reduce transaction and financing costs. These changes would be effective for new volume cap received by States for calendar years beginning after the date of enactment, or for volume cap that is allocated to a building after that date.

Second, to provide incentives for creating mixed-income housing, projects would be allowed to comply with an income-average rule for LIHTC eligibility. Under this new rule, the average income for at least 40 percent of the units in a project could not exceed 60 percent of area median income (AMI). None of these units could be occupied by households with income greater than 80 percent of AMI. Buildings must meet this new average income threshold calculated both: (1) with all low-income units weighted equally; and (2) with each low-income unit weighted according to imputed LIHTC occupancy rules. For rehabilitation projects containing units that receive ongoing subsidies administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development or the Department of Agriculture (e.g., rental assistance, operating subsidies, or interest subsidies), a special rule would permit certain non-income qualified tenants to remain in residence without impairing the LIHTCs earned by the project. This provision adds to the two income criteria currently available for LIHTC developments, and would apply to LIHTC elections that are made after the date of enactment.

Third, preservation of federally-assisted affordable housing would be added to the selection criteria for LIHTC allocation. This factor would join the 10 criteria that State housing agencies must include in the qualified action plans that they consider when awarding LIHTCs. This change would apply to allocations made in calendar years beginning after the date of enactment.

Fourth, to remove any doubt, affirmatively furthering fair housing would be made an explicit fourth allocation preference in qualified allocation plans. This change

would also apply to allocations made in calendar years beginning after the date of enactment.

Fifth, the Administration proposes to allow the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to designate as a qualified census tract (QCT) any census tract that meets certain criteria for the prevalence of poverty or low-income households. A building in a QCT earns 30 percent more LIHTCs than it would in another location. The proposal would remove a current limit under which the aggregate population in census tracts designated as QCTs cannot exceed 20 percent of the metropolitan area's population. As a result of this limit, some census tracts with qualifying levels of poverty or low-income households may currently fail to be designated as QCTs because neighboring tracts also qualify. This change would apply to allocations made after the date of enactment.

Sixth, the proposal adds protection for victims of domestic violence as a mandatory provision of the long-term-use agreement required by the Internal Revenue Code between each LIHTC taxpayer and the State. To make the protection meaningful, victims of domestic violence would be given a right to enforce the agreement in State courts.

Incentives for Investment in Infrastructure

Provide America Fast Forward Bonds and expand eligible uses.—ARRA created the Build America Bond program as an optional new lower cost borrowing incentive for State and local governments on taxable bonds issued in 2009 and 2010 to finance new investments in governmental capital projects. Under the original program applicable to Build America Bonds issued in 2009 and 2010, the Department of the Treasury makes direct subsidy payments (called "refundable tax credits") to State and local governmental issuers in a subsidy amount equal to 35 percent of the coupon interest on the bonds. The Administration proposes to create a new permanent America Fast Forward Bond program, which would be an optional alternative to traditional tax-exempt bonds. Like Build America Bonds, America Fast Forward Bonds would be conventional taxable bonds issued by State and local governments in which the Federal Government makes direct payments to State and local governmental issuers (refundable tax credits). The subsidy rate would be 28 percent, which is approximately revenue neutral in comparison to the Federal tax losses from traditional tax-exempt bonds. The Administration proposes to expand the eligible uses for America Fast Forward Bonds beyond those for the Build America Bond program to include financing for governmental capital projects, current refundings of prior public capital project financings, short-term governmental working capital financings for governmental operating expenses subject to a 13-month maturity limitation, financing for section 501(c)(3) nonprofit entities, and financing for the types of projects and programs that can be financed with qualified private activity bonds subject to applicable State bond volume caps for the qualified private activity bond category. Further, eligible uses would include projects that can be financed with a new category of qualified private activity bond, known as "Qualified Public Infrastructure bonds," under a separate budget proposal described below. The proposal, which would be effective for bonds issued beginning in 2017, recommends exempting direct payments to State and local government issuers under the American Fast Forward Bond program from sequestration under the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act (BBEDCA).

Allow current refundings of State and local governmental bonds.—Current law provides Federal tax subsidies to lower borrowing costs on debt obligations issued by State and local governments for eligible purposes under various programs. These programs include traditional tax-exempt bonds and other temporary or targeted qualified tax credit bond programs (e.g., qualified school construction bonds) and direct borrowing subsidy payment programs (e.g., Build America Bonds). State and local bond programs have varied in the extent to which they expressly allow or treat refinancings (as distinguished from original financings to fund eligible program purposes). In a "current refunding" of State and local bonds, the refunded bonds are retired promptly within 90 days after issuance of the refinancing bonds. These refundings generally reduce borrowing costs for State and local governmental issuers, and they also reduce Federal revenue losses due to the Federal borrowing subsidies for State and local bonds. A general authorization for current refundings of State and local bonds not currently covered by specific refunding authority would promote greater uniformity, tax certainty, and borrowing cost savings. The Administration proposes to allow current refundings of these State and local bonds if: (1) the principal amount of the current refunding bonds is no greater than the outstanding principal amount of the refunded bonds, and (2) the weighted average maturity of the current refunding bonds is no longer than the remaining weighted average maturity of the refunded bonds. This proposal would be effective as of the date of enactment.

Repeal the \$150 million non-hospital bond limitation on qualified 501(c)(3) bonds.—The Tax Reform Act of 1986 established a \$150 million limit on the volume of outstanding non-hospital, tax-exempt bonds used for the benefit of a section 501(c)(3) organization. The provision was repealed in 1997 with respect to bonds issued after August 5, 1997, at least 95 percent of the net proceeds of which are used to finance capital expenditures incurred after that date. The limitation continues to apply to bonds more than five percent of the net proceeds of which finance or refinance: (1) working capital expenditures, or (2) capital expenditures incurred on or before August 5, 1997. The Administration proposes to repeal in its entirety the \$150 million limit on the volume of outstanding, non-hospital, tax-exempt bonds for the benefit of a section 501(c)(3) organization, effective for bonds issued after the date of enactment.

Increase national limitation amount for qualified highway or surface freight transfer facility bonds.—Tax-exempt private activity bonds may be used

to finance qualified highway or surface freight transfer facilities. A qualified highway or surface freight transfer facility is any surface transportation, international bridge, or tunnel project that receives Federal assistance under title 23 of the United States Code, or any facility for the transfer of freight from truck to rail or rail to truck that receives Federal assistance under title 23 or title 49 of the United States Code. Tax-exempt bonds issued to finance qualified highway or surface freight transfer facilities are not subject to State volume cap limitations. Instead, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to allocate a total of \$15 billion of issuance authority to qualified highway or surface freight transfer facilities in such manner as the Secretary determines appropriate. The Administration proposes to increase the \$15 billion aggregate amount permitted to be allocated by the Secretary of Transportation to \$19 billion with the elimination of this category of bond and conversion to qualified public infrastructure bonds once these funds are allocated.

Provide a new category of qualified private activity bonds for infrastructure projects referred to as "qualified public infrastructure bonds" (QPIBs).— Under the proposal, QPIBs, a new category of tax-exempt private activity bonds, would be available for the financing of newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated infrastructure facilities owned by governmental entities and available for general public use. Infrastructure facilities eligible for QPIB financing would include airports, docks and wharves, mass commuting facilities, facilities for the furnishing of water, sewage facilities, solid waste disposal facilities, qualified highway or surface freight transfer facilities, and broadband telecommunications assets for high-speed internet access. Existing overlapping categories of qualified private activity bonds that can be financed with QPIBs generally would be eliminated. The existing category for qualified highway or surface freight transfer facilities would continue to be available for the existing \$15 billion bond volume authorization and the proposed additional \$4 billion authorization under the preceding proposal. QPIBs would not be subject to volume cap and the interest would not be a preference that is subject to tax under the AMT. The proposal also expands the safe harbor rule for ownership by a governmental unit where such facilities are leased or subject to concession agreements or management contracts to QPIBs, which would open up use of tax-exempt financing for publicprivate partnerships. The proposal would be effective for bonds issued beginning in 2017.

Modify qualified private activity bonds for public education facilities.—Current law permits tax-exempt private activity bond financing for different specified types of eligible exempt facilities and programs, including, among others, "qualified public educational facilities" that are part of public elementary or secondary schools. The current eligibility rules require that a private "corporation" own the public school facilities under a public-private partnership agreement with a public State or local educational agency and that the private corporation transfer the ownership of the school facilities to the public agency at the end of the term of the bonds for no addi-

tional consideration. The proposal would eliminate the private corporation ownership requirement and instead would allow any private person, including private entities organized in ways other than as corporations, either to own the public school facilities or to operate those school facilities through lease, concession, or other operating arrangements. Further, since private ownership would no longer be an eligibility condition, the proposal would remove the requirement to transfer the school facilities to a public agency at the end of the term of the bonds for no additional consideration. In addition, the proposal would remove the separate volume cap for qualified public educational facilities and instead would include these facilities under the unified annual State bond volume cap. The proposal would be effective for bonds issued after the date of enactment.

Modify treatment of banks investing in tax-exempt bonds.—Under current law, financial institutions' interest deductions are generally reduced by 100 percent of the interest expense allocable to assets that produce tax-exempt interest income. Financial institutions, however, can generally deduct 80 percent of interest expense allocated to qualified small issuer bonds. Qualified small issuer bonds are certain tax-exempt bonds issued by States and localities that annually issue no more than \$10 million of such bonds. The proposal would increase the size limit for the qualified small issuer bond exception from \$10 million to \$30 million. Moreover, under current law, if a bank has made the election to be taxed under subchapter S or if the bank is a qualified subchapter S subsidiary, the bank is exempt even from the 20-percent disallowance of interest expense allocable to qualified small issuer bonds. The proposal would make these banks subject to the 20-percent disallowance and thus would equalize the treatment of financial institutions. Finally, the proposal also would allow financial institutions to deduct up to 80 percent of interest expense allocable to any tax-exempt obligations (whether or not a qualified small issuer bond) subject to a cap that would limit the benefit of this rule to interest expense allocable to bonds representing no more than two percent of the basis of the institution's assets. This two-percent cap, however, would not apply to the qualified small issuer bond exception. The proposal would apply to bonds issued in calendar years beginning on or after January 1, 2017.

Repeal tax-exempt bond financing of professional sports facilities.—Current law permits the use of tax-exempt governmental bond proceeds for private activities unless both of the following apply: (1) more than 10 percent of the payment of the debt service is from a private business source, and (2) more than 10 percent of the use of the facility is for a private business use. Thus, even if use by a professional sports team of a bond-financed stadium exceeds 10 percent of the total use of the facility, the financing will be tax-exempt if the debt service is paid from sources other than sports facility revenues or other private payments. The proposal would eliminate the private payment test for professional sports facilities such that bonds to finance professional sports facilities would be taxable private activity bonds if more than 10 percent of

the use of the facility is for a private business purpose. By removing the private payment test, tax-exempt governmental bond financing of sports facilities for professional sports teams would be eliminated. The proposal would be effective for bonds issued after December 31, 2016.

Allow more flexible research arrangements for purposes of private business use limits.—Under current law, the IRS provides safe harbors that allow certain basic research arrangements with private businesses at tax-exempt bond financed research facilities. The existing safe harbors impose certain constraints on setting the terms of use of patents or other products resulting from the research, based on specific legislative history. In particular, the terms of use of resulting products for both research sponsors and other users alike must be set only after the products become available for use even though research arrangements typically are made prior to discoveries. The Administration proposes to provide additional flexibility for bona fide arm's length arrangements relating to basic research that would allow setting the terms of use of resulting products in advance of when the products become available for use. The proposal would be effective for research arrangements entered into after the date of

Modify tax-exempt bonds for Indian tribal governments (ITGs).—In general, current law limits ITGs in their use of tax-exempt bonds to the financing of certain "essential governmental function" activities that are customarily performed by State and local governments. ARRA provided a limited \$2 billion authorization of "Tribal Economic Development Bonds," which gives ITGs more flexibility to use tax-exempt bonds under standards that are more comparable to those applied to State and local governments in their use of tax-exempt bonds (subject to certain express targeting restrictions that require financed projects to be located on Indian reservations and that prohibit the financing of certain gaming facilities). In December 2011, the Department of the Treasury submitted a required report to the Congress regarding its study of the Tribal Economic Development Bond provision and its recommendations for ITG tax-exempt bond financing. The Administration proposes to modify the standards for ITG tax-exempt bond financing to reflect the recommendations in this report. In particular, the Administration's proposal generally would adopt the State or local government standard for tax-exempt governmental bonds without a bond volume cap on such governmental bonds for purposes of ITG eligibility to issue tax-exempt governmental bonds. The proposal would repeal the existing essential governmental function standard for ITG taxexempt bond financing. In addition, the proposal would allow ITGs to issue tax-exempt private activity bonds for the same types of projects and activities as are allowed for State and local governments, under a modified national bond volume cap to be administered by the Department of the Treasury. Further, the proposal generally would continue an existing targeting restriction that would require projects financed with ITG bonds to be located on Indian reservations, with some additional flexibility to finance projects that have a requisite nexus to Indian reservations and that serve resident populations of Indian reservations. Finally, the proposal would continue an existing targeting restriction that prohibits financing of certain gaming projects. This proposal would be effective as of the date of enactment.

Eliminate Fossil Fuel Tax Preferences

Eliminate fossil fuel tax preferences.—Current law provides a number of credits and deductions that are targeted towards certain oil, natural gas, and coal activities. In accordance with the President's agreement at the G-20 Summit in Pittsburgh to phase out inefficient subsidies for fossil fuels so that the Nation can transition to a 21st century energy economy, the Administration proposes to repeal a number of tax preferences available for fossil fuels. The following tax preferences available for oil and natural gas activities are proposed to be repealed beginning in 2017: (1) the enhanced oil recovery credit for eligible costs attributable to a qualified enhanced oil recovery project; (2) the credit for oil and natural gas produced from marginal wells; (3) the expensing of intangible drilling costs; (4) the deduction for costs paid or incurred for any tertiary injectant used as part of a tertiary recovery method; (5) the exception to passive loss limitations provided to working interests in oil and natural gas properties; (6) the use of percentage depletion with respect to oil and natural gas wells; (7) the ability to claim the domestic manufacturing deduction against income derived from the production of oil and natural gas; and (8) twoyear amortization of independent producers' geological and geophysical expenditures, instead allowing amortization over the same seven-year period as for integrated oil and natural gas producers. The following tax preferences available for coal activities are proposed to be repealed beginning in 2017: (1) expensing of exploration and development costs; (2) percentage depletion for hard mineral fossil fuels; (3) capital gains treatment for royalties; and (4) the ability to claim the domestic manufacturing deduction against income derived from the production of coal and other hard mineral fossil fuels. In addition, under the proposal, publicly traded partnerships with qualifying income and gains from activities relating to fossil fuels would be taxed as C corporations beginning in 2022.

Reform the Treatment of Financial and Insurance Industry Products

Require that derivative contracts be marked to market with resulting gain or loss treated as ordinary.—Under current law, derivative contracts are subject to various rules on timing and character. The Administration's proposal would require that gain or loss from a derivative contract be reported on an annual basis as if the contract were sold for its fair market value no later than the last business day of the taxpayer's taxable year. Gain or loss resulting from the contract would be treated as ordinary and as attributable to a trade or business of the taxpayer. A derivative contract would be broadly defined to include any contract the value of which

is determined, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, by actively traded property. A derivative contract that is embedded in another financial instrument or contract is subject to mark to market if the derivative by itself would be marked. In addition, a taxpayer that enters into a derivative contract that substantially diminishes the risk of loss on actively traded stock that is not otherwise marked to market would be required to mark the stock to market with preexisting gain recognized at that time and loss recognized when the financial instrument would have been recognized in the absence of the straddle. An exception from mark-to-market treatment would be provided for business hedging transactions. The proposal would apply to contracts entered into after December 31, 2016.

Modify rules that apply to sales of life insurance contracts.—The seller of an interest in a life insurance contract generally must report as taxable income the difference between the amount received from the buyer and the adjusted basis of the contract. The recipient of a death benefit under a life insurance contract that had been transferred for a valuable consideration is generally subject to tax on the excess of those benefits over the amounts paid for the contract, plus any subsequent premiums paid, unless an exception to this "transfer-for-value" rule applies. Among the exceptions are transfers to the insured, to a partner of the insured, to a partnership in which the insured is a partner, or to a corporation in which the insured is a shareholder or officer. The Administration proposes to replace these excepted transfers with exceptions for transfers to the insured, or to a partnership or a corporation of which the insured owns at least 20 percent of the partnership or corporation. Furthermore, in response to the growth in the number and size of life settlement transactions, the Administration proposes to expand information reporting on the sale of life insurance contracts and the payment of death benefits on contracts that were sold. The proposal would apply to sales or assignments of interests in life insurance policies occurring after December 31, 2016.

Modify proration rules for life insurance company general and separate accounts.—Under current law, a life insurance company is required to "prorate" its net investment income between a company's share and the policyholders' share. The result of this proration calculation is used to limit the funding of tax-deductible reserve increases with tax-preferred income. However, the complexity of this proration regime has generated significant controversy between life insurance companies and the IRS. The Administration proposes to replace the current regime with one that is simpler and less controversial. Under the proposal, a company's share would be calculated for a life insurance company's general account and individually for each of its separate accounts. The company's share would equal one less the ratio of an account's mean reserves to its mean assets. The company's share would determine the portion of the non-affiliated corporate dividends received by the company that would be eligible for a dividends-received deduction. It would also determine the portion of interest earned on State and local bonds and the portion of increases for the taxable year in certain policy cash values of life insurance and annuity policies that would be exempt from tax. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Expand pro rata interest expense disallowance for corporate-owned life insurance.—The interest deductions of a business other than an insurance company are reduced to the extent the interest paid or accrued is allocable to unborrowed policy cash values on life insurance and annuity contracts. The purpose of this pro rata disallowance is to prevent the deduction of interest expense that is allocable to the inside buildup of insurance and annuity contracts that is either tax-deferred or not taxed at all. An exception to this rule applies under current law to contracts covering the lives of officers, directors, employees, and 20-percent owners of the taxpayer. The Administration proposes to repeal the exception for officers, directors, and employees unless those individuals are also 20-percent owners of the business that is the owner or beneficiary of the contracts. Thus, purchases of life insurance by small businesses and other taxpayers that depend heavily on the services of a 20-percent owner would be unaffected, but the funding of deductible interest expenses with tax-exempt or tax-deferred inside buildup would be curtailed. The proposal would apply to contracts issued after December 31, 2016, in taxable years ending after that date.

Conform net operating loss (NOL) rules of life insurance companies to those of other corporations.—Current law generally allows businesses to carry back an NOL up to two taxable years preceding the taxable year of loss (loss year) and to carry forward an NOL up to 20 taxable years following the loss year. Life insurance companies, however, may carry a "loss from operations" (a life insurance company's NOL equivalent) back three taxable years preceding the loss year and forward 15 taxable years following the loss year. The proposal would establish operating loss conformity for life insurance companies by allowing a loss from operations to be carried back up to two taxable years prior to the loss year, and carried forward 20 taxable years following the loss year. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Other Business Revenue Changes and Loophole Closers

Repeal last-in, first-out (LIFO) method of accounting for inventories.—Under the LIFO method of accounting for inventories, it is assumed that the cost of the items of inventory that are sold is equal to the cost of the items of inventory that were most recently purchased or produced. The Administration proposes to repeal the use of the LIFO accounting method for Federal tax purposes, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. Taxpayers required to change from the LIFO method would be required to change their method of accounting for inventory and report their beginning-of-year inventory at its first-in, first-out (FIFO) value in the year

of change. Taxpayers would recognize any income resulting from the change in accounting ratably over 10 years.

Repeal lower-of-cost-or-market inventory accounting method.—The Administration proposes to prohibit the use of the lower-of-cost-or-market and subnormal goods methods of inventory accounting, which currently allow certain taxpayers to take cost-of-goods-sold deductions on certain merchandise before the merchandise is sold. The proposed prohibition would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016. Taxpayers would recognize any income resulting from the change in accounting method ratably over four years.

Modify like-kind exchange rules.—Under section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code, no gain or loss is recognized when business or investment property is exchanged for "like-kind" business or investment property. The Administration proposes to limit the amount of capital gain deferred under section 1031 to \$1 million (indexed for inflation) per taxpayer per taxable year. In addition, art and collectibles would no longer be eligible for like-kind exchanges. The proposal would be effective for like-kind exchanges completed after December 31, 2016.

Modify depreciation rules for purchases of general aviation passenger aircraft.—Under current law, airplanes used in commercial and contract carrying of passengers and freight generally are depreciated over seven years. Airplanes not used in commercial or contract carrying of passengers or freight, such as corporate jets, generally are depreciated over five years. The Administration proposes to increase the depreciation recovery period for general aviation airplanes that carry passengers to seven years, effective for such airplanes placed in service after December 31, 2016.

Expand the definition of substantial built-in loss for purposes of partnership loss transfers.—Upon a sale or exchange of a partnership interest, certain partnerships, including partnerships that have a substantial built-in loss in their assets, must adjust the basis of those assets. A substantial built-in loss is defined by reference to the partnership's adjusted basis – that is, there is a substantial built-in loss if the partnership's adjusted basis in its assets exceeds by more than \$250,000 the fair market value of such property. Although the provision prevents the duplication of losses where the partnership has a substantial built-in loss in its assets, it does not prevent the duplication of losses where the transferee partner would be allocated a loss in excess of \$250,000 if the partnership sold all of its assets, but the partnership itself does not have a substantial built-in loss in its assets. Accordingly, the Administration proposes to measure a substantial built-in loss also by reference to whether the transferee would be allocated a loss in excess of \$250,000 if the partnership sold all of its assets immediately after the sale or exchange. The proposal would apply to sales or exchanges after the date of enactment.

Extend partnership basis limitation rules to nondeductible expenditures.—A partner's distributive share of loss is allowed as a deduction only to the extent of the partner's adjusted basis in its partnership interest at the end of the partnership year in which such loss occurred. Any excess is allowed as a deduction at the end of the partnership year in which the partner has sufficient basis in its partnership interest to take the deductions. This basis limitation does not apply to partnership expenditures that are not deductible in computing its taxable income and not properly chargeable to capital account. Thus, even though a partner's distributive share of nondeductible expenditures reduces the partner's basis in its partnership interest, such items are not subject to the basis limitation and the partner may deduct or credit them currently even if the partner's basis in its partnership interest is zero. The Administration proposes to allow a partner's distributive share of expenditures not deductible in computing the partnership's taxable income and not properly chargeable to capital account only to the extent of the partner's adjusted basis in its partnership interest at the end of the partnership year in which such expenditure occurred. The proposal would apply to a partnership's taxable year beginning on or after the date of enactment.

Deny deduction for punitive damages.—The Administration proposes to deny tax deductions for punitive damages paid or incurred by a taxpayer, whether upon a judgment or in settlement of a claim. Where the liability for punitive damages is covered by insurance, such damages paid or incurred by the insurer would be included in the gross income of the insured person. This proposal would apply to damages paid or incurred after December 31, 2016.

Conform corporate ownership standards.—Taxfree treatment of corporate reorganizations, distributions, and incorporations generally turns on whether shareholders acquire or retain "control" of the relevant corporation. For this purpose, control is defined as the ownership of 80 percent of the corporation's voting stock and 80 percent of the number of shares of all other classes of stock of the corporation. In contrast, the ownership standard for corporate affiliation (required for filing consolidated returns, tax-free parent-subsidiary liquidations, and treating certain stock dispositions as asset sales) is the direct or indirect ownership by a parent corporation of at least 80 percent of the total voting power of another corporation's stock and at least 80 percent of the total value of that other corporation's stock. The control test for tax-free reorganizations, distributions, and incorporations is easily manipulated by allocating voting power among the shares of a corporation, and the absence of a value component allows shareholders to retain voting control of a corporation but to economically "sell" a significant amount of the value of the corporation. In addition, the existence of two ownership standards in the corporate tax area causes unnecessary complexity and traps for the unwary. The Administration proposes to substitute the ownership test for affiliation for the control test used in connection with tax-free incorporations, distributions, and reorganizations. The proposal would be effective for transactions occurring after December 31, 2016.

180 Analytical perspectives

Tax corporate distributions as dividends.—The Administration proposes to amend the Internal Revenue Code to ensure that a transfer of property by a corporation to its shareholder better reflects the corporation's dividend paying capacity. First, the Administration proposes to tax non-dividend "leveraged distributions" from a distributing corporation as a dividend distribution made by a related corporation directly to the distributing corporation's shareholder to the extent the related corporation funded the distribution with a principal purpose of not treating the distribution from the distributing corporation to its shareholder as a dividend. Second, the Administration proposes to repeal the "boot-within-gain" limitation under section 356(a) of the Internal Revenue Code in reorganization transactions in which the shareholder's exchange has the effect of the distribution of a dividend. For this purpose, the Administration also proposes to align the available pool of earnings and profits for such distributions with that for ordinary distributions. Third, the Administration proposes amending section 312(a)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code so that earnings and profits are reduced only by the distributing corporation's basis in any high-basis distributed stock, determined without regard to basis adjustments resulting from actual or deemed dividend equivalent redemptions, or any series of distributions or transactions undertaken with a view to create and distribute high-basis stock of any corporation. Fourth, the Administration proposes disregarding a subsidiary's purchase of "hook stock" issued by a controlling corporation in exchange for property so that the property used to purchase the hook stock gives rise to a deemed distribution from the purchasing subsidiary (through any intervening entities) to the issuing corporation. The hook stock would be treated as being contributed by the issuer (through any intervening entities) to the subsidiary. The proposal would grant the Secretary of the Treasury authority to prescribe regulations necessary to achieve the purposes of this proposal, including regulations to: (1) treat transactions as leveraged distributions; (2) treat purchases of interests in shareholder entities other than corporations as hook stock and provide rules related to hook stock within a consolidated group; and (3) treat a transaction as undertaken with a view to create and distribute high-basis stock of any corporation. The first, second and fourth proposals would be effective for transactions occurring after December 31, 2016. The third proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Repeal Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) tip credit.—Certain employers in food and beverage service industries may receive an income tax credit for FICA taxes they pay on employee tip income. The credit applies to Social Security and Medicare taxes paid on the portion of an employee's tip income that, when added to the employee's non-tip wages, exceeds \$5.15 per hour. The Administration proposes to repeal the income tax credit for the FICA taxes an employer pays on tips, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Repeal the excise tax credit for distilled spirits with flavor and wine additives.—Distilled spirits are

taxed at a rate of \$13.50 per proof gallon. Some distilled spirits are flavored with wine or other additives. Current law allows a credit against the \$13.50 per proof gallon excise tax on distilled spirits for flavor and wine additives. As a result of the credit, flavorings of up to 2.5 percent of the distilled spirit mixture are tax exempt, and wine in a distilled spirits mixture is taxed at the lower rate on wine. Thus, the credit reduces the effective excise tax rate paid on distilled spirits with such content. The proposal would repeal this credit effective for all spirits produced in or imported into the United States after December 31, 2016.

TRANSITION TO A REFORMED BUSINESS TAX SYSTEM

The Administration's proposal to impose a 14-percent one-time tax on previously untaxed foreign income generates one-time transition revenue in the short run. This proposal is described above as part of the business tax reform discussion, because it should be enacted in the context of comprehensive business tax reform.

MIDDLE CLASS AND PRO-WORK TAX REFORMS

Reform child care tax incentives.—Taxpayers with child or dependent care expenses who are working or looking for work are eligible for a nonrefundable tax credit that partially offsets these expenses. To qualify for this benefit, the child and dependent care expenses must be for either a child under age 13 when the care was provided or a disabled dependent of any age with the same place of abode as the taxpayer. Any allowable expense is reduced by the aggregate amount excluded from income under a dependent care assistance program. Eligible taxpayers may claim the credit of up to 35 percent of up to \$3,000 in eligible expenses for one child or dependent and up to \$6,000 in eligible expenses for more than one child or dependent. The percentage of expenses for which a credit may be taken decreases by one percentage point for every \$2,000 of adjusted gross income (AGI) over \$15,000 until the percentage of expenses reaches 20 percent (at incomes above \$43,000). The income phasedown and the credit are not indexed for inflation. The proposal would repeal dependent care flexible spending accounts, increase the start of income phasedown of the child and dependent care credit from \$15,000 to \$120,000, and create a larger credit for taxpayers with children under age five. Taxpayers with young children could claim a child care credit of up to 50 percent of up to \$6,000 (\$12,000 for two children) of eligible expenses. The credit rate for the young child credit would phase down at a rate of one percentage point for every \$2,000 (or part thereof) of AGI over \$120,000 until the rate reaches 20 percent for taxpayers with incomes above \$178,000. The expense limits and incomes at which the credit rates begin to phase down would be indexed for inflation for both young children and other dependents. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Simplify and better target tax benefits for education.—Because there are multiple tax benefits for the

same higher education expenses, incomplete information reporting, and a lack of coordination between Federal grant and tax benefits, many middle- and lower-income families do not claim all the education-related tax benefits to which they are entitled. To simplify and better target these benefits, the Administration proposes to consolidate the lifetime learning credit and AOTC into an expanded AOTC, which would be available for five years instead of four. As under current law, the AOTC for students attending school at least half time would be 100 percent of the first \$2,000 of expenses and 25 percent of the next \$2,000 of expenses for a maximum annual credit of \$2,500. In addition, less than half-time undergraduate students would be eligible for a part-time AOTC equal to 50 percent of the first \$2,000 of eligible expenses plus 12.5 percent of the next \$2,000 of eligible expenses for a maximum credit of \$1,250. The Administration also proposes to increase the refundable portion of the AOTC from 40 percent of the otherwise allowable credit to the first \$1,500 of AOTC (first \$750 for students enrolled less than half time). The expense limits and the amount that is refundable would be indexed for inflation.

To further simplify education benefits for low-income students, the proposal would exclude all Pell Grants from gross income to allow low-income students to claim an AOTC without reducing eligible expenses by the amount of their Pell Grant. In addition, the Administration proposes to require any entity issuing a scholarship or grant in excess of \$500 (indexed for inflation) that is not processed or administered by an institution of higher education to report the scholarship or grant on Form 1098-T.

In addition, the Administration proposes to repeal the deduction for student loan interest for new students. Not only would new students be able to reduce their borrowing due to the expanded AOTC, but all new student borrowers would have access to Pay-As-You-Earn, a generous income-driven repayment option that limits payments to affordable levels and forgives remaining balances after a limited repayment period. The Administration further proposes to exclude from gross income the forgiven portion of Federal student loans in cases where the loan was forgiven or discharged as part of a program administered by the Department of Education, and debt forgiven and certain scholarship amounts for participants in the Indian Health Service Health Professions Programs. The Administration would also allow the Department of Education to obtain from the IRS the addresses of borrowers who are delinquent in repaying their loans (in addition to allowing access to addresses of defaulted borrowers as under current law).

The proposal would generally be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Expand the EITC for workers without qualifying children.—Low and moderate income workers may be eligible for a refundable EITC. The EITC generally equals a specified percentage of earned income, up to a maximum dollar amount, and is gradually phased out once income exceeds a specified threshold. Different credit schedules apply for taxpayers based on the number of qualifying children the taxpayer claims. Taxpayers with

low wages who do not have a qualifying child and are at least 25 years old and less than 65 years old (or for whom, if filing jointly, the age of at least one spouse is within these limits) may be eligible to claim the small EITC for workers without qualifying children. The Administration proposes to increase the credit for workers without qualifying children. The phasein rate and the phaseout rate would be increased from 7.65 percent to 15.30 percent, which would double the size of the maximum credit from about \$500 to about \$1,000 in 2017. The income at which the credit would begin to phase out would be increased to \$11,500 (\$17,100 for joint filers) in 2017 and indexed thereafter. The Administration also proposes to expand eligibility to workers at least 21 years old and less than 67 years old. As under current law, taxpayers who may be claimed as a dependent or as the qualifying child of another taxpayer (e.g., taxpayers who are dependent students age 19 to age 23) may not claim the EITC for workers without children. This proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Simplify the rules for claiming the EITC for workers without qualifying children.—The EITC generally equals a specified percentage of earned income, up to a maximum dollar amount, that is reduced by the product of a specified phaseout rate and the amount of earned income or AGI, if greater, in excess of a specified income threshold. Different credit schedules apply for taxpayers based on the number of qualifying children the taxpayer claims. In general, taxpayers with low wages who do not have a qualifying child may be eligible to claim the small EITC for workers without qualifying children. However, if the taxpayer resides with a qualifying child whom the taxpayer does not claim (perhaps because that child is claimed by another individual within the household), the taxpayer is not eligible for any EITC. The Administration proposes to allow otherwise eligible taxpayers residing with qualifying children to claim the EITC for workers without qualifying children. This proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Provide a second-earner tax credit.—Married couples generally file jointly on their Federal individual income tax returns and cannot choose single or head of household filing status. Because tax rates rise with taxable income under a progressive tax system, the lower earner in a married couple may be discouraged to work when these second earners make their labor supply decisions conditional on the primary earners' decisions, effectively treating their earnings as taxed at the couples' highest marginal rates. In addition, low- and moderate-income married couples can face a high marginal tax rate due to the phaseout of tax credits and other benefits. To provide tax relief for working families and promote employment among second earners, the Administration proposes a second-earner tax credit. Two-earner married couples who file a joint Federal income tax return would be eligible for a nonrefundable tax credit equal to a percentage of the lower earner's earned income up to \$10,000. The credit rate would be 5 percent and would phase down at a rate of one-half of one percentage point for every \$10,000 of AGI over \$120,000. Therefore, the credit would be fully

phased out at AGI above \$210,000. The maximum creditable earned income (\$10,000) and the AGI at which the credit rate starts to phase down (\$120,000) would be indexed for inflation. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Extend exclusion from income for cancellation of certain home mortgage debt.—Under current law, amounts that are realized from discharges of qualified principal residence indebtedness may be excluded from gross incomes for amounts that are discharged before January 1, 2017. The Administration proposes to extend this provision for one year, to apply to amounts that are discharged after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2018, or that are discharged pursuant to an arrangement entered into before January 1, 2018.

REFORMS TO RETIREMENT AND HEALTH BENEFIT PLANS

Provide for automatic enrollment in IRAs, including a small employer tax credit, increase the tax credit for small employer plan start-up costs, and provide an additional tax credit for small employer plans newly offering auto-enrollment.—The Administration proposes to encourage saving and increase participation in retirement savings arrangements by requiring employers that do not currently offer a retirement plan to their employees to provide automatic enrollment in an IRA. Employers with 10 or fewer employees and employers in existence for less than two years would be exempt. An employee not providing a written participation election would be enrolled at a default rate of three percent of the employee's compensation in a Roth IRA. Employees would always have the option of opting out, opting for a lower or higher contribution within the IRA limits, or opting for a traditional IRA. Contributions by employees to automatic payroll-deposit IRAs would qualify for the saver's credit (to the extent the contributor and the contributions otherwise qualified).

Small employers (those that have no more than 100 employees) that offer an automatic IRA arrangement (including those that are not required to do so) would be entitled to a temporary business tax credit for the employer's expenses associated with the arrangement up to \$1,000 per year for three years. Furthermore, these employers would be entitled to an additional credit of \$25 per participating employee up to a total of \$250 per year for six years.

Under current law, small employers (those that have no more than 100 employees) that adopt a new qualified retirement plan, Simplified Employee Plan (SEP), or Savings Incentive Match Plan for Employees (SIMPLE plan) are entitled to a temporary business tax credit equal to 50 percent of the employer's expenses of establishing or administering the plan, including expenses of retirement-related employee education with respect to the plan and any employer contributions. The credit is limited to a maximum of \$500 per year for three years. In conjunction with the automatic IRA proposal, the Administration proposes to encourage small employers not currently

sponsoring a qualified retirement plan, SEP, or SIMPLE plan to do so by tripling this tax credit to a maximum of \$1,500 per year for three years and extending it to four years (rather than three) for any small employer that adopts a new qualified retirement plan, SEP, or SIMPLE plan during the three years beginning when it first offers or first is required to offer an automatic IRA arrangement. In addition, small employers would be allowed a credit of \$500 per year for up to three years, for new or existing defined contribution plans that add auto-enrollment. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

Expand penalty-free withdrawals for longterm unemployed.—Under current law, a 10-percent additional tax applies to early withdrawals from a tax-qualified retirement plan or IRA, unless an exception applies. IRA account holders who have been unemployed for 12 weeks can withdraw funds during a two-year period to pay for health insurance without paying the 10-percent additional tax, but the unemployment exception does not extend to withdrawals used for any other purpose. There is no exception to the 10-percent additional tax for early withdrawals from a qualified plan due to unemployment. The Administration proposes to expand the exception from the 10-percent additional tax to withdrawals by longterm unemployed individuals from IRAs, 401(k) plans, or other tax-qualified defined contribution plans for any use. For this purpose, long-term unemployed individuals would be individuals who have been unemployed for at least 27 weeks (or, if less, the maximum period of unemployment benefits available under applicable state law). Under the proposal, the exception would not apply to IRA distributions that exceed 50 percent of the fair market value of all the individual's IRAs or a distribution from a retirement plan that exceeds 50 percent of the individual's vested accrued benefit in all tax-qualified retirement plans, and would be subject to an aggregate annual maximum of \$50,000. The first \$10,000 of distributions would not be subject to the 50-percent of the IRA or plan limitation. The proposal would be effective for distributions occurring after December 31, 2016.

Require retirement plans to allow long-term part-time workers to participate.—Under current law, a qualified retirement plan sponsor generally is not required to extend eligibility for coverage to employees who are credited with fewer than 1,000 hours in a year (about half time). Similar to the 1,000-hour threshold for coverage eligibility, employees also are not required to be credited with a year of service for purposes of vesting in employer contributions unless they earn 1,000 hours of service in a year. To increase coverage and vesting for long-term part-time employees, the Administration proposes to require that employees be permitted to make contributions in lieu of salary if they have had at least 500 hours of service per year with the employer for at least three consecutive years. These plans would also be required to credit, for each year in which employees have at least 500 hours of service, a year of service for purposes

of vesting in any employer contributions. With respect to employees newly covered under the proposed change, employers would receive nondiscrimination testing relief (similar to current-law relief for plans covering otherwise excludable employees), including permission to exclude these employees from top-heavy benefit requirements. The proposal would be effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Facilitate annuity portability.—Under current law, 401(k) and other defined contribution retirement plans may not permit distributions absent a distributable event. Distributable events for 401(k) plans include severance from employment and attainment of age 59½. Sponsors of defined contribution plans that want to offer annuities (for example, qualified longevity annuity contracts (QLACs) and deferred annuities inside target date funds) may be discouraged from doing so if the sponsor has no clear way to allow employees to continue existing annuities if the annuity product is no longer supported by the plan at some point in the future (for example, because of a change in trustee or recordkeeper or a reassessment of the value of an annuity option in light of take-up or because the annuity product is no longer available on favorable terms). To facilitate the offering of annuities, the Administration proposes to allow defined contribution plans to let participants take a distribution - through a direct rollover to an IRA or other retirement plan - of an annuity in the event the annuity is no longer authorized to be held as an investment under the plan, without regard to whether a distributable event (such as severance from employment) has occurred. The proposal would be effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Simplify minimum required distribution (MRD) *rules.*—The MRD rules generally require that owners of IRAs and participants in tax-favored retirement plans commence distributions shortly after attaining age 70½ and that these retirement assets be distributed to them (or their spouses or other beneficiaries) over a period based on the joint life expectancy of the owner or plan participant and the designated beneficiary. The penalty for failure to take a minimum required distribution by the applicable deadline is 50 percent of the amount not withdrawn. The Administration proposes to simplify tax compliance for retirees of modest means by exempting an individual from the MRD requirements if the aggregate value of the individual's IRA and tax-favored retirement plan accumulations does not exceed \$100,000 on a measurement date. The MRD requirements would phase in for individuals with aggregate retirement balances between \$100,000 and \$110,000. The initial measurement date for the dollar threshold would be the beginning of the year in which the individual turns 70½ or dies, with additional measurement dates only if the individual is subsequently credited with amounts (other than earnings) that were not previously taken into account. The Administration also proposes to harmonize the application of the MRD requirements for holders of designated Roth accounts and of Roth IRAs by generally treating Roth IRAs in the same manner as all other tax-favored

retirement accounts, i.e., requiring distributions to begin shortly after age 70½, without regard to whether amounts are held in designated Roth accounts or in Roth IRAs. Consistent with this change to the MRD rules for Roth IRAs, individuals also would not be permitted to make additional contributions to Roth IRAs after they reach age 70½. The proposal would be effective for taxpayers attaining age 70½ and taxpayers who die before age 70½ after December 31, 2016.

Allow all inherited plan and IRA balances to be rolled over within 60 days.—Generally, most amounts distributed from qualified plans or IRAs may be rolled over into another IRA or into an eligible retirement plan. However, the movement of assets from a plan or IRA account inherited by a non-spouse beneficiary cannot be accomplished by means of a 60-day rollover. This difference in treatment between plan and IRA accounts inherited by a non-spouse beneficiary and accounts of living participants serves little if any purpose, generates confusion among plan and IRA administrators, and creates a trap for unwary beneficiaries. The Administration proposes to permit rollovers of distributions to all designated beneficiaries of inherited IRA and plan accounts, subject to inherited IRA treatment, under the same rules that apply to other IRA accounts, beginning January 1, 2017.

Permit unaffiliated employers to maintain a single multiple-employer defined contribution plan.—Although the Internal Revenue Code imposes no constraints on the ability of unrelated or otherwise unaffiliated employers to participate in a multiple-employer plan (MEP) that is considered a single plan, under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), each unaffiliated employer participating in a MEP is generally considered to have established a separate plan that must separately meet the reporting, disclosure, fiduciary and other requirements of ERISA. MEPs are seen as a means of expanding defined contribution plan coverage for unaffiliated small employers through a plan offering economies of scale and a professional administrator willing to assume many responsibilities for compliance. However, those economies of scale and simplification of administration cannot be realized if each employer's arrangement must separately meet the requirements of ERISA. The proposal would permit unaffiliated employers to adopt a defined contribution MEP that would be treated as a single plan for purposes of ERISA, provided that the entity promoting and administering the plan (the provider), the participating employers, and the plan meet certain conditions designed to provide protections for the employees. Most significantly, the provider would be required to be a regulated financial institution that agrees to be a named fiduciary and the ERISA plan administrator and that registers with the Secretary of Labor. The proposal would be effective for years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Enact changes to the military retirement reform enacted in the FY 2016 National Defense Authorization Act.—This proposal more closely aligns the enacted retirement reform with the Administration's

FY 2016 proposal. Specifically, the Administration proposes to allow flexibility in timing and amount of continuation pay, increasing government contributions up to 6 percent (1 percent automatic plus up to 5 percent matching), starting TSP matching in the 5th year of service, and providing TSP matching for the entire military career.

Improve the excise tax on high cost employersponsored health coverage.—Under current law for 2020 and later, the cost of employer-sponsored health coverage in excess of a threshold is subject to a 40-percent excise tax. The threshold is \$10,200 for self-only coverage and \$27,500 for other coverage in 2018 dollars, indexed to the CPI plus one percentage point for 2019 and to the CPI thereafter. The threshold is increased for plan participants in firms likely to face higher health coverage costs due to the age and gender of their workforces or the occupations of plan participants, and for qualified retirees. The cost of coverage includes premiums (whether paid by the employer or the employee) plus certain contributions to flexible spending arrangements (FSAs), health savings accounts and Archer Medical Savings Accounts. To ensure that the tax is only applied to higher-cost plans, the proposal would increase the tax threshold to the greater of the current law threshold or a "gold plan average premium" that would be calculated for each State. The proposal would also define the cost of coverage with respect to salary reduction contributions to an FSA as the average amount elected for the year by similarly-situated employees (rather than amounts actually contributed on an employee-by-employee basis). Finally, building off of a required study of the methodology used to adjust the tax threshold for differences in age and gender mix across employers, the proposal would require a study of the potential effects of the tax on firms with unusually sick employees, conducted by the Government Accountability Office in consultation with the Department of the Treasury and other experts. The proposal would be effective for taxable years after December 31, 2016 (with the tax first levied in 2020, as under current law).

Extend CHIP through 2019.—The Administration proposes to extend CHIP funding for two years, through fiscal year 2019. As a result, more children will be enrolled in CHIP and fewer children will be enrolled in Marketplace qualified health plans and employment-based health insurance. This will increase tax revenues and reduce outlays associated with the premium tax credit.

Create State option to provide 12-month continuous Medicaid eligibility for adults.—The Administration proposes to create a new continuous eligibility State plan option that would allow all adult Medicaid beneficiaries, or at State option, only those who qualify on the basis of modified adjusted gross income (MAGI), to maintain Medicaid eligibility during a 12-month continuous coverage period, regardless of changes to income or other eligibility criteria. The expanded Medicaid eligibility will result in fewer individuals being enrolled in Marketplace qualified health plans, which will increase tax revenues and reduce outlays associated with the pre-

mium tax credit. The proposal would be effective January 1, 2017.

Standardize definition of American Indian and Alaska Native in the ACA.—The Administration proposes to revise the definitions of "Indian" in the ACA to align with eligibility requirements used for delivery of other federally supported health services to American Indians and Alaska Natives under Medicaid, CHIP, and the Indian Health Service (IHS). As a result, more American Indians and Alaska Natives will meet eligibility requirements for certain ACA provisions, including enrollment in qualified health plans without cost-sharing requirements. This will increase outlays associated with the Refundable Premium Tax Credit and Cost Sharing Reductions account.

REFORMS TO CAPITAL GAINS TAXATION, UPPER-INCOME TAX BENEFITS, AND THE TAXATION OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Reduce the value of certain tax expenditures.— The Administration proposes to limit the tax rate at which upper-income taxpayers can use itemized deductions and other tax preferences to reduce tax liability to a maximum of 28 percent. This limitation would reduce the value of the specified exclusions and deductions that would otherwise reduce taxable income in the top three individual income tax rate brackets of 33, 35, and 39.6 percent to 28 percent. The limit would apply to all itemized deductions, interest on tax-exempt bonds, employer-sponsored health insurance, deductions and income exclusions for employee retirement contributions, and certain above-the-line deductions. If a deduction or exclusion for contributions to retirement plans or individual retirement arrangements is limited by this proposal, the taxpayer's basis would be increased to reflect the additional tax paid. The limit would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Reform the taxation of capital income.—Capital gains are taxable only upon the sale or other disposition of an appreciated asset. Under current law, most capital gains are taxed at graduated rates, with 20 percent generally being the highest rate. In addition, higher-income taxpayers are subject to a tax of 3.8 percent of the lesser of net investment income, including capital gains, or modified AGI in excess of a threshold. When a donor gives an appreciated asset to a donee during life, the donee takes the donor's basis in the asset and there is no recognition of capital gains until the donee later disposes of that asset. When an appreciated asset is held by a decedent at death, the decedent's heir receives a basis in that asset equal to its fair market value at the date of decedent's death. As a result, the appreciation accruing during the decedent's life on assets that are still held by the decedent at death is never subjected to the capital gains tax.

Under this proposal, the 20-percent capital gains tax rate would be increased to 24.2 percent (for a total of 28 percent for gains also subject to the net investment income tax). This would also increase the tax rate on qualified dividends, which would be taxed at the same

rate as capital gains. In addition, transfers at death or by gift would result in recognition of gain. In the case of a gift, the gain would be taxable on the donor's income tax return for the year in which the gift was made. In the case of death, the tax would be reported either on the decedent's final income tax return or on a new income tax return created for this purpose. The proposal would exempt gain on household furnishings and personal effects (excluding collectibles) and allow a \$100,000 exclusion of other gains recognized at death (which would be indexed for inflation and would be portable to a surviving spouse resulting in a \$200,000 per couple exclusion). In addition, the current law (\$250,000 per person) exclusion of capital gains from a principal residence would apply to all residences at death. If any share of a personal residence is bequeathed to a spouse, the spouse would be allowed the use of the first spouse's exclusion of gain (that is, the \$250,000 personal residence exclusion would be portable). The unlimited use of capital losses and carryforwards would be allowed against ordinary income on the decedent's final income tax return, and the capital gains tax imposed at death would be deductible on the decedent's estate tax return. Appreciated property given to charity would be exempt from the capital gains tax. Gifts or bequests to a spouse would carry the basis of the donor or decedent, and capital gain would not be realized until the spouse disposes of the asset or dies. The proposal would provide for the deferral of tax payment (with interest) on the appreciation of certain small family-owned businesses, until the business is sold or transferred to owners outside the family. The proposal would further allow a 15year fixed-rate payment plan for the capital gains tax on assets other than liquid assets such as publicly traded financial assets transferred at death. This proposal would be effective for gifts, deaths, qualified dividends received, and other capital gains realizations in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Implement the Buffett Rule by imposing a new "Fair Share Tax".—The Administration proposes a new minimum tax, called the Fair Share Tax (FST), for highincome taxpayers. The tentative FST equals 30 percent of AGI less a charitable credit. The charitable credit equals 28 percent of itemized charitable contributions allowed after the overall limitation on itemized deductions (Pease). The final FST is the excess, if any, of the tentative FST over the sum of the taxpayer's: (1) regular income tax (after certain credits) including the 3.8 percent net investment income tax, (2) the AMT, and (3) the employee portion of payroll taxes. The set of certain credits subtracted from regular income tax excludes the foreign tax credit, the credit for tax withheld on wages, and the credit for certain uses of gasoline and special fuels. The tax is phased in linearly starting at \$1 million of AGI (\$500,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return). The tax is fully phased in at \$2 million of AGI (\$1 million in the case of a married individual filing a separate return). The threshold is indexed for inflation beginning after 2017. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Impose a financial fee.—The Administration proposes to impose a fee on banks, both U.S. and foreign, and would also apply to bank holding companies and "nonbanks," such as insurance companies, savings and loan holding companies, exchanges, asset managers, broker-dealers, specialty finance corporations, and financial affiliates with assets in excess of \$50 billion. Firms with worldwide consolidated assets of less than \$50 billion would not be subject to the fee for the period when their assets are below this threshold. U.S. subsidiaries of international firms that fall into these categories with assets in excess of \$50 billion would also be covered. The fee base is assets less equity (also known as liabilities) for banks and nonbanks based on audited financial statements with a deduction for separate account (primarily for insurance companies). The fee rate would be seven basis points and would be effective on January 1, 2017. The fee is intended to discourage excessive risk-taking by financial firms, who were key contributors to the recent financial crisis. The fee would also satisfy the statutory requirement for the President to propose a means to recoup the net costs of assistance provided through the Troubled Asset Relief Program.

LOOPHOLE CLOSERS

Require current inclusion in income of accrued market discount and limit the accrual amount for distressed debt.—Just as original issue discount (OID) is part of the yield of a debt instrument purchased at original issuance, market discount generally enhances the yield to a purchaser of debt in the secondary market. Unlike OID, however, recognition of market discount is generally deferred under current law until a debt instrument matures or is otherwise sold or transferred. The Administration's proposal would require taxpayers to accrue market discount into income currently, in the same manner as original issue discount. To prevent over-accrual of market discount on distressed debt, the accrual would be limited to the greater of (1) an amount equal to the bond's yield to maturity at issuance plus five percentage points, or (2) an amount equal to the Applicable Federal Rate plus 10 percentage points. The proposal would apply to debt securities acquired after December 31, 2016.

Require that the cost basis of stock that is a covered security must be determined using an average cost basis method.—Current regulations permit taxpayers to use "specific identification" when they sell or otherwise dispose of stock. Specific identification allows taxpayers who hold identical shares of stock that have different tax basis to select the amount of gain or loss to recognize on the disposition. The Administration's proposal would require the use of average cost basis for all identical shares of portfolio stock held by a taxpayer that have a long-term holding period. The proposal would apply to covered securities acquired after December 31, 2016.

Tax carried (profits) interests as ordinary income.—A partnership does not pay Federal income

tax; instead, an item of income or loss of the partnership and associated character flows through to the partners who must include such items on their income tax returns. Certain partners receive partnership interests, typically interests in future profits, in exchange for services (commonly referred to as "profits interests" or "carried interests"). Because the partners, including partners who provide services, reflect their share of partnership items on their tax return in accordance with the character of the income at the partnership level, long-term capital gains and qualifying dividends attributable to carried interests may be taxed at a maximum 20-percent rate (the maximum tax rate on capital gains) rather than at ordinary income tax rates. The Administration proposes to designate a carried interest in an investment partnership as an "investment services partnership interest" (ISPI) and to tax a partner's share of income from an ISPI that is not attributable to invested capital as ordinary income, regardless of the character of the income at the partnership level. In addition, the partner would be required to pay self-employment taxes on such income, and the gain recognized on the sale of an ISPI that is not attributable to invested capital would generally be taxed as ordinary income, not as capital gain. However, any allocation of income or gain attributable to invested capital on the part of the partner would be taxed as ordinary income or capital gain based on its character to the partnership and any gain realized on a sale of the interest attributable to such partner's invested capital would be treated as capital gain or ordinary income as provided under current law. The proposal would be effective for taxable years ending after December 31, 2016.

Require non-spouse beneficiaries of deceased IRA owners and retirement plan participants to take inherited distributions over no more than five **years.**—Under current law, owners of IRAs and employees with tax-favored retirement plans generally must take distributions from those retirement accounts beginning at age 70½. The minimum amount required to be distributed is based on the joint life expectancy of the owner or plan participant and the designated beneficiary, calculated at the end of each year. Minimum distribution rules also apply to balances remaining after a participant or IRA owner has died. Heirs who are designated as beneficiaries under IRAs and qualified retirement plans may receive distributions over their lifetimes, no matter what the age difference between the deceased IRA owner or plan participant and the beneficiary. The Administration proposes to require non-spouse beneficiaries of IRA owners and retirement plan participants to take inherited distributions over no more than five years. Exceptions would be provided for disabled beneficiaries and beneficiaries within 10 years of age of the deceased IRA owner or plan participant. Minor children would be allowed to receive payments up to five years after they attain the age of majority. This proposal would be effective for distributions with respect to participants or IRA owners who die after December 31, 2016.

Limit the total accrual of tax-favored retirement benefits.—The Administration proposes to limit

the deduction or exclusion for contributions to defined contribution plans, defined benefit plans, or IRAs for an individual who has total balances or accrued benefits under those plans that are sufficient to provide an annuity equal to the maximum allowable defined benefit plan benefit. This maximum, currently an annual benefit of \$210,000 payable in the form of a joint and survivor benefit commencing at age 62, is indexed for inflation. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Rationalize Net Investment Income and Self-Employment Contributions Act (SECA) taxes.—A gap between the definitions of "net investment income" and "net earnings from self-employment" may create uncertainty in the treatment of limited partners and limited liability company (LLC) members who materially participate in the business, for purposes of net investment income and SECA taxes. Furthermore, the distributive shares of S corporation owner-employees, in many cases, are subject to neither tax. This gap exists even though the net investment income tax (NIIT) was specifically designed to tax the investment income of high-income taxpayers in the same way that earned income is taxed for Medicare purposes. The proposal would ensure that all trade or business income of high-income taxpayers is subject to a 3.8 percent tax, either through NIIT or SECA taxes, that investment income of high-income taxpayers continues to be subject to the NIIT, and that labor income derived from professional service pass-throughs is subject to self-employment tax. It would do so in two ways: (1) It would amend the definition of net investment income to include gross income and gain of individuals from trades or businesses not otherwise subject to employment taxes. This would include active income of S corporation shareholders, partners, and LLC members, and would include income from the sale of business property. Proceeds from the NIIT would be directed to the Medicare trust fund, as are Medicare taxes on employment earnings. (2) The proposal would treat all individual owners of professional service businesses (as defined in the proposal) as subject to SECA in the same manner and to the same degree, regardless of the legal form of the organization. Partners and S corporation shareholders who provide services and materially participate in a business that provides professional services would be subject to self-employment tax on their distributive shares of income, as currently applied to general partners and sole proprietors. Owners who do not materially participate would be subject to selfemployment tax only on an amount equal to reasonable compensation for services provided and would continue to be subject to the NIIT on the remainder of their distributive shares of income. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Limit Roth conversions to pre-tax dollars.—Subject to certain restrictions, taxpayers can convert traditional IRA/401(k) balances to Roth IRA/Roth 401(k) balances by paying tax at ordinary rates on the amount of the conversion in excess of basis. No tax is paid on the portion of the conversion that is a return of basis. The limits on after-tax contributions to plans and nondeductible contri-

butions to IRAs (which generate basis) are weaker than those on pre-tax and Roth contributions. Taxpayers may exploit those weaker limits by performing a Roth conversion immediately after making such a contribution and thereby obtain—at no additional cost—the full benefits of Roth treatment on a less-advantaged after-tax or non-deductible contribution. The proposal would limit Roth conversions to pre-tax dollars, which would reduce the scope for strategies of this nature by precluding Roth conversions of after tax or nondeductible contributions. The proposal would be effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Eliminate deduction for dividends on stock of publicly-traded corporations held in employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs).—Generally, corporations do not receive a corporate income tax deduction for dividends paid to their shareholders. However, a deduction for dividends paid on employer securities is allowed under a special rule for ESOPs, including, for example, dividends paid on employer stock held in an "ESOP account" that is one of the investment options available to employees under a typical 401(k) plan. This special rule has been justified as encouraging employee ownership, which has been viewed as having a productivity incentive effect. However, ownership of stock of a publicly-traded corporation generally does not result in employees owning a significant percentage of the corporation and can result in an excessive concentration of assets intended for retirement security in a single investment. The Administration's proposal would repeal the deduction for dividends paid with respect to employer stock held by an ESOP that is sponsored by a publicly-traded corporation. This proposal would be effective with respect to dividends paid after the date of enactment.

Repeal exclusion of net unrealized appreciation (NUA) in employer securities.—In general, distributions from retirement plans are taxed as ordinary income. However, for employer securities received as part of a lump-sum distribution, more favorable tax treatment generally is available under which the excess of the market value of the employer stock at the time of the distribution over the cost or other basis of that stock to the plan (the net unrealized appreciation) is excluded from gross income at the time of distribution. The net unrealized appreciation generally is taxed as a capital gain at the time the employer stock is sold by the recipient. The Administration proposes to repeal this special exclusion for employer stock for retirement plan participants who have not attained age 50 on or before December 31, 2016. The proposal would be effective for distributions occurring after December 31, 2016.

Disallow the deduction for charitable contributions that are a prerequisite for purchasing tickets to college sporting events.—Under current law, donors who receive benefits in exchange for a charitable contribution must reduce the value of their charitable contribution deduction by the fair market value of the benefits they receive. Many colleges and universities give exclusive or priority purchasing privileges for sports ticket sales to donors, with the priority often dependent on the size of the gift. In contrast to the general rule for valuing donations in exchange for benefits, donors to colleges and universities who receive the right to purchase tickets for seating at an athletic event may deduct 80 percent of the contribution even when the value of the ability to purchase the tickets is far in excess of 20 percent of the contributed amount. The proposal would deny the deduction for contributions that entitle donors to a right to purchase tickets to sporting events. The proposal would be effective for contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

MODIFY ESTATE AND GIFT TAX PROVISIONS

Restore the estate, gift, and generation-skipping transfer (GST) tax parameters in effect in 2009.—
Under current law, estates, gifts, and GSTs are taxed at a maximum tax rate of 40 percent with a lifetime exclusion of \$5 million, indexed for inflation after 2011. The Administration proposes to restore and permanently extend estate, gift, and GST tax parameters as they applied for calendar year 2009. Under those parameters, estates and GSTs would be taxed at a maximum tax rate of 45 percent with a life-time exclusion of \$3.5 million. Gifts would be taxed at a maximum tax rate of 45 percent with a lifetime exclusion of \$1 million. These parameters would be effective for the estates of decedents dying and transfers made after December 31, 2016, and would not be indexed for inflation.

Expand requirement of consistency in value for transfer and income tax purposes.—Current law provides generally that the basis of property inherited from a decedent is the property's fair market value at the decedent's death, and that the basis of property received by gift is the donor's basis (but limited to the fair market value of the gift for purposes of determining the donee's loss on a sale, if the donor's basis exceeds that value at the time of the transfer). Elsewhere in this Budget the Administration proposes to tax accrued capital gains (that is, fair market value in excess of the basis) when assets are transferred by death or gift. Generally, the same standards apply to determine the value subject to estate and gift taxes as apply to computing the beneficiary's basis or to computing gain under the Administration's proposal. However, prior to the enactment on July 31, 2015, of the Surface Transportation and Veterans Health Care Choice Improvement Act of 2015, there was no explicit consistency rule that would have required the recipient of the property to use for income tax purposes the value used for estate tax purposes as the recipient's basis in that property when the basis is determined by reference to the fair market value on the date of death. Similarly, there was no explicit consistency rule that would have required the recipient to use the same value of the gifted property for determining loss as the value used for gift tax purposes. That Act amended the basis rules to provide that a beneficiary's initial basis in property inherited from a decedent that increased the estate's Federal estate tax liability may not exceed the final value of the property for Federal estate tax purposes. The Administration proposes to re-

quire that, for property with respect to which a required estate tax return is filed after enactment, the property subject to the consistency requirement be expanded to also include property qualifying for the estate tax marital deduction, even though that property does not increase the estate's Federal estate tax liability. In addition, the Administration proposes to require that the value used to determine the donee's loss, if the donor's basis exceeded that value on the date of the gift, cannot exceed the value of the property for gift tax purposes.

Modify transfer tax rules for grantor retained annuity trusts (GRATs) and other grantor trusts. Current law provides that the value of the remainder interest in a GRAT for gift tax purposes is determined by deducting the present value of the annuity to be paid during the GRAT term from the fair market value of the property contributed to the GRAT. If the grantor of the GRAT dies during that term, the portion of the trust assets needed to produce the annuity is included in the grantor's gross estate for estate tax purposes. In practice, grantors commonly use brief GRAT terms (often of less than two years) and significant annuities to minimize both the risk of estate tax inclusion and the value of the remainder for gift tax purposes. The Administration proposes to add the following requirements for GRATs: (1) the GRAT must have a minimum term of 10 years and a maximum term of 10 years more than the annuitant's life expectancy, (2) the remainder interest must have a minimum value at the creation of the GRAT equal to the greater of 25 percent of the value of the property contributed to the GRAT or \$500,000 (but not more than the value of the assets contributed), (3) no decrease in the annuity during the GRAT term is permitted, and (4) no tax-free exchange of any GRAT asset with the grantor is permitted.

This proposal also would address the sale of an asset to a grantor trust, specifically, a trust of which the seller is the deemed owner for income tax purposes. A grantor trust is ignored for income tax purposes, even though the trust may be irrevocable and the deemed owner may have no beneficial interest in the trust or its assets. The lack of coordination between the income tax and transfer tax rules applicable to a grantor trust creates opportunities to structure transactions between the trust and its deemed owner that are ignored for income tax purposes and can result in the transfer of significant wealth by the deemed owner without transfer tax consequences. The proposal would provide that, if a person who is a deemed owner of all or a portion of a trust engages in a transaction with that trust that constitutes a sale, exchange, or comparable transaction that is disregarded for income tax purposes by reason of the person's treatment as a deemed owner of the trust under the grantor trust rules, then the portion of the trust attributable to the property received by the trust in that transaction, net of the consideration received by the person in the transaction, will be: (1) subject to estate tax as part of the deemed owner's gross estate, (2) subject to gift tax at any time during the deemed owner's life when his or her treatment as a deemed owner of the trust is terminated, and (3) treated as a gift by the deemed owner to

the extent any distribution is made to another (except in discharge of the deemed owner's obligation to the distributee) during the deemed owner's life. The transfer taxes would be payable from the trust. The proposal would be effective with regard to GRATs created after the date of enactment, and to other grantor trusts that engage in a described transaction on or after the date of enactment.

Limit duration of GST tax exemption.—Current law provides that each person has a lifetime GST tax exemption (\$5,450,000 in 2016) that may be allocated to the person's transfers to or for the benefit of transferees who are two or more generations younger than the transferor ("skip persons"). The allocation of a person's GST exemption to such a transfer made in trust exempts from the GST tax not only the amount of the transfer (up to the amount of exemption allocated), but also all future appreciation and income from that amount during the existence of the trust. At the time of the enactment of the GST tax provisions, the law of almost all States included a Rule Against Perpetuities (RAP) that required the termination of every trust after a certain period of time. Because many States now either have repealed or limited the application of their RAP laws, trusts subject to the laws of those States may continue in perpetuity. As a result of this change in State laws, the transfer tax shield provided by the GST exemption effectively has been expanded from trusts funded with \$1 million and a maximum duration limited by the RAP, to trusts funded with \$5,450,000 and continuing (and growing) in perpetuity. The Administration proposes to limit the duration of the benefit of the GST tax exemption by imposing a bright-line test, more clearly administrable than the common law RAP, which, in effect, would terminate the GST tax exclusion on the 90th anniversary of the creation of the trust. An exception would be made for trusts that are distributed to another trust for the sole benefit of one individual if the distributee trust will be includable in the individual's gross estate for Federal estate tax purposes to the extent it is not distributed to that individual during his or her life. The proposal would apply to trusts created after enactment, and to the portion of a pre-existing trust attributable to additions to such a trust made after that

Extend the lien on estate tax deferrals where estate consists largely of interest in closely held business.—There is a lien on nearly all estate assets for the 10-year period immediately following a decedent's death to secure the full payment of the Federal estate tax. However, the estate tax payments on interests in certain closely held businesses are deferred for 14 years after the due date of the return (or nearly 15 years after the date of death). Thus, this lien expires approximately five years before the due date of the final payment of the deferred tax. Existing methods of protecting the Federal Government's interest in collecting the amounts due are expensive and may be harmful to businesses. The Administration proposes to extend the existing estate tax lien throughout the deferral period to eliminate the need for any additional security in most cases in a manner that is economical and efficient for both taxpayers and the Federal Government.

The proposal would be effective for the estates of all decedents dying on or after the date of enactment, as well as for all estates of decedents dying before the date of enactment as to which the lien has not then expired.

Modify GST tax treatment of Health and Education Exclusion Trusts (HEETs).—Payments made by a donor directly to the provider of medical care for another or directly to a school for another's tuition are exempt from gift tax. These direct transfers also are exempt from the GST tax. However, payments made to a trust, to be expended by the trust for the same purposes, are not exempt from the gift tax. Some contributors to HEETs interpret the GST tax exclusion to apply also to distributions made from the HEET in payment of medical expenses or tuition, and claim that those distributions are exempt from the GST tax. The Administration proposes to provide that the GST tax exclusion for transfers exempt from the gift tax is limited to outright transfers by the donor to the provider of the medical care or education and does not apply to distributions for those same purposes from a trust. The proposal would apply to trusts created after the introduction of the bill enacting this change and to transfers after that date made to pre-existing trusts.

Simplify gift tax exclusion for annual gifts.—The annual per-donee gift tax exclusion (currently \$14,000) is available only for gifts of "present interests," but generally a transfer can be converted into a present interest by granting the donee an immediate right to withdraw the property ("Crummey power"). In an effort to simplify tax compliance and administration, and to prevent the possible abuse of such withdrawal powers, the Administration proposes to eliminate the present interest requirement, define a new category of transfers that will not be affected by withdrawal or put rights, and impose an annual perdonor cap of \$50,000 (indexed for inflation) on the total amount of gifts in that new category that can be exempted from gift tax by the annual per-donee exclusion. The new category would include transfers in trust (other than to a trust described in section 2642(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code), transfers of interests in pass-through entities, transfers of interests subject to a prohibition on sale, and other transfers of property that, without regard to withdrawal, put, or other such rights in the donee, cannot be immediately liquidated by the donee. The proposal would be effective for gifts made after the year of enactment.

Expand applicability of definition of executor.— Under current law, the statutory definition of executor applies only for purposes of the estate tax; therefore, an executor of an estate does not have the authority to extend a statute of limitations, claim a refund, agree to a compromise or assessment, or pursue judicial relief for a tax liability that arose prior to the decedent's death. To empower an authorized party to act on behalf of the decedent in such matters (whether arising before, upon, or after death), the Administration proposes to make the statutory definition of executor applicable for all tax purposes, and to authorize such executor to do anything on behalf of the decedent in connection with the decedent's pre-death tax liabilities or obligations that the decedent

could have done if still living. In addition, because this definition frequently results in multiple parties being an executor, the proposal would grant regulatory authority to adopt rules to resolve conflicts among multiple executors authorized by that definition. The proposal would be effective upon enactment, regardless of the decedent's date of death.

OTHER REVENUE RAISERS

Impose an Oil Fee.—The Administration proposes to impose an oil fee, which would be the equivalent of \$10.25 per barrel of crude oil, to support critical infrastructure and climate resiliency needs. The fee would be collected on domestically produced as well as imported petroleum products. Exported petroleum products would not be subject to the fee and home heating oil would be temporarily exempted. Revenue from the fee would fund the 21st Century Clean Transportation Plan to upgrade the Nation's transportation system, improve resilience, and reduce emissions. In addition, 15 percent of the revenues from the fee would be dedicated for assistance for households with particularly burdensome energy costs. Other fuel-related trust funds would be held harmless. The fee would be phased in over a five-year period beginning October 1, 2016. The fee would be fully phased in for petroleum produced or imported beginning October 1, 2021.

Increase and modify Oil Spill Liability Trust **Fund financing.**—An excise tax is imposed on: (1) crude oil received at a U.S. refinery; (2) imported petroleum products entered into the United States for consumption, use, or warehousing; and (3) any domestically produced crude oil that is used in (other than on the premises where produced for extracting oil or natural gas) or exported from the United States if, before such use or exportation, no taxes were imposed on the crude oil. Under current law, the tax does not apply to some types of crudes such as those produced from bituminous deposits as well as kerogen-rich rock. The tax is deposited in the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund. Amounts in the trust fund are used for several purposes, including the payment of costs associated with responding to and removing oil spills. The tax imposed on crude oil and imported petroleum products is eight cents per barrel, effective for periods after December 31, 2008, and before January 1, 2017, and nine cents per barrel, effective for periods after December 31, 2016. The Administration proposes to increase these taxes by one cent per barrel to 10 cents per barrel for periods after December 31, 2016. In addition, the Administration proposes to update the law to include other sources of crudes such as those produced from bituminous deposits as well as kerogen-rich rock. The tax would cover, at the applicable rate, other sources of crudes received at a U.S. refinery, entered into the United State, or used or exported as described above after December 31, 2016. Finally, the proposal would place a prohibition on the drawback (refunding) of the tax. The prohibition would be effective for periods after December 31, 2016.

Reinstate Superfund taxes.—The Administration proposes to reinstate the taxes that were deposited in the

Hazardous Substance Superfund prior to their expiration on December 31, 1995. These taxes, which contributed to financing the cleanup of the Nation's highest risk hazardous waste sites, are proposed to be reinstated for periods (excise taxes) or taxable years (income tax) beginning after 2016, with expiration for periods and taxable years after 2026. The proposed taxes include the following: (1) an excise tax of 9.7 cents per barrel on crude oil and imported petroleum products; (2) an excise tax on specified hazardous chemicals at rates that vary from 22 cents to \$4.87 per ton; (3) an excise tax on imported substances that use the specified hazardous chemicals as a feedstock (in an amount equivalent to the tax that would have been imposed on domestic production of the chemicals); and (4) a corporate environmental income tax imposed at a rate of 0.12 percent on the amount by which the modified AMT income of a corporation exceeds \$2 million. Consistent with the Administration's proposal regarding taxes deposited in the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, the Superfund excise tax on crude oil and petroleum products would cover other sources of crudes such as those produced from bituminous deposits as well as kerogen-rich rock.

Increase tobacco taxes and index for inflation.— Under current law, cigarettes are taxed at a rate of \$50.33 per 1,000 cigarettes. This is equivalent to just under \$1.01 per pack, or approximately \$22.88 per pound of tobacco. Taxes on other tobacco products range from \$0.5033 per pound for chewing tobacco to \$24.78 per pound of rollyour-own tobacco. The Administration proposes to raise tobacco taxes and create parity in tax rates among similar tobacco products. Cigarettes and small cigars would be taxed at \$97.50 per 1,000 units, or about \$1.95 per pack of cigarettes. Large cigars would be taxed at an approximately equivalent rate (using five per-unit rates that vary according to the cigar's weight). Chewing tobacco, pipe tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and snuff would be taxed at \$44.23 per-pound, also roughly equivalent to the implied per-pound tax for cigarettes and cigars. The Administration also proposes to clarify that roll-your-own tobacco includes any processed tobacco that is removed for delivery to anyone other than a manufacturer of tobacco products or exporter. The new tax rates would be effective for articles held for sale or removed after December 31, 2016, and indexed for inflation after 2017.

Make unemployment insurance (UI) surtax permanent.—The net Federal UI tax on employers dropped from 0.8 percent to 0.6 percent with respect to wages paid after June 30, 2011. The Administration proposes to permanently reinstate the 0.8 percent rate, effective with respect to wages paid on or after January 1, 2017.

Expand FUTA base and reform FUTA credit reduction rules.—Many States' UI systems are chronically underfunded and required Federal borrowing to cover benefits during the most recent downturn. The Administration proposes to improve system solvency by helping States rebuild their trust fund balances to repay their loans, cover current benefits, and create reserves so they are better prepared for the next downturn. Under this proposal, the FUTA taxable wage base would increase in 2018 to \$40,000 (approximately average insured

wages) and would be indexed thereafter. This wage base increase would be accompanied by a decrease in the tax rate to avoid a Federal tax increase in the first year. In addition, currently, States that must borrow from the Federal Government for extended periods of time to cover benefits are assessed a reduction in their FUTA tax credits. The Administration proposes to change the rules governing credit reductions so they apply for any State with an average high cost multiple (AHCM) of less than 0.5 percent. An AHCM of 1.0 means a State has approximately enough funds to cover benefits during one year of an average recession, a commonly used solvency measure. Any revenues earned through the credit reduction would first be applied to repaying any State borrowing and would then be applied to the State trust fund to help it build up balances to prepare for the next downturn.

Modernize the UI program.—The Administration proposes to modernize the UI system by improving its connection to jobs and making sure benefits are available to more workers who need them. To do this, the Budget includes a UI modernization fund that will provide incentive payments to States that adopt measures to expand both program eligibility and work-based learning opportunities and training for unemployed workers. A State can receive incentive payments if it adopts one measure that expands eligibility and two measures that improve connections to training and employment. States that maintain these changes for at least four years will also receive a bonus payment. In addition, all States—whether or not they apply for incentive funds—will be required to have an alternative base period, provide coverage for workers seeking part-time work, provide coverage for workers that quit their jobs for compelling family reasons, and provide at least 26 weeks of benefits. States will need to raise additional revenue to cover the proposed benefit expansions.

Create a mandatory reemployment services and eligibility assessment (RESEA) program.—The Administration proposes to require States to provide RESEAs to the one-third of claimants identified as most likely to exhaust benefits. This proposal would provide grants to States for these services through mandatory funding beginning in 2018. In general, reduced outlays allow States to keep UI taxes lower, reducing overall receipts to the UI trust funds.

Levy a fee on the production of hardrock minerals to restore abandoned mines.—Until 1977, there were no Federal requirements to restore land after mining for coal, leaving nearly \$4 billion worth of abandoned coal mine hazards remaining today. The Department of the Interior collects a fee on every ton of coal produced in the United States to finance the reclamation of these abandoned coal mines. Historic mining of hardrock minerals, such as gold and copper, also left numerous abandoned mine lands; however, there is no similar source of Federal funding to reclaim these sites. Just as the coal industry is held responsible for past mining practices, the Administration proposes to hold the hardrock mining industry responsible for abandoned hardrock mines. The proposed fee on the production of hardrock minerals

would be charged per volume of material displaced after December 31, 2017, and the receipts would be distributed through a set allocation between Federal and non-Federal lands. Funds would be used to restore the most hazardous hardrock abandoned mine sites, on both public and private lands. The receipts allocated to restoration of non-Federal lands would be distributed to States and Tribes based on need, with each State and Tribe selecting its own priority projects within certain national criteria.

Return fees on the production of coal to pre-2006 levels to restore abandoned mines.—Since October 1, 1977, the Department of the Interior has collected fees on every ton of coal produced in the United States to finance the reclamation of abandoned coal mines. The fees levied on mine operators were originally \$0.35 per ton for surfaced mined coal and \$0.15 per ton for underground mined coal. The 2006 amendments to the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act instituted a phased reduction in these fees beginning in 2006. However, nearly \$4 billion worth of abandoned coal mine hazards remain today. The Administration proposes to restore the fees to their original level, effective for coal mined after September 30, 2016, to provide additional resources to continue addressing the legacy of abandoned coal mines.

REDUCE THE TAX GAP AND MAKE REFORMS

Expand Information Reporting

Improve information reporting for certain businesses and contractors.—The Administration proposes to require a contractor receiving payments of \$600 or more in a calendar year from a particular business to furnish to the business (on Form W-9) the contractor's certified TIN. A business would be required to verify the contractor's TIN with the IRS, which would be authorized to disclose, solely for this purpose, whether the certified TIN-name combination matches IRS records. If a contractor failed to furnish an accurate certified TIN, the business would be required to withhold a flat-rate percentage of gross payments. Contractors receiving payments of \$600 or more in a calendar year from a particular business could require the business to withhold a flat-rate percentage of their gross payments, with the flat-rate percentage of 15, 25, 30, or 35 percent being selected by the contractor.

In addition, the Administration proposes to require life insurance companies to report to the IRS, for each contract whose cash value is partially or wholly invested in a private separate account for any portion of the taxable year and represents at least 10 percent of the value of the account, the policyholder's TIN, the policy number, the amount of accumulated untaxed income, the total contract account value, and the portion of that value that was invested in one or more private separate accounts. For this purpose, a private separate account would be defined as any account with respect to which a related group of persons owns policies whose cash values, in the aggregate, represent at least 10 percent of the value of the separate account. Whether a related

group of persons owns policies whose cash values represent at least 10 percent of the value of the account would be determined quarterly, based on information reasonably within the issuer's possession.

The proposal would be effective for payments made to contractors after December 31, 2016, or private separate accounts maintained on or after December 31, 2016.

Provide an exception to the limitation on disclosing tax return information to expand TIN matching beyond forms where payments are subject to backup withholding.—The IRS is prohibited from disclosing Federal tax returns and return information (FTI). There are certain very narrow exceptions. Even where disclosure is permitted, recipients of FTI must safeguard the information and cannot redisclose it unless permitted. The Secretary of the Treasury is required to notify information return filers in certain circumstances where backup withholding is required if the recipient's TIN is not correct. Filers are required to keep this information confidential and are prohibited from using the information for purposes other than backup withholding. The IRS has broad regulatory authority to implement backup withholding. Under this authority, the IRS has established a TIN matching program that allows the IRS to verify the TINs of payees submitted by filers in the case of payments subject to backup withholding. The proposal would provide an exception to the limitation on disclosing FTI to permit the IRS to do TIN matching even in cases where the filer is not making a payment that is subject to backup withholding. The proposal would be effective on the date of enactment.

Provide for reciprocal reporting of information in connection with the implementation of the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA).—In many cases, foreign law would prevent foreign financial institutions from complying with the FATCA provisions of the Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act of 2010 by reporting to the IRS information about U.S. accounts. Such legal impediments can be addressed through intergovernmental agreements under which the foreign government agrees to provide the information required by FATCA to the IRS. Requiring U.S. financial institutions to report similar information to the IRS with respect to non-resident accounts would facilitate such intergovernmental cooperation by enabling the IRS to reciprocate in appropriate circumstances by exchanging similar information with cooperative foreign governments to support their efforts to address tax evasion by their residents. The proposal would require certain financial institutions to report the account balance for U.S. financial accounts held by foreign persons, expand the current reporting required with respect to U.S. source income paid to accounts held by foreign persons to include similar non-U.S. source payments, and provide the Secretary of the Treasury with authority to prescribe regulations that would require reporting of such other information that is necessary to enable the IRS to facilitate FATCA implementation by exchanging similar information with cooperative foreign governments in appropriate

192 Analytical perspectives

circumstances. The proposal would also require that this information, as well as information reported by foreign financial institutions to the IRS, be furnished to the account holders in order to encourage voluntary tax compliance. The proposal would be effective for returns required to be filed after December 31, 2017.

Require Form W-2 reporting for employer contributions to defined contribution plans.—Employers are currently required to report on Form W-2 an employee's elective deferrals under a cash or deferred arrangement, such as a 401(k) plan. Employers, however, are not required to report amounts that they contribute to an employee's retirement plan accounts. The proposal would require employer contributions to a defined contribution plan to be reported on Form W-2, thus providing employees with a convenient annual statement of the amounts that are contributed on their behalf by their employers under defined contribution plans and facilitating compliance with overall contribution limits.

Improve Compliance by Businesses

Increase certainty with respect to worker clas**sification.**—Under current law, worker classification as an employee or as a self-employed person (independent contractor) is generally based on a common-law test for determining whether an employment relationship exists. Under a special provision (section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978), a service recipient may treat a worker who may actually be an employee as an independent contractor for Federal employment tax purposes if, among other things, the service recipient has a reasonable basis for treating the worker as an independent contractor. If a service recipient meets the requirements of this special provision with respect to a class of workers, the IRS is prohibited from reclassifying the workers as employees, even prospectively. The special provision also prohibits the IRS from issuing generally applicable guidance about the proper classification of workers. The Administration proposes to permit the IRS to issue generally applicable guidance about the proper classification of workers and to permit the IRS to require prospective reclassification of workers who are currently misclassified and whose reclassification is prohibited under the special provision. Penalties would be waived for service recipients with only a small number of employees and a small number of misclassified workers, if the service recipient had consistently filed all required information returns reporting all payments to all misclassified workers and the service recipient agreed to prospective reclassification of misclassified workers. It is anticipated that after enactment, new enforcement activity would focus mainly on obtaining the proper worker classification prospectively, since in many cases the proper classification of workers may not be clear.

Increase information sharing to administer excise taxes.—Current law allows the IRS and the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau to disclose specific items of tax return information to permit the effective administration of excise taxes. This disclosure provision is too narrow and prevents effective administration and

enforcement of the excise tax rules. The Administration proposes to facilitate excise tax administration and increase collections by amending current law to permit disclosure of tax return information to Department of Homeland Security employees (customs officials) whose job responsibilities include tax administration. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Provide authority to readily share information about beneficial ownership information of U.S. companies with law enforcement.—Illicit actors may abuse legal entities to commit financial crimes, including laundering criminal proceeds and financing terrorism through the international banking system. Knowledge of beneficial owners of an entity can help law enforcement officials identify and investigate criminals engaged in these activities.

For anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing (AML/CTF) purposes, the beneficial owner of a foreign private banking account is currently defined in Treasury regulations under Title 31 of the U.S. Code to mean an individual who has a level of control over, or entitlement to, the funds or assets in the account that, as a practical matter, enables the individual(s), directly or indirectly, to control, manage, or direct the account. For Federal tax purposes, most U.S. entities are required to obtain an EIN. A company applying for an EIN must provide the IRS with the name of a responsible party who will be the IRS contact for the company. Generally, for a company that is not publicly traded, the responsible party is the person who has a level of control over, or entitlement to, the funds or assets in the entity that, as a practical matter, enables the individual to directly or indirectly control, manage, or direct the entity and the disposition of its funds or assets. Because this definition is similar to the AML/CTF definition of beneficial owner, the responsible party of an entity for Federal tax purposes will generally be considered a beneficial owner of an account nominally owned by the entity for AML/CTF purposes. Although this responsible party information may be useful to law enforcement when investigating financial crimes, under current law it cannot be shared with law enforcement officials without a court order.

The proposal would allow the Secretary of the Treasury or his delegate to share responsible party information with law enforcement without a court order to combat money laundering, terrorist financing, and other financial crimes. Such sharing would advance criminal investigations and successful prosecution, and assist in identifying criminal proceeds and assets. In addition, the proposal would require all companies formed in the United States to obtain an EIN, which would provide a universal identifier for these companies and ensure that responsible party information is provided for every U.S. entity. Further, the proposal would provide the Secretary of the Treasury with the authority to impose AML/CTF obligations on persons in the business of forming companies. Finally, the proposal would establish standards that States would be encouraged to adopt to improve their regulation and oversight of the incorporation process.

Strengthen Tax Administration

Modify the conservation easement deduction and pilot a conservation credit.—A deduction is generally available for charitable contributions of cash and property. In general, no charitable deduction is allowed for a contribution of a partial interest in property. An exception to this rule allows a donor to deduct the value of a conservation easement (a partial interest) that is donated to a qualified charitable organization exclusively for conservation purposes, including the preservation of recreational outdoor spaces and certain certified historical structures. The value of the deduction for any contribution that produces a return benefit to the donor must be reduced by the value of the benefit received. Special rules raise the usual contribution base limitations for gifts of conservation easements, allowing individuals to deduct up to 50 percent of their contribution base (generally, adjusted gross income computed without regard to the net operating loss carryback) and allowing qualified farmers and ranchers to deduct up to 100 percent of their contribution base. Certain corporate farmers and ranchers can deduct the value of contributions of property used in agriculture or livestock production (and restricted so as to remain available for such production) up to 100 percent of taxable income. Additionally, these donors can deduct any remaining value of the donated easement over the succeeding 15 years.

The Administration proposes the following modifications to the conservation easement deduction, effective for contributions made after the date of enactment, unless otherwise stated. First, to address concerns regarding abusive uses of this deduction and to promote effective, high-value conservation efforts, the Administration proposes to strengthen standards for organizations to qualify to receive deductible contributions of conservation easements; modify the definition of eligible conservation purpose and require that, prior to taking a deduction, donors of conservation easements establish that the easement furthers a clearly delineated Federal conservation policy or an authorized State or tribal government policy and will yield a significant public benefit; require that organizations receiving deductible contributions of easements acknowledge the Federal conservation purposes served and public benefits yielded by the easement and attest that the fair market value of the easement reported by the donor to the IRS is not inaccurate; penalize organizations that attest to values that they know (or should know) are substantially overstated or for receiving contributions that do not serve a conservation purpose; and require additional reporting by organizations receiving deductible contributions of conservation easements, including information about the contributed easements and their fair market values.

Second, contributions of easements on golf courses have raised concerns that the deduction amounts claimed for such easements are excessive and that the conservation easement deduction is not narrowly tailored to promote only bona fide conservation activities, as opposed to the private interests of donors. The Administration proposes to amend the charitable contribution deduction provision to prohibit a deduction for any contribution of a partial interest in property that is, or is intended to be, used as a golf course.

Third, concerns have been raised that the deduction amounts claimed for contributions of conservation easements for historic preservation are excessive and may not appropriately take into account existing limitations on the property. The Administration proposes to disallow a deduction for any value associated with forgone upward development above an historic building. Administration also proposes to require contributions of conservation easements on all historic buildings, including those listed in the National Register of Historic Places, to comply with a 2006 amendment that requires contributions of historic preservation easements on buildings in registered historic districts to comply with special rules relating to the preservation of the entire exterior of the building and the documentation of the easement contribution.

Fourth, the Administration proposes to pilot a non-refundable credit of \$100 million per year for conservation easement contributions as an alternative to the current deduction. (This credit amount is for the pilot program only. If successful, a full replacement of the deduction with a conservation easement credit of \$475 million per year, indexed for inflation, is estimated to be revenue neutral.) The credits would be allocated by a Federal board to qualified charitable organizations and govern-mental entities that hold and enforce conservation easements. These conservation organizations would in turn allocate the credits to donors of conservation easements. Donors would receive up to a maximum of 50 percent of the fair market value of the contributed easement in credits and could use the credits to offset up to 100 percent of their income tax liability. Any unused credit amounts could be carried forward for up to 15 years. Under the proposal, donors would have enhanced incentives to contribute because the value of the credits is not limited to the donor's tax rate, and there would be fewer regulatory requirements and restrictions on taking the credit. Qualified conservation organizations would have flexibility to direct the credits toward easements with greatest conservation value and to utilize their credit allocation to maximize the conservation achieved in exchange for the tax benefits. Finally, the costs of tax administration could be reduced because conservation organizations, rather than donors, would determine the value of easements and be responsible for allocating the tax benefits to donors of valuable easements, eliminating much of the need for IRS enforcement activity to challenge overvalued easements deductions. Verification of donor compliance would be simplified as well, as regulatory requirements on donors necessary to support significant IRS examination activity of deductions would no longer be needed for the credit. The proposal also calls for a report to the Congress from the Department of the Treasury in collaboration with the Department of Agriculture and the Department of

the Interior on the relative merits of the conservation credit and the deduction for conservation contributions, including an assessment of the conservation benefits and costs of conservation of both tax benefits.

Impose liability on shareholders to collect unpaid income taxes of applicable corporations.—Certain shareholders, corporate officers and directors, and their advisors have engaged in "Intermediary Transaction Tax Shelters." In a typical case, an intermediary entity purportedly purchases the shareholders' stock, either after or shortly before the corporation sells its assets. The cash from the asset sale effectively finances the purchase of the shareholders' stock and no assets are left to pay the corporate tax liability. Existing law does not adequately protect the Federal Government's interest in collecting the amounts due from selling shareholders as a result of these transactions. The Administration therefore proposes to add a new section to the Internal Revenue Code that would impose on the shareholders who sell stock of an "applicable C corporation" secondary liability (without resort to any State law) for payment of such corporation's unpaid corporate taxes. Shareholders would be liable to the extent they received proceeds, directly or indirectly, for their shares in an applicable C corporation. This proposal would be effective for sales of stock of applicable C corporations occurring on or after April 10, 2013.

Implement a program integrity statutory cap adjustment for tax administration.—The Administration proposes an adjustment to the discretionary spending limits, as established in the BBEDCA, as amended, for IRS tax enforcement, compliance, and related activities, including tax administration activities at the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB). In general, such cap adjustments help protect increases above a base level for activities that generate benefits that exceed programmatic costs. The proposed fiscal year 2017 cap adjustment for the IRS and TTB will fund \$515 million in enforcement and compliance initiatives and investments above current levels of enforcement and compliance activity. Beyond 2017, the Administration proposes further increases in additional new tax enforcement initiatives each fiscal year from 2018 through 2021 and to sustain all of the new initiatives plus inflationary costs via adjustments through fiscal year 2026. The total cost of starting and sustaining the new initiatives above current levels of enforcement and compliance activity would be \$18 billion over the 10-year budget window, and is estimated to generate an additional \$64 billion in revenue over that same period for a net savings of \$46 billion. These resources will help the IRS and TTB continue to work on closing the tax gap, defined as the difference between taxes owed and those paid on time and estimated at \$450 billion in 2006. Enforcement funds provided through the 2017 cap adjustment will continue to target international tax compliance and restore previously reduced enforcement levels.

Revise offer-in-compromise application rules.— Current law provides that the IRS may compromise with a taxpayer to settle any civil or criminal case arising under the Internal Revenue Code prior to a referral to the Department of Justice for prosecution or defense. In 2006, a provision was enacted to require taxpayers to make certain nonrefundable payments with any initial offer-in-compromise of a tax case. Requiring nonrefundable payments with an offer-in-compromise may substantially reduce access to the offer-in-compromise program. Reducing access to the offer-in-compromise program makes it more difficult and costly for the IRS to obtain the collectable portion of existing tax liabilities. Accordingly, the Administration proposes eliminating the requirement that an initial offer-in-compromise include a nonrefundable payment of any portion of the taxpayer's offer. The proposal would be effective for offers-in-compromise submitted after the date of enactment.

Make repeated willful failure to file a tax return a felony.—Current law provides that willful failure to file a tax return is a misdemeanor punishable by a term of imprisonment for not more than one year, a fine of not more than \$25,000 (\$100,000 in the case of a corporation), or both. The Administration would modify this rule such that any person who willfully fails to file tax returns in any three years within any period of five consecutive years, if the aggregate tax liability for such period is at least \$50,000, would be subject to a new aggravated failure to file criminal penalty. The proposal would classify such failure as a felony and, upon conviction, impose a term of imprisonment for not more than five years, a fine of not more than \$250,000 (\$500,000 in the case of a corporation), or both. The proposal would be effective for returns required to be filed after December 31, 2016.

Facilitate tax compliance with local jurisdictions.—Although Federal tax returns and return information (FTI) generally are confidential, the IRS and Department of the Treasury may share FTI with States as well as certain local government entities that are treated as States for this purpose. IRS and Department of the Treasury compliance activity, especially with respect to alcohol, tobacco, and fuel excise taxes, may necessitate information sharing with Indian Tribal Governments (ITGs). The Administration's proposal would specify that ITGs that impose alcohol, tobacco, or fuel excise taxes, or income or wage taxes, would be treated as States for purposes of information sharing to the extent necessary for ITG tax administration. The ITG that receives FTI would be required to safeguard it according to prescribed protocols. The proposal would be effective for disclosures made after enactment.

Improve investigativedisclosureGenerally, tax return information is confidential, unless a specific exception in the Internal Revenue Code applies. In the case of tax administration, the Internal Revenue Code permits the Department of the Treasury and IRS officers and employees to disclose return information to the extent necessary to obtain information not otherwise reasonably available, in the course of an audit or investigation, as prescribed by regulation. Department of the Treasury regulations effective since 2003 state that the term "necessary" in this context does not mean essential or indispensable, but rather appropriate and helpful in obtaining the information sought. Determining if an investigative disclosure is "necessary" is inherently factual,

leading to inconsistent opinions by the courts. Eliminating this uncertainty from the statute would facilitate investigations by IRS officers and employees, while setting forth clear guidance for taxpayers, thus enhancing compliance with the Internal Revenue Code. The Administration proposes to clarify the taxpayer privacy law by stating that it does not prohibit Department of the Treasury and IRS officers and employees from identifying themselves, their organizational affiliation, and the nature and subject of an investigation, when contacting third parties in connection with a civil or criminal tax investigation. The proposal would be effective for disclosures made after enactment.

Allow the IRS to absorb credit and debit card processing fees for certain tax payments.—Taxpayers may make credit or debit card payments by phone through IRS-designated third-party service providers, who charge taxpayers a convenience fee for processing the payment over and above the taxes due. Under current law, if the IRS were to accept credit or debit card payments directly from taxpayers, the IRS would be prohibited from absorbing credit and debit card processing fees. The Administration recognizes that it is inefficient for both the IRS and taxpayers to require credit and debit card payments to be made through a third-party service provider, and that charging an additional convenience fee increases taxpayers' costs. The proposal would permit the IRS to accept credit and debit card payments directly from taxpayers and to absorb the credit and debit card processing fees, but only in situations authorized by regulations. The proposal would be effective for payments made after the date of enactment.

Provide the IRS with greater flexibility to address correctable errors.—The IRS may correct certain mathematical or clerical errors made on tax returns to reflect the taxpayer's correct tax liability without following the regular deficiency procedures (this authority is generally referred to as "math error authority"). The Internal Revenue Code specifically identifies a list of circumstances where the IRS has math error authority. The Administration proposes to remove the existing specific grants of math error authority, and provide that "math error authority" will refer only to computational errors and the incorrect use of any table provided by the IRS. In addition, the proposal will add a new category of "correctable errors." Under this new category, the Department of the Treasury would have regulatory authority to permit the IRS to correct errors in cases where: (1) the information provided by the taxpayer does not match the information contained in government databases; (2) the taxpayer has exceeded the lifetime limit for claiming a deduction or credit; or (3) the taxpayer has failed to include with his or her return documentation that is required by statute. The proposal would increase efficiency by eliminating the need to enact legislation specifically extending math error authority to the IRS on a case-by-case basis, and would promote the efficient use of IRS and taxpayer resources. The proposal would be effective on the date of enactment. However, the IRS' current grant of math error authority would continue to apply until the Department of the Treasury and the IRS issue final regulations addressing correctable errors.

Enhance electronic filing of returns.—Generally, regulations may require businesses and tax-exempt organizations that file at least 250 returns and information returns during the calendar year to file electronically (e-File). Partnerships with more than 100 partners are required to e-File, regardless of how many returns they file. A tax return preparer that expects to file more than 10 individual income tax returns (Forms 1040 and 1041) is generally required to e-File these tax returns. Certain pension plans are required to electronically file certain information with the Department of Labor, which shares the information with the IRS. However, certain tax-only information is not required to be e-filed to the IRS. The proposal would strengthen the requirements for entities to e-File, expand the preparer e-File mandate for individual returns to apply to entity returns, require scannable codes on paper returns prepared using software, expand regulatory authority related to information returns, and add a specific penalty for failure to e-File when required to do so. Regulatory authority would be expanded to allow reduction of the 250-return threshold for certain other information returns and disclosure of returns electronically filed by tax-exempt organizations would be required to be in a machine readable format. The proposal would generally be effective for taxable years beginning after the date of enactment, with transition relief available for certain taxpayers.

Improve the whistleblower program.—Under current law, the Internal Revenue Code does not protect whistleblowers from retaliatory actions; therefore, potential whistleblowers may be discouraged from filing claims with the IRS. The Administration proposes to amend the Internal Revenue Code to protect whistleblowers from retaliation, which should incentivize potential whistleblowers to file claims and increase the tax administration benefit of the whistleblower program. The IRS Whistleblower Office may disclose tax return information, which is generally confidential, to whistleblowers and their legal representatives as part of a whistleblower administrative proceeding. Although whistleblowers and their legal representatives must sign a confidentiality agreement before tax return information is shared, the statutory prohibitions on redisclosure of tax return information and safeguarding requirements do not apply. The Administration proposes to amend the whistleblower rules to explicitly protect whistleblowers from retaliatory actions, consistent with the protections currently available to whistleblowers under the False Claims Act. In addition, the Administration proposes to amend the taxpayer information protections to extend the safeguarding requirements and prohibition on redisclosure of tax return information to whistleblowers and their legal representatives. In addition, the Administration proposes to extend penalties for unauthorized redisclosure of tax return information to whistleblowers and their legal representatives. This proposal will improve the efficiency of the whistleblower award determination proceedings,

while increasing the protection available to taxpayers. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Index all civil tax penalties for inflation.— Currently, the amount of a tax penalty that is a set dollar amount is established when the penalty is added to the Internal Revenue Code and is only increased by amendments to the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, under current practices, the amount of the penalty is often not increased until significant time has passed and the penalty amount is too low to continue serving as an effective deterrent. The Administration proposes to index all penalties for inflation and round the indexed amount to the next hundred dollars. This proposal would increase the penalty regime's effectiveness in deterring negative behavior and would increase efficiency by eliminating the need to enact increases to individual penalties. While recent amendments to the Internal Revenue Code index select penalty provisions to inflation and resolve these issues for those few penalties, a more comprehensive approach is needed to achieve increased effectiveness and efficiency of tax penalties. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Combat tax-related identity theft.—Tax refund-related identity theft has expanded exponentially in recent years. The Aggravated Identity Theft Statute contains a list of felony violations that constitute predicate offenses for aggravated identity theft but the list does not currently include any tax offenses. The Administration proposes to add tax-related offenses to the list of predicate offenses contained in the Aggravated Identity Theft Statute. The Administration also proposes to impose a \$5,000 civil penalty (indexed) in tax identity theft cases. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Allow States to send notices of intent to offset Federal tax refunds to collect State tax obligations by regular first-class mail instead of certified mail.— Under current law, the Department of the Treasury, Bureau of Fiscal Service, may offset Federal tax refunds to collect delinquent State income tax obligations only after the State sends the delinquent debtor a notice by certified mail. With respect to all other types of debts, including Federal nontax, child support, and State unemployment insurance compensation debts, the statute is silent as to the notice delivery method. However, the regulations require that for all debts other than State income tax obligations, Federal and State creditor agencies send notices by regular first class mail. Similarly, notice requirements for other debt collection actions, including administrative wage garnishment, do not require delivery by certified mail. The Administration's proposal would remove the statutory requirement to use certified mail, thereby allowing States to send notices for delinquent State income tax obligations by first class mail, saving States certified mail costs and standardizing notice procedures across debt types. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Accelerate information return filing due dates.— Under current law, many information returns are required to be filed with the IRS by February 28 of the year following the year for which the information is being reported, and the due date for filing information returns with IRS is generally extended until March 31 if the returns are filed electronically. Recent legislation accelerated the filing due date for Forms W-2, W-3, and returns and statements reporting nonemployee compensation to January 31 and eliminated the March 31 electronic filing due date for these forms. The IRS uses third-party information to determine a taxpayer's compliance with Federal tax obligations and therefore accelerating the IRS' receipt of third-party information will facilitate detection of non-compliance earlier in the filing season. The Administration proposes to accelerate the date for filing most information returns (other than Forms W-2, W-3, and returns reporting nonemployee compensation) with the IRS to January 31 and eliminate the extended due date for electronically filed returns for these forms. The proposal would be effective for returns required to be filed after December 31, 2016.

Increase oversight of paid tax return preparers.— Paid tax return preparers have an important role in tax administration because they assist taxpayers in complying with their obligations under the tax laws. Incompetent and dishonest tax return preparers increase collection costs, reduce revenues, disadvantage taxpayers by potentially subjecting them to penalties and interest as a result of incorrect returns, and undermine confidence in the tax system. To promote high quality services from paid tax return preparers, the proposal would explicitly provide that the Secretary of the Treasury has the authority to regulate all paid tax return preparers. This proposal would be effective on or after the date of enactment.

Enhance administrability of the appraiser penalty.—Current law imposes a penalty on preparers of appraisals that result in a substantial or gross valuation misstatement. There is an exception to the penalty if the value in the appraisal is "more likely than not" the proper value. Valuations of property are generally provided as a specific value or a range of values that are applicable, not as a value that is "more likely than not" the proper value. Further, there is no coordination between this penalty and the preparer understatement penalty in cases where the person providing the appraisal is also treated as a paid tax return preparer with respect to the position on the return or claim for refund relying on the valuation in the appraisal. The proposal would increase administrability of the appraiser penalty by replacing the existing "more likely than not" exception with a reasonable cause exception. In addition, under the proposal, an appraiser would not be subject to both penalties for the same conduct. The proposal would be effective for returns required to be filed after December 31, 2016.

Enhance UI program integrity.—The Administration proposes a broad package of proposals aimed at improving the integrity of the UI program. Included in this package are proposals to: allow for data disclosure to contractors for the Treasury Offset Program; expand State use of the Separation Information Data Exchange System (SIDES), which already improves program integrity by allowing States and employers to exchange information on reasons for a claimant's separation from employment and thereby

helping States to determine UI eligibility; mandate the use of the National Directory of New Hires to conduct cross-matches for program integrity purposes; allow the Secretary to set corrective action measures for poor State performance; require States to cross-match claimants against the Prisoner Update Processing System (PUPS), which is currently used by some States; and allow States to retain five percent of overpayment and tax investigation recoveries to fund program integrity activities. In general, these proposals will reduce UI benefit payments, thereby reducing State UI taxes.

Request a program integrity cap adjustment for the RESEA program.—The Administration proposes a program integrity cap adjustment for 2017 to fund RESEAs for approximately one-third of claimants identified as most likely to exhaust benefits. These assessments and supplemental services help ensure that benefits go only to eligible claimants and that they get the services they need to return to work. In general, reduced outlays allow States to keep UI taxes lower, reducing overall receipts to the UI trust funds.

SIMPLIFY THE TAX SYSTEM

Modify adoption credit to allow tribal determination of special needs.—Current law allows a more generous credit for the adoption of children with special needs. To claim this credit, a State must have made a determination that the child has special needs. Like States, many ITGs facilitate adoptions involving special needs children; however, currently, a tribe is not permitted to make the determination of special needs. The Administration proposes to allow ITGs to make this determination, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Repeal non-qualified preferred stock designation.—In 1997, a provision was added to the Internal Revenue Code that treats as taxable "boot" the receipt of certain types of preferred stock known as non-qualified preferred stock (NQPS), where NQPS is issued in a corporate organization or reorganization exchange. Since enactment, taxpayers have often exploited the hybrid nature of NQPS, issuing NQPS in transactions that are inconsistent with the purpose of the 1997 provision. The Administration proposes to repeal the NQPS designation, and no longer treat the receipt of such stock as taxable boot. The proposal would be effective for stock issued after December 31, 2016.

Reform excise tax based on investment income of private foundations.—Under current law, private foundations that are exempt from Federal income tax are subject to a two-percent excise tax on their net investment income (one-percent if certain requirements are met). The excise tax on private foundations that are not exempt from Federal income tax, such as certain charitable trusts, is equal to the excess of the sum of the excise tax that would have been imposed if the foundation were tax exempt and the amount of the unrelated business income tax that would have been imposed if the foundation were tax exempt, over the income tax imposed on the

foundation. To simplify the tax laws and encourage increased charitable activity, the Administration proposes to replace the two rates of tax on the net investment income of private foundations that are exempt from Federal income tax with a single tax rate of 1.35 percent. The excise tax on private foundations not exempt from Federal income tax would be equal to the excess of the sum of the 1.35-percent excise tax that would have been imposed if the foundation were tax exempt and the amount of the unrelated business income tax that would have been imposed if the foundation were tax exempt, over the income tax imposed on the foundation. The proposed change would be effective for taxable years beginning after the date of enactment.

Simplify arbitrage investment restrictions.— Current law arbitrage investment restrictions imposed on investments of tax-exempt bond proceeds create unnecessary complexity and compliance burdens for State and local governments. These restrictions generally limit investment returns that exceed the effective interest rate on the tax-exempt bonds. One type of restriction, called "yield restriction," limits arbitrage earnings in the first instance, and the second type of restriction, called "rebate," requires repayment of arbitrage earnings to the Federal Government at periodic intervals. The two types of arbitrage restrictions are duplicative and overlapping and they address the same tax policy goal to limit arbitrage profit incentives for excess use of tax-exempt bonds. The Administration proposes to simplify the arbitrage investment restrictions on tax-exempt bonds in several respects. First, the Administration proposes to unify the arbitrage restrictions to rely primarily on the rebate requirement and to repeal yield restriction in most circumstances. Second, recognizing that limited arbitrage potential exists if issuers spend bond proceeds fairly promptly, the Administration proposes a streamlined broad three-year prompt spending exception to the arbitrage rebate requirement on tax-exempt bonds. Finally, recognizing the particular compliance burdens for small issuers, the Administration proposes to increase the small issuer exception to the arbitrage rebate requirement from \$5 million to \$10 million, index the size limit for inflation, and remove the general taxing power constraint on small issuer eligibility. The proposal would be effective for bonds issued after the date of enactment.

Simplify single-family housing mortgage bond targeting requirements.—Current law allows use of tax-exempt private activity bonds to finance qualified mortgages for single-family residences, subject to a number of targeting requirements, including, among others: (1) a mortgagor income limitation (generally not more than 115 percent of applicable median family income, increased to 140 percent of such income for certain targeted areas, and also increased for certain high-cost areas); (2) a purchase price limitation (generally not more than 90 percent of average area purchase prices, increased to 110 percent in targeted areas); (3) a refinancing limitation (generally permitting only new mortgages for first-time homebuyers); and (4) a targeted area availability requirement. The Administration proposes to simplify the

targeting requirements for tax-exempt qualified mortgage bonds by repealing the purchase price limitation and the refinancing limitation. This proposal would be effective for bonds issued after the date of enactment.

Streamline private activity limits on governmental **bonds.**—Tax-exempt bonds issued by State and local governments are treated as governmental bonds if the issuer limits private business use and other private involvement sufficiently to avoid treatment as "private activity bonds." Bonds generally are classified as private activity bonds under a two-part test if more than 10 percent of the bond proceeds are both: (1) used for private business use; and (2) payable or secured from property or payments derived from private business use. Additional restrictions further reduce permitted private involvement for governmental bonds in several ways, including the following: a five percent unrelated or disproportionate private business limit; a \$15 million cap on private business involvement for governmental output facilities (e.g., electric and gas facilities); and a separate private loan limit for the lesser of five percent or \$5 million of bond proceeds. These additional restrictions are unduly complex and increase compliance burdens for State and local governments. The general 10-percent private involvement limit and the bond volume cap requirement for larger governmental bond issues transactions with over \$15 million in private involvement represent sufficient and workable boundaries for private involvement for governmental bonds. The Administration proposes to streamline these limits on governmental bonds by repealing the five-percent unrelated or disproportionate private business limit and the \$15 million private business cap on output facilities. As an overall constraint, the Administration proposes to modify the bond volume cap requirement for private involvement over \$15 million in larger governmental bond issues and apply the modified cap to both private business use and private loans. This proposal would be effective for bonds issued after the date of enactment.

Repeal technical terminations of partnerships.— A partnership will terminate when 50 percent or more of the total interest in partnership capital and profits is sold or exchanged within a 12-month period. This is referred to as a "technical termination." This provision is a holdover that addressed the notion common under prior State laws that tied the identity of a partnership to its partners. As this view of partnerships has evolved, the utility of the provision has essentially been eliminated, and it is now primarily a trap for unwary taxpayers. The Administration proposes eliminating technical terminations effective for transfers after December 31, 2016.

Repeal anti-churning rules of section 197.— Section 197 of the Internal Revenue Code was enacted in 1993 to allow amortization of certain intangibles (such as goodwill and going concern value) that had not been amortizable under prior law. Anti-churning rules were enacted at that time to prevent taxpayers from engaging in transactions with related parties soon after the enactment of section 197 solely to generate amortizable basis. Because it has been 20 years since the enactment of section 197, the anti-churning rules are no longer necessary, and the complexity of the provision outweighs the

potential application. The Administration proposes eliminating the anti-churning rules effective for acquisitions after December 31, 2016.

Repeal special estimated tax payment provision for certain insurance companies.—The deductible unpaid loss reserves of insurance companies are required to be computed on a discounted basis to reflect the time value of money. However, a taxpayer may elect to deduct an additional amount equal to the difference between discounted and undiscounted reserves, if it also makes a "special estimated tax payment" equal to the tax benefit attributable to the extra deduction. The special estimated tax payments are applied against the company's tax liability in future years as reserves are released. This provision requires complex record keeping yet, by design, is approximately revenue neutral. The Administration proposes to repeal the provision effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Repeal the telephone excise tax.—Current law imposes a three-percent excise tax on amounts paid for taxable communications services, which include local telephone service and toll telephone service. Local telephone service is defined as access to a local telephone system and the privilege of telephonic communication with substantially all persons having telephones in the local system. Taxpayers are no longer required to pay tax on similar services, such as plans that provide bundled local and long distance service for either a flat monthly fee or a charge that varies with the elapsed transmission time for which the service is used. As a result, the only communications services that remain subject to the tax are purely local telephone services, of which the poor and the elderly are the primary users. The Administration proposes to repeal the tax on these services. The proposal would be effective for amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered more than 90 days after the date of enactment.

Increase the standard mileage rate for automobile use by volunteers.—Under current law, volunteers may take a charitable contribution deduction for the use of their car in the service of charitable organizations at a standard mileage rate of 14 cents per mile driven. This rate is set by statute and is not indexed for inflation; it was last increased in 1997. The Administration proposes to harmonize the standard mileage rate for the charitable contribution deduction with the rate for miles driven for purposes of the medical and moving expense deductions, which are set annually by the IRS to cover the estimated variable costs of operating an automobile. The proposal would be effective for contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Consolidate contribution limitations for charitable deductions and extend the carryforward period for excess charitable contribution deduction amounts.—The income tax system limits the amount of charitable contribution deductions a donor may claim to a share of the donor's contribution base (the taxpayer's adjusted gross income computed without regard to any net operating loss carryback for the taxable year). An individual taxpayer may generally deduct up to 50 percent of his contribution base for contributions of cash to public

charities, and up to 30 percent for cash contributions to most private foundations. An individual taxpayer may generally deduct up to 30 percent of his contribution base for contributions of appreciated capital gain property to public charities, and up to 20 percent to most private foundations. Finally, an individual taxpayer may deduct up to 20 percent of his contribution base for contributions of capital gain property for the use of a charitable organization. Charitable contributions made to an organization exceeding these limits may generally be carried forward to be deducted in the subsequent five years. Special rules apply for contributions of conservation ease-The proposal would simplify this complicated set of rules regarding deductions of charitable contributions by individual taxpayers. Under the proposal, the general contribution base limit would remain at 50 percent for contributions of cash to public charities. For all other contributions (except contributions of conservation easements), a single deduction limit of 30 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base would apply, irrespective of the type of property donated, the type of organization receiving the donation, and whether the contribution is to or for the use of the organization. In addition, the proposal would extend the carry-forward period for contributions in excess of these limitations from 5 to 15 years. The proposal would be effective for contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016.

Exclude from gross income subsidies from public utilities for purchase of water runoff management.— Under current law, subsidies for water conservation and stormwater management must be included by individuals in reported income. The Administration proposes to exclude from gross income for individuals the value of any subsidy provided by a public utility for the purchase of any water conservation measure or stormwater management measure. The term "water conservation measure" means any installation, modification, or water-use evaluation primarily designed to reduce consumption of water or to improve the management of water demand with respect to a dwelling unit. The term "stormwater management measure" means any installation or modification of property to offset or safely manage the amounts of stormwater runoff associated with a dwelling unit. The term "public utility" means an entity engaged in the sale of water to customers and includes the Federal government or a state or local government.

Provide relief for certain accidental dual citizens.—Individuals who became at birth both a citizen of the United States and a citizen of another country may not have learned until recently that they are U.S. citizens subject to U.S. Federal income tax on their worldwide income, even though they may have had minimal contacts with the United States. Some of these individuals would like to relinquish their U.S. citizenship (i.e., "expatriate"), but doing so would require them to pay significant U.S. tax under current law. The Administration's proposal would provide relief from these U.S. tax obligations for certain individuals who relinquish their U.S. citizenship within two years after the later of January 1, 2017, the

effective date of the proposal, or the date on which the individual learns that he or she is a U.S. citizen.

USER FEES

Reform inland waterways funding.—The Administration proposes legislation to reform the laws governing the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, including establishing an annual per vessel fee to increase the amount paid by commercial navigation users of the inland waterways. In 1986, the Congress provided that commercial traffic on the inland waterways would be responsible for 50 percent of the capital costs of the locks, dams, and other features that make barge transportation possible on the inland waterways. The additional revenue would help finance future capital investments in these waterways, as well as 25 percent of the operation and maintenance costs, to support economic growth. The current excise tax on diesel fuel used in inland waterways commerce, which was recently increased to 29 cents per gallon, will not produce the revenue needed to cover these costs.

Reauthorize special assessment on domestic nuclear utilities.— Established in 1992, the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund pays, subject to appropriation, the decontamination and decommissioning costs of the Department of Energy's gaseous diffusion plants in Tennessee, Ohio, and Kentucky. The Administration proposes to reauthorize the special assessment on domestic nuclear utilities, for deposit in the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund due to higher-than-expected cleanup costs. In addition, the Administration proposes to authorize the use of balances in the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund for the same purpose as the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund. The reauthorization of the special assessment on domestic nuclear utilities will also offset the cost of the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund proposal.

Establish user fee for the Electronic Visa Update System (EVUS).—The Administration proposes to establish a user fee for EVUS, a new U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) program to collect biographic and travel-related information from certain non-immigrant visa holders prior to traveling to the United States. This process will complement existing visa application process and enhance CBP's ability to make pre-travel admissibility and risk determinations. CBP proposes to establish a user fee to fund the costs of establishing, providing, and administering the system.

TRADE INITIATIVES

Enact the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Trade Agreement.—TPP, negotiated between the United States and 11 countries in the Asia-Pacific region, levels the playing field for U.S. workers, farmers, ranchers, small business owners, and manufacturers by eliminating more than 18,000 taxes and other trade barriers on American goods. The Agreement also includes groundbreaking, en-

forceable labor and environmental provisions. Overall, TPP will strengthen strategic relationships with the Nation's partners and allies in a region that will be vital to the 21st century while creating higher-paying jobs for middle-class families at home.

OTHER INITIATIVES

Allow offset of Federal income tax refunds to collect delinquent State income taxes for out-of-state residents.—Under current law, Federal tax refunds may be offset to collect delinquent State income tax obligations, but only if the delinquent taxpayer resides in the State collecting the tax. The Administration proposes to allow Federal tax refunds to be offset to collect delinquent State tax obligations regardless of where the debtor resides. The proposal would be effective on the date of enactment.

Improve disclosure for child support enforcement.—Current law permitting disclosure of tax return information with respect to child support enforcement is complex and diffused and often crosses jurisdictional lines, resulting in items of tax return information that may not be shared with parties that are integral to child support enforcement. The inability to disclose tax return information to these parties and in these circumstances presents challenges to the effective operation of child support enforcement activities. The proposal would amend section 6103(l) to: (1) consolidate the child support enforcement disclosure rules into a single provision; (2) define key terms, (3) permit disclosure to parties integral to child support enforcement; and (4) update and streamline the items of tax return information that may be disclosed. The proposal clarifies the use of tax data for child support purposes and the safeguarding responsibilities of agency and agent recipients.

Authorize the limited sharing of business tax return information to improve the accuracy of important measures of the economy.—Synchronization of business lists among the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), and the Bureau of the Census (Census Bureau) would significantly improve the consistency and quality of sensitive economic statistics including productivity, payroll, employment, and average hourly earnings. The availability of accurate economic statistics is crucial to policy makers. Current law authorizes IRS disclosure of certain Federal tax information (FTI) for governmental statistical use. Business FTI may be disclosed to officers and employees of the Census Bureau for all businesses. Similarly, business FTI may be disclosed to BEA officers and employees, but only for corporate businesses. Currently, BLS is not authorized to receive FTI. The Census Bureau's Business Register is constructed using both FTI and non-tax business data derived from the Economic Census and current economic surveys, so that under current law it is not possible for the Census Bureau to share data with BEA and BLS in any meaningful way, making synchronizing of their business lists impossible. In addition, given

the growth of non-corporate businesses, especially in the service sector, the current limitation on BEA's access to corporate FTI impedes the measurement of income and international transactions in the National Accounts. The Administration proposes to give officers and employees of BEA and BLS access to certain FTI of corporate and non-corporate businesses. Additionally, for the purpose of synchronizing BLS and Census Bureau business lists, the proposal would permit employees of State agencies to receive certain business FTI from BLS. No BEA, BLS, or State agency contractor would have access to FTI. Additionally, the Census Bureau, BEA, BLS, and the State agencies would be subject to the confidentiality safeguard procedures in the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act, as well as taxpayer privacy law and related safeguards and penalties. The proposal would be effective upon enactment.

Eliminate certain reviews conducted by the U.S. Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA).—Under current law, TIGTA conducts reviews to comply with reporting requirements. The Administration proposes to eliminate TIGTA's obligation to report information regarding any administrative or civil actions related to Fair Tax Collection Practices violations in one of TIGTA's Semiannual Reports, review and certify annually that the IRS is complying with the requirements of section 6103(e)(8) of the Internal Revenue Code regarding information on joint filers, and annually report on the IRS's compliance with requirements that IRS employees stop a taxpayer interview whenever a taxpayer requests to consult with a representative and to obtain their immediate supervisor's approval to contact the taxpayer instead of the representative if the representative has unreasonably delayed the completion of an examination or investigation. The proposal would revise the annual reporting requirement for all remaining provisions in the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 to a biennial reporting requirement. The proposal would be effective after December 31, 2016.

Modify indexing to prevent deflationary adjust*ments.*—Many parameters of the tax system— including the size of personal exemptions and standard deductions, the width of income tax rate brackets, the amount of other deductions and credits, and the maximum amount of various saving and retirement deductions-may be adjusted annually for the effects of inflation, based on annual changes in the CPI. Under current law, if price levels decline, most (but not all) of the inflation adjustment provisions would permit tax parameters to become smaller, so long as they do not decline to less than their base period values. The Administration proposes to modify inflation adjustment provisions to prevent the size of any indexed tax parameters from decreasing from the previous year's levels if the underlying price index falls. Subsequent inflation-related increases in the price index relevant for adjusting the particular tax parameter would be taken into account only to the extent that the index exceeds its highest previous level. The proposal would be effective as of the date of enactment.

IMMIGRATION REFORM

Enact comprehensive immigration reform.—The Administration proposes to enact comprehensive immigration reform that strengthens the Nation's border security, cracks down on employers who hire undocumented workers, and provides a pathway to earned citizenship for individuals who pay a penalty and taxes, learn English, pass a background check, and go to the back of the line. Comprehensive immigration reform will contribute to a safer and more just society, boost economic growth, reduce

deficits, and improve the solvency of Social Security. The Administration supports the approach to immigration reform in S. 744, which passed the Senate in 2013 with bipartisan support. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has estimated that comprehensive immigration reform along the lines of the Senate-passed bill would reduce the deficit by about \$170 billion in the first decade and by nearly \$1 trillion over 20 years. The 2017 Budget includes an allowance for the budget effects of immigration reform based on the CBO cost estimate for this bill.

Table 12-2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Elements of business tax reform:													
Reform the U.S. international tax system:													
Restrict deductions for excessive interest of members of financial reporting groups		2,822	4,986	5,485	6,033	6,637	7,300	8,030	8,833	9,717	10,688	25,963	70,50
Provide tax incentives for locating jobs and business activity in the United States and remove tax deductions for shipping jobs overseas		-11	-18	-20	-20	-21	-22	-23	-24	-26	-26	_90	
Repeal delay in the implementation of worldwide interest allocation		-1,406		-2,496	-2,596	-1,055						-9,953	
Impose a 19-percent minimum tax on foreign income		24,201	38,418	35.969	33.192	32,831	34,211	35,651	37,117	38,635	40,166	164,611	350,3
Impose a 14-percent one-time tax on previously untaxed foreign income ¹													
Limit shifting of income through intangible property transfers		88	167	201	237	275	315	361	413	473	542	968	3,0
Disallow the deduction for excess non-taxed reinsurance premiums paid to affiliates		411	657	697	731	771	815	848	882	918	958	3,267	7,6
Modify tax rules for dual capacity taxpayers		465	814	878	930	970	992	1,032	1,074	1,121	1,359	4,057	9,6
Tax gain from the sale of a partnership interest on look-through basis		146	251	264	277	291	305	321	337	354	371	1,229	2,9
Modify sections 338(h)(16) and 902 to limit credits when non-double taxation exists		59	102	105	105	105	105	105	106	106	107	476	1,0
Close loopholes under subpart F		1,517	2,635	2,821	3,019	3,230	3,453	3,692	3,945	4,215	4,501	13,222	33,0
Restrict the use of hybrid arrangements that create stateless income		115	201	215	230	247	264	283	304	326	350	1,008	2,5
Limit the ability of domestic entities to expatriate		118	327	556	807	1,083	1,383	1,711	2,068	2,457	2,880	2,891	13,3
Total, reform the U.S. international tax system		28,525	46,140	44,675	42,945	45,364	49,121	52,011	55,055	58,296	61,896	207,649	484,0
Simplification and tax relief for small business:													
Expand expensing for small business		-2,101	-2,863	-2,072	-1,625	-1,335	-1,132	-1,009	-961	-971	-997	-9,996	-15,
Expand simplified accounting for small business and establish a uniform definition of small business for accounting methods		-6,248	-4,874	-2,819	-1,975	-1,814	_1,745	-1,724	-1,819	-1,839	-1,845	_17,730	_26.7
Increase the limitations for deductible new business expenditures and consolidate provisions for start-up and organizational expenditures		-490	_484	-477	-473	_471	-469	-465	_461	-456	-452	-2,395	-4,6
Expand and simplify the tax credit provided to qualified small employers for non-elective contributions to		-430	-404	-411	-473	-4/1	-409	-403	-401	-450	-432	-2,393	-4,0
employee health insurance 2	-10	-170	-163	-146	-131	-100	-118	-80	-60	-27	-14	-710	-1,0
Total, simplification and tax relief for small business	-10	-9,009	-8,384	-5,514	-4,204	-3,720	-3,464	-3,278	-3,301	-3,293	-3,308	-30,831	-47, 4
Incentives for job creation, manufacturing, research, and clean energy:													
Enhance and simplify research incentives		-959	-1,896	-2,154	-2,409	-2,660	-2,913	-3,166	-3,426	-3,690	-3,964	-10,078	-27,
Extend and modify certain employment tax credits, including incentives for hiring veterans		-2	-7	-9	-511	-1,062	_1,194	-1,308	-1,406	-1,492	-1,573	_1,591	-8,
Provide new Manufacturing Communities tax credit		-97	-277	-483	-619	-693	-751	-788	-677	-417	-107	-2,169	-4,
Provide Community College Partnership tax credit		-109	-277	-380	-406	-405	-273	-124	-96	-79	-64	-1,577	-2,
Designate Promise Zones ²		-301	-610	-681	-829	-902	-836	-786	-752	-730	-723	-3,323	-7,
Modify and permanently extend renewable electricity production tax credit and investment tax credit 2		-122	-230	-345	-587	-1,041	_1,359	-1,633	-3,990	-6,549	-8,287	-2,325	-24, ·

Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

Modify and permanently eithered the decidation for energy-efficient commercial buildings property -198 -288 -281 -285 -285 -279 -277 -273 -270 -272 -273 -280 -285 -285 -285 -285 -279 -277 -273 -270 -272 -272 -272 -273 -270 -272 -270 -273 -270 -272 -273 -270 -273 -270 -272 -270	Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued (In millions of dollars)													
emergy-efficient commercial building property -159 -288 -281 -286 -283 -279 -277 -273 -270 -272 -170 -226 -264 -264 -265 -265 -265 -270 -27		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026		
Sequestration lax credit 2	Modify and permanently extend the deduction for energy-efficient commercial building property		-159	-268	-281	-285	-283	-279	-277	-273	-270	-272	-1,276	-2,647
qualified property used in a qualifying anhamed energy mentional brothed production of detailed productions of the production of detailed productions	sequestration tax credit 2		-9	-34	-47	-48	-388	-709	-409	-791	-677	-338	-526	-3,450
Extend the tax credit for texposure generation biolised production S7 1-77 1-77 1-75 1-75 1-75 1-75 1-75 1-75 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-76 1-75 1-7	qualified property used in a qualifying advanced		–74	-194	-1.118	– 787	-111	_4	34	28	14	3	-2.284	-2.209
Provide a tax credit for the production of alxhanead technology vehicles according to reduce the production of alxhanead technology vehicles according to reduce the provided at the control vehicle and attending vehicles and a second to reduce the control vehicle and attending vehicles are vehicles and attending vehicles and attending vehicles are vehicles and attending vehicles and attending vehicles are vehicles as vehicles are vehicles and attending vehicles are vehicles as vehicles are vehicles and attending vehicles are vehicles and vehicles are vehicles are vehicles and vehicles are vehicles are vehicles are vehicles as vehicles are vehicles are vehicles are vehicles are vehicles as vehicles are vehicles	Extend the tax credit for second generation biofuel				, -			-					,	,
alternalive-lule commercial vehicles — 44 — 78 — 85 — 89 — 93 — 61 — 15 — — 389 — 468 Modify and extend the lax credit for be construction of energy efficient new homes — 2-85 — 428 — 2-88 — 2-88 — 2-88 — 2-88 — 3-98 — 3-98 — 4-95 — 1,058 — 2,825 — 2,825 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,058 — 1,058 — 2,825 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,059 — 1,051 — 2,922 — 89,094 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,059 — 1,051 — 2,922 — 89,094 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,059 — 1,051 — 2,922 — 89,094 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 1,051 — 2,922 — 89,094 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,757 — 2,228 — 89,094 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,757 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,757 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,757 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,075 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,075 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,021 — 1,025 — 1,050 — 2,075 — 2,228 — 1,021 — 1,02	Provide a tax credit for the production of advanced													,
Figure Provide Provi	Provide a tax credit for medium- and heavy-duty alternative-fuel commercial vehicles		-44	-78	-85	-89	-93	_61	-15				-389	-465
Incentives to promote regional growth:	Modify and extend the tax credit for the construction of energy-efficient new homes		-82	-182	-238	-268	-288	-306	-323	-351	-382	-405	-1,058	-2,825
Modify and permanently extend the New Markets tax credit	Total, incentives for job creation, manufacturing, research, and clean energy		-2,550	-4,713	-6,490	-7,482	-8,487	-9,080	-9,031	-11,691	-14,059	-15,511	-29,722	-89,094
Reform and expand the Low-income Housing tax credit -1 -19 -99 -272 -512 -769 -1.031 -1.300 -1.576 -1.860 -2.152 -1.671 -9.590 Total, incentives to promote regional growth -1 -19 -99 -272 -600 -1.047 -1.514 -2.016 -2.546 -3.095 -3.657 -2.046 -14.874 -1.054	Modify and permanently extend the New Markets tax					– 97	<i>–</i> 278	_483	– 716	–970	-1.235	-1.505	-375	-5.284
Total, incentives to promote regional growth -1 -19 -99 -272 -609 -1,047 -1,514 -2,016 -2,546 -3,085 -3,657 -2,046 -14,874	Reform and expand the Low-Income Housing tax								-1.300					,
Provide America Fast Forward Bonds and expand eligible uses \$^2\$		-1	-19	-99	-272	-609		<u> </u>		-			-2,046	-14,874
Allow current refundings of State and local governmental bonds are near the state of the state o	Provide America Fast Forward Bonds and expand		_1	_4	_10	_14	_21	-26	-32	–37	_44	_48	–50	–237
Repeal the \$150 million non-hospital bond limitation on all qualified 501(9(3) bonds	Allow current refundings of State and local			·										
Increase national limitation amount for qualified highway or surface freight transfer facility bonds or surface freight transfer facility bonds or surface freight transfer facility bonds for irritarstructure projects referred to as qualified private activity bonds for irritarstructure projects referred to as qualified public irritarstructure bonds'	Repeal the \$150 million non-hospital bond limitation													
Provide a new category of qualified private activity bonds for infrastructure projects referred to as 'qualified private activity bonds for infrastructure projects referred to as 'qualified private activity bonds for public education facilities	Increase national limitation amount for qualified			-60	-93		-153	-167	-163	-136	-96	– 55	-459	-1,076
education facilities	bonds for infrastructure projects referred to as		-27	-121	-258	-397	-534	-646	-698	–714	-728	_741	-1,337	-4,864
Bonds	Modify qualified private activity bonds for public education facilities													
Sports facilities	Modify treatment of banks investing in tax-exempt bonds		-5	-38	-131	-225	-317	-405	-493	-574	-630	-616	–716	-3,434
purposes of private business use limits	sports facilities		3	11	23	35	47	60	72	85	97	109	119	542
Total, incentives for investment in infrastructure	purposes of private business use limits					-1	-1	-1	-3	-3	-3	-4	-2	-16
Eliminate fossil fuel tax preferences: Treat publicly-traded partnerships for fossil fuels as C corporations														
Treat publicly-traded partnerships for fossil fuels as C corporations		-6	-63	-230	-489	-749	-1,003	-1,211	-1,345	-1,409	-1,437	_1,389	-2,534	-9,325
Eliminate oil and natural gas preferences: Repeal enhanced oil recovery credit	Treat publicly-traded partnerships for fossil fuels as C							201	280	295	309	323		1,408
Repeal credit for oil and natural gas produced from marginal wells 3														,
Repeal expensing of intangible drilling costs 966 1,541 1,439 1,645 1,526 1,100 733 472 340 288 7,117 10,050	Repeal credit for oil and natural gas produced from		235		792		•				1,038	1,060	3,635	
Repeal deduction for tertiary injectants	•		966		1,439						340	288	7,117	
for working interests in oil and natural gas properties	Repeal deduction for tertiary injectants									8	8			
Repeal percentage depletion for oil and natural gas wells	for working interests in oil and natural gas		9	12	12	12	11	10	10	9	9	9	56	103
Repeal domestic manufacturing deduction for oil and natural gas production	Repeal percentage depletion for oil and natural gas													
Increase geological and geophysical amortization	Repeal domestic manufacturing deduction for oil													
	Increase geological and geophysical amortization												,	

Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

			(0110 01 001	14.0)								
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Subtotal, eliminate oil and natural gas		0.000	2 222	4.450	4.505	4.074		0.007	0.000	0.774	0.740	10.175	04.007
preferences Eliminate coal preferences:		2,222	3,923	4,152	4,507	4,371	3,808	3,287	2,933	2,774	2,710	19,175	34,687
Repeal expensing of exploration and development costs		20	35	35	33	32	30	27	25	24	24	155	285
Repeal percentage depletion for hard mineral fossil						0_							
fuels		113	183	177	145	114	99	87	75 50	66	62	732	1,121
Repeal capital gains treatment for royaltiesRepeal domestic manufacturing deduction for the		26	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	234	494
production of coal and other hard mineral fossil fuels		11	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	97	227
Subtotal, eliminate coal preferences		170	290	285	252	221	205	191	178	169	166	1,218	2,127
Total, eliminate fossil fuel tax preferences		2,392	4,213	4,437	4,759	4,592	4,214	3,758	3,406	3,252	3,199	20,393	38,222
Reform the treatment of financial and insurance industry products:													
Require that derivative contracts be marked to market with resulting gain or loss treated as ordinary		3,674	5,415	4,347	2,743	1,665	1,124	679	466	434	405	17,844	20,952
Modify rules that apply to sales of life insurance contracts		26	44	46	48	50	54	56	58	61	63	214	506
Modify proration rules for life insurance company general and separate accounts		345	527	534	551	579	609	628	642	658	681	2,536	5,754
Expand pro rata interest expense disallowance for corporate-owned life insurance		116	232	337	457	597	753	910	1,075	1,245	1,422	1,739	7,144
Conform net operating loss (NOL) rules of life insurance companies to those of other corporations		18	28	30	31	33	35	36	38	39	41	140	329
Total, reform the treatment of financial and insurance industry products		4,179	6,246	5,294	3,830	2,924	2,575	2,309	2,279	2,437	2,612	22,473	34,685
Other business revenue changes and loophole closers:													
Repeal LIFO method of accounting for inventories		5,369	7,647	8,307	8,394	8,611	8,082	8,032	8,455	9,475	8,963	38,328	81,335
Repeal lower-of-cost-or-market inventory accounting method		878	1,321	1,381	1,390	521	240	250	260	271	283	5,491	6,795
Modify like-kind exchange rules		2,684	7,828	6,889	5,903	4,870	3,986	3,668	3,748	3,831	3,916	28,174	47,323
Modify depreciation rules for purchases of general aviation passenger aircraft		48	159	260	345	460	511	434	346	286	208	1,272	3,057
Expand the definition of substantial built-in loss for purposes of partnership loss transfers		7	8	8	8	9	9	10	10	10	10	40	89
Extend partnership basis limitation rules to nondeductible expenditures		89	122	126	129	132	134	136	139	141	144	598	1,292
Deny deduction for punitive damages	i .	48	70	72	73	76	77	79	80	82	84	339	741
Conform corporate ownership standards	l .	1	16	31	32	33	34	35	36	38	40	113	296
Tax corporate distributions as dividends Repeal FICA tip credit		48 729	82 883	87 921	91 961	95 1,004	1,047	104 1,092	109 1,140	114 1,189	119 1,241	403 4,498	948 10,207
Repeal the excise tax credit for distilled spirits with flavor and wine additives ⁴		82	109	109	109	109	1,047	109	109	109	109	518	1,063
Total, other business revenue changes and loophole closers		9.983	18,245	18,191	17,435	15,920		13,949	14,432		15,117	79,774	
Total, elements of business tax reform	-17	33,438	61,418	59,832	55,925	54,543	54,969	56,357	56,225	57,647		265,156	
Transition to a reformed business tax system:													
Impose a 14-percent one-time tax on previously untaxed foreign income ¹		35,930	59,883	59,883	59,883	59,883	23,953					275,462	299,415
Middle-class and pro-work tax reforms:													
Reform child care tax incentives ²		-684	-3,539	-3,720		-4,081	-4,277	-4,459				-15,933	· ·
Simplify and better target tax benefits for education 2		-19	-4,518	-4,622	-4,561	-5,089	-5,375	-5,778				-18,809	-48,789
Expand the EITC for workers without qualifying children 2		-468	-6,255	-6,387	-6,495	-6,628	-6,756	-6,894	-7,028	-7,176	-7,322	-26,233	-61,409
Simplify the rules for claiming the EITC for workers without qualifying children ²		-41	-550	-540	-547	-560	-572	-587	-601	-615	-629	-2,238	-5,242
Provide a second-earner tax credit ²		-2,037	-8,926	-9,065	-9,160	-9,281	-9,429	-9,563	-9,703	-9,841	-10,016	-38,469	-87,021

Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

		((111 11111)	oris or doi	iais)				1				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Extend exclusion from income for cancellation of certain home mortgage debt		-2,467	-822									-3,289	-3,289
Total, middle-class and pro-work tax reforms		-2,467 -5,716	-022 -24,610	-24,334	-24,672	-25,639	-26,409	-27,281	-28,074	-29,106	-29,731	-3,269 -104,971	-3,269
Reforms to retirement and health benefit plans:		, ,	,-	,	,-	-,	,	, -	-,-	-,	-, -	, ,	-,-
Provide for automatic enrollment in IRAs, including a small employer tax credit, increase the tax credit for small employer plan start-up costs, and provide an additional tax credit for small employer plans newly offering auto-enrollment ²			-9 59	-1,556	-1,672	-1,722	-1,779	-1,885	-1,989	-2,119	-2,221	-5,909	-15,902
Expand penalty-free withdrawals for long-term unemployed		-226	-231	-235	-240	-245	-250	-255	-260	-265	-270	-1,177	_2,477
Require retirement plans to allow long-term part-time workers to participate		-46	-47	-49	-50	-51	-52	-53	-55	-56	-57	-243	_516
Facilitate annuity portability													
Simplify minimum required distribution rules		- 5	-6	-2	4	19	37	61	91	127	172	10	498
Allow all inherited plan and IRA balances to be rolled over within 60 days													
Permit unaffiliated employers to maintain a single multi- employer defined contribution plan		– 97	-137	-147	-155	-169	_181	–196	-209	-230	-246	- 705	_1,767
Enact changes to the military retirement reform enacted in the FY 2016 National Defense Authorization Act			-53	-85	-94	-110	_126	-144	-154	-169	-180	-342	-1,115
Improve the excise tax on high cost employer-sponsored health coverage					-66	–112	_138	-172	-209	-254	-314	– 178	-1,265
Extend CHIP through 2019 ²			846	4,622	1,002							6,470	6,470
Create State option to provide 12-month continuous Medicaid eligibility for adults ²		333	949	2,000	2,427	2,560	2,803	2.944	3,095	3,249	3,405	8,269	23,765
Standardize definition of American Indian and Alaska Native in the ACA ²		-30	-40	– 50	_,·_z· _50	_,550 _50	_50	_,o · · · _60	-60	-60	-70	-220	-520
Subtotal, reforms to retirement and health benefit plans		-71	322	4,498	1,106	120	264	240	250	223	219	5,975	7,171
Reforms to capital gains taxation, upper-income tax benefits, and the taxation of financial institutions:													
Reduce the value of certain tax expenditures		31,092	50,403	54,946	59,515	63,910	68,322	72,776	77,183	81,525	85,866	259,866	645,538
Reform the taxation of capital incomeImplement the Buffett Rule by imposing a new "Fair Share		14,757	24,669	20,639	22,015	23,211	23,426	24,696	25,976	27,254	28,565	105,291	235,208
Tax"		7,848	-62	1,317	3,102	4,035	4,136	4,170	4,240	4,334	4,388	16,240	37,508
Impose a financial fee		5,653	11,084	10,949	11,163	11,420	11,683	11,952	12,226	12,508	12,795	50,269	111,433
Total, reforms to capital gains taxation, upper-income tax benefits, and the taxation of financial institutions		59,350	86,094	87,851	95,795	102,576	107,567	113,594	119,625	125,621	131,614	431,666	1,029,687
Loophole closers:													
Require current inclusion in income of accrued market discount and limit the accrual amount for distressed		4	12	20	28	34	42	50	58	69	79	98	396
debt Require that the cost basis of stock that is a covered		4	12	20	20	34	42	50	36	09	19	30	390
security must be determined using an average cost			74	000	077	E20	604	CE7	604	710	744	1 010	4 645
basis method Tax carried (profits) interests as ordinary income		2,619	74 2,633	223 2,520	377 2,420	539 2,351	1,932	657 1,472	684 1,213	713 1,121	744 1,029	1,213 12,543	4,645 19,310
Require non-spouse beneficiaries of deceased IRA owners and retirement plan participants to take		,	,			,	,	,	,	,	,		ŕ
inherited distributions over no more than five years		111	285	471	660	853	891	841	780	718	654	2,380	6,264
Limit the total accrual of tax-favored retirement benefits Rationalize net investment income and SECA taxes		1,616 16,660	2,302 23,276	2,406 24,773	2,639 25,913	2,947 26,943	3,084 28,124	3,465 29,421	3,606 30,816	3,828 32,163	4,085	11,910 117,565	29,978 271,659
Limit Roth conversions to pre-tax dollars		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23,276	10	25,913	20,943	20,124	29,421	28	32,103	33,370 99	51	271,059
Eliminate deduction for dividends on stock of publicly- traded corporations held in ESOPs		702	945	962	978	995	1,011	1,028	1,044	1,062	1,079	4,582	9,806
Repeal exclusion of net unrealized appreciation in employer securities		16	27	28	13	4	4	-12	-23	-23	-24	88	10
Disallow the deduction for charitable contributions that are a prerequisite for purchasing tickets to college sporting					.5	,		,-					
events		150	237	255	272	290	308	327	348	369	391	1,204	2,947
Total, loophole closers		21,878	29,796	31,668	33,316	34,976	36,050	37,270	38,554	40,052	41,706	151,634	345,266
Modify estate and gift tax provisions:													

Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

			(0110 01 001	iaio)			-					
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Restore the estate, gift, and GST tax parameters in effect in 2009			15,717	17,102	18,415	20,027	21,695	23,660	25,815	28,303	31,020	71,261	201,754
Expand requirement of consistency in value for transfer and income tax purposes			142	143	169	174	185	198	211	228	243	628	1,693
Modify transfer tax rules for grantor retained annuity trusts (GRATs) and other grantor trusts			1,123	1,241	1,478	1,622	1,969	2,374	2,743	3,194	3,405	5,464	19,149
Limit duration of generation-skipping transfer (GST) tax exemption			.,,	,	,,	.,		_,_,	_,	-,	5,		
Extend the lien on estate tax deferrals where estate consists largely of interest in closely held business			24	25	26	27	28	29	31	34	36	102	260
Modify GST tax treatment of Health and Education Exclusion Trusts			-35	-33	-30	-29	–27	-26	-24	-23	-20	-127	-247
Simplify gift tax exclusion for annual gifts			84	160	259	336	413	453	548	657	770	839	3,680
Expand applicability of definition of executor			17.055	10.000				26,688		32,393	35,454	70.167	226,289
Total, modify estate and gift tax provisions			17,055	18,638	20,317	22,157	24,263	20,088	29,324	32,393	35,454	78,167	226,289
Other revenue raisers:		7 001	1 4 400	04 505	00.450	05 405	44.077	44 000	40.504	40.077	40.450	100 750	010.070
Impose an oil fee 4 Increase and modify Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund financing 4		7,221 94	14,439 133	21,505 135	28,450 138	35,135 138	41,377 139	41,989 141	42,521 143	42,977 144	43,456 147	106,750 638	1,352
Reinstate Superfund taxes 4		1,596	2,087	2,163	2,202	2,276	2,300	2,359	2,399	2,445	2,492	10,324	22,319
Increase tobacco taxes and index for inflation ⁴		9,982	12,910	12,715		12,329	11,880	11,436	10,877	10,399	9,902	60,655	115,149
Make unemployment insurance surtax permanent 4		1,172	1,604	1,624	1,645	1,667	1,690	1,712	1,737	1,762	1,789	7,712	16,402
Expand Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) base and reform FUTA credit reduction rules ⁴			3,128	3,185	3,923	4,303	5,424	6,802	6,068	6,346	7,113	14,539	46,292
Modernize the unemployment insurance program 4				514	468	415	429	410	560	585	604	1,397	3,985
Create a mandatory RESEA program ⁴ Levy a fee on the production of hardrock minerals to				-4	-24	-65	-168	-195	-216	-267	-293	-93	-1,232
restore abandoned mines		49	200	200 52	200 53	200 54	200	200	200	200	200	800 258	1,800 258
Total, other revenue raisers		20,114	34,551	42,089		56,452	63,271	64,854	64,289	64,591	65,410		525,395
Reduce the tax gap and make reforms:		20,	0 1,00 1	,000	.0,	00, 102	00,2.	0.,00.	0.,200	0 1,00 1	00,	_0_,000	020,000
Expand information reporting: Improve information reporting for certain businesses and contractors		15	36	60	82	85	89	93	97	102	106	278	765
Provide an exception to the limitation on disclosing tax return information to expand TIN matching beyond forms where payments are subject to backup withholding													
Provide for reciprocal reporting of information in connection with the implementation of FATCA													
Require Form W–2 reporting for employer contributions to defined contribution plans													
Subtotal, expand information reporting		15	36	60	82	85	89	93	97	102	106	278	765
Improve compliance by businesses: Increase certainty with respect to worker classification	5	93	451	871	1,038	1,127	1,220	1,321	1,428	1,544	1,668	3,580	10,761
Increase information sharing to administer excise taxes 4	3	35	9	13	1,030	1,127	17	17	18	1,344	1,000	56	145
Provide authority to readily share information about beneficial ownership information of U.S. companies		4		2	9	6	17	3	3	3	3		
with law enforcement	5	97	461	886	1,061	1,149	1,241	1,341	1,449	1,565	1,690	18 3,654	10,940
	3	31	401	000	1,001	1,143	1,241	1,041	1,443	1,505	1,090	3,034	10,340
Strengthen tax administration: Modify the conservation easement deduction and pilot a conservation credit		6	22	46	63	72	79	83	89	94	101	209	655
Impose liability on shareholders to collect unpaid income taxes of applicable corporations		395	423	442	461	481	502	524	546	570	595	2,202	4,939
Implement a program integrity statutory cap adjustment for tax administration		278	1,585	3,263	5,008	6,763	8,327	9,264	9,590	9,737	9,814		63,629
Revise offer-in-compromise application rules		1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	9	19
Make repeated willful failure to file a tax return a felony					1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	10

Table 12–2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Facilitate tax compliance with local jurisdictions		1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	17
Improve investigative disclosure statute					1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	10
Allow the IRS to absorb credit and debit card processing fees for certain tax payments		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	20
Provide the IRS with greater flexibility to address correctable errors ²		31	62	62	63	65	66	68	70	72	74	283	633
Enhance electronic filing of returns					1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	10
Improve the whistleblower program													
Index all civil tax penalties for inflation													
Combat tax-related identity theft													
Allow States to send notices of intent to offset Federal tax refunds to collect State tax obligations by regular first-class mail instead of certified mail													
Accelerate information return filing due dates ²		3	5	11	12	12	13	13	13	13	14	43	109
Increase oversight of paid tax return preparers 2		14	31	34	37	41	45	49	54	57	62	157	424
Enhance administrability of the appraiser penalty													
Enhance UI program integrity 4			-1	-7	-16	-29	-43	-60	-96	-61	-99	-53	-412
Request a program integrity cap adjustment for the			_	_		l		_		_	_		
RESEA program 4			-2	7	-10	-11	-10	_9	-9	<u>-7</u>	<u>-5</u>	-30	<u>-70</u>
Subtotal, strengthen tax administration		731	2,130	3,849	5,627	7,403		9,941	10,269	10,487	10,568	19,740	69,993
Total, reduce the tax gap and make reforms	5	843	2,627	4,795	6,770	8,637	10,318	11,375	11,815	12,154	12,364	23,672	81,698
Simplify the tax system: Modify adoption credit to allow tribal determination of special needs					_1	_1 _1	_1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	- 7
Repeal non-qualified preferred stock designation		33	55	55	53	50	46	41	36	32	29	246	430
Reform excise tax based on investment income of private foundations		_5	- 5	- 6	_6	_6	_6	-6	-7	-7	_7	-28	-61
Simplify arbitrage investment restrictions			-2	-10	_18	_28	-38	-46	-58	-68	-76	-58	-344
Simplify single-family housing mortgage bond targeting requirements			-1	-3	_5		-10	-12	-17	-20	-22	-16	– 97
Streamline private activity limits on governmental bonds			-1	-3	-5	-7	-9	-11	-13	-15	-17	-16	-81
Repeal technical terminations of partnerships		13	19	21	23	25	1	29	30	32	33	101	252
Repeal anti-churning rules of section 197		-24	-99	-198	-281	-338	-370	-378	-378	-378	-378	-940	-2,822
Repeal special estimated tax payment provision for certain insurance companies													
Repeal the telephone excise tax 4		-368	-327	-287	-248	-209	-170	-132	-94	– 57	-44	-1,439	-1,936
Increase the standard mileage rate for automobile use by volunteers		-20	-62	-65	_68	_69	_71	– 72	-74	– 76	– 79	-284	-656
Consolidate contribution limitations for charitable deductions and extend the carryforward period for excess charitable contribution deduction amounts			-93	-51		_6	-6	-491	-1,188	-1,830	-2,416	-156	-6,087
Exclude from gross income subsidies from public utilities for purchase of water runoff management													
Provide relief for certain accidental dual citizens		-63	-108	-58	-23	-25	-26	-28	-29	-30	-32	-277	-422
Total, simplify the tax system		-434	-624	-605	-585	-621	-634	-1,107	-1,793	-2,418	-3,010	-2,869	-11,831
User fees:													
Reform inland waterways funding ⁴		3	78	118	156	156	156	156	156	155	155	511	1,289
Reauthorize special assessment on domestic nuclear		3	70	110	130	130	130	130	130	133	133	311	1,203
utilities		208	212	217	222	227	232	237	243	248	254	1,086	2,300
Establish user fee for Electronic Visa Update System		31	25	27	31	27	31	29	34	24	28	141	287
Total, user fees		242	315	362	409	410	419	422	433	427	437	1,738	3,876
Trade initiatives:													
Enact the Trans-Pacific Partnership Trade Agreement 4			-1,690	-2,343	-2,586	-2,858	-3,147	-3,445	-3,724	-4,003	-4,318	-9,477	-28,114
Other initiatives:													
Allow offset of Federal income tax refunds to collect delinquent State income taxes for out-of-state residents													
Improve disclosure for child support enforcement													

Table 12-2. EFFECT OF BUDGET PROPOSALS—Continued

(In millions of dollars)

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Authorize the limited sharing of business tax return information to improve the accuracy of important measures of the economy													
Eliminate certain reviews conducted by the U.S. Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA)													
Modify indexing to prevent deflationary adjustments													
Total, other initiatives													
Enact comprehensive immigration reform		1,000	7,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	45,000	55,000	64,000	74,000	84,000	98,000	420,000
Total, effect of budget proposals	-12	166,574	272,137	302,334	325,452	350,636	335,884	333,967	350,924	371,581	393,104	1,417,133	3,202,593

¹ The Administration believes that this proposal should be enacted in the context of comprehensive business tax reform. However, the proposal generates one-time transition revenue in the short run, which is shown in the "Transition to a reformed business tax system" category.

² This proposal affects both receipts and outlays for refundable tax credits. Both effects are shown above. The outlay effects included in these estimates are listed:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2017- 2021	2017- 2026
Expand and simplify the tax credit provided to qualified small employers for non-elective contributions to employee health insurance		21	23	19	17	12	14	10	7	4	2	92	129
Designate Promise Zones		27	29	29	31	31	33	35	37	37	39	147	328
Modify and permanently extend renewable electricity production tax credit and investment tax credit		58	155	281	453	695	973	1,300	1,695	2,117	2,629	1,642	10,356
Provide a carbon dioxide investment and sequestration tax credit						142	280	123	338	226		142	1,109
Provide America Fast Forward Bonds and expand eligible uses		288	1,306	2,803	4,377	6,022	7,714	9,435	11,176	12,935	14,709	14,796	70,765
Reform child care tax incentives			962	1,009	1,051	1,091	1,147	1,182	1,227	1,264	1,268	4,113	10,201
Simplify and better target tax benefits for education			4,377	4,521	4,479	4,663	5,079	5,255	5,679	5,870	5,833	18,040	45,756
Expand the EITC for workers without qualifying children		273	5,468	5,577	5,677	5,796	5,906	6,020	6,134	6,262	6,383	22,791	53,496
Simplify the rules for claiming the EITC for workers without qualifying children		24	484	475	481	492	503	516	528	541	553	1,956	4,597
Provide a second-earner tax credit			739	735	735	740	754	758	760	759	754	2,949	6,734
Provide for automatic enrollment in IRAs, including a small employer tax credit, increase the tax credit for small employer plan start-up costs, and provide an additional tax credit for small employer plans newly offering auto-enrollment			126	198	203	207	215	222	228	230	236	734	1,865
Extend CHIP through 2019			-780	-4,168	-474							-5,422	-5,422
Create State option to provide 12-month continuous Medicaid eligibility for adults		-333	-912	-1,923	-2,269	-2,395	-2,629	-2,763	-2,904	-3,049	-3,196	-7,832	-22,373
Standardize definition of American Indian and Alaska Native in the ACA		30	40	50	50	50	50	60	60	60	70	220	520
Provide the IRS with greater flexibility to address correctable errors		-26	-53	-52	-53	-54	-55	-56	-58	-59	-61	-238	-527
Accelerate information return filing due dates		-1	-3	-6	- 7	-7	-8	-8	-8	-8	-8	-24	-64
Increase oversight of tax return preparers		-2	-14	-15	-16	-18	-19	-21	-23	-24	-26	-65	-178
Total, outlay effects of budget proposals		359	11,947	9,533	14,735	17,467	19,957	22,068	24,876	27,165	29,185	54,041	177,292

³ This provision is estimated to have zero receipt effect under the Administration's current economic projections.

⁴ Net of income offsets.

Table 12-3. RECEIPTS BY SOURCE

0	2015						Estimate					
Source	Actual	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Individual income taxes:												
Federal funds	1,540,802	1,627,824	1,724,055	1,793,016	1,878,054	1,987,644	2,094,996	2,205,155	2,318,828	2,436,572	2,559,406	2,688,326
Legislative proposal, not	, ,	, ,										
subject to PAYGO Legislative proposal, subject to			278	1,585	3,263	5,011	6,770	8,341	9,279	9,608	9,756	9,836
PAYGO		10	63,640	96,693	103,650	113,598	120,161	125,627	132,585	139,744	147,212	155,195
Total, Individual income taxes	1,540,802	1,627,834	1,787,973	1,891,294	1,984,967	2,106,253	2,221,927	2,339,123	2,460,692	2,585,924	2,716,374	2,853,357
Corporation income taxes:												
Federal funds:												
Federal funds	343,797	292,593	342,676	364,027	400,701	453,989	461,255	466,836	470,900	478,017	485,759	494,534
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO		-32	75,138	127,581	123,288	119,465	119,780	85,935	64,689	66,453	69,165	71,791
Total, Federal funds	343,797	292,561	417,814	491,608	523,989	573,454	581,035	552,771	535,589	544,470	554,924	566,325
Trust funds:												
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			920	1,175	1,242	1,273	1,340	1,354	1,402	1,436	1,473	1,507
Total, Corporation income taxes	343,797	292,561	418,734	492,783	525,231	574,727	582,375	554,125	536,991	545,906	556,397	567,832
Social insurance and retirement												
receipts (trust funds): Employment and general												
retirement:												
Old-age survivors insurance (off- budget)	658,543	655,143	668,748	698,776	757,783	798,229	840,156	881,186	919,264	963,058	1,005,667	1,056,426
Legislative proposal, not subject to PAYGO					3	7	14	29	33	41	42	50
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO		2	86	-529	-926	-1,651	-2,244	-2,836	-2,896	-2,678	-2,722	-2,968
Disability insurance (off-budget)	111,829	142,512	158,019	165,114	141,506	135,548	142,668	149,635	156,101	163,538	170,774	179,393
Legislative proposal, not subject to PAYGO						1	2	5	6	7	7	9
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			15	-90	-157	-280	-380	–481	-491	-454	_462	-504
Hospital Insurance	234,189	243,538	253,293	264,355	275,936	287,008	302,270	317,204	331,173	347,008	362,486	380,932
Legislative proposal, not	ŕ	,	ŕ	,	,					·	·	·
subject to PAYGO Legislative proposal, subject to						1	3	7	8	11	12	14
PAYGO		8	506	1,048	1,578	1,613	1,561	1,507	1,611	1,796	1,932	2,056
Railroad retirement:												
Social security equivalent account	2,530	2,523	2,558	2,625	2,694	2,769	2,846	2,926	3,008	3,092	3,170	3,253
Rail pension & supplemental annuity	3,336	3,380	3,416	3,500	3,587	3,683	3,782	3,884	3,989	4,098	4,201	4,502
Total, Employment and general retirement	1,010,427	1,047,106	1,086,641	1,134,799	1,182,004	1,226,928	1,290,678	1,353,066	1,411,806	1,479,517	1,545,107	1,623,163
On-budget	(240,055)	(249,449)	(259,773)	(271,528)	(283,795)	(295,074)	(310,462)	(325,528)	(339,789)	(356,005)	(371,801)	(390,757)
Off-budget	(770,372)	(797,657)	(826,868)	(863,271)	(898,209)	(931,854)	(980,216)	(1,027,538)	(1,072,017)	(1,123,512)	(1,173,306)	(1,232,406)
Unemployment insurance:												
Deposits by States ¹	42,177	41,354	40,570	39,690	39,881	40,494	41,266	41,732	42,837	43,149	44,139	45,301
Legislative proposal, not subject to PAYGO				-3	-19	-59	-126	-269	-316	-382	-405	-475
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			7	3,940	4,546	4,101	4,437	4,645	4,868	5,139	4,912	5,364
Federal unemployment receipts ¹	8,926	8,399	8,113	6,020	6,096	6,176	6,259	6,343	6,431	6,523	6,618	6,716
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			1,466	2,010	2,170	3,513	3,614	4,855	6,358	5,382	6,030	6,588
Railroad unemployment receipts ¹	75	121	1,400	149	157	139		4,655	137	153	145	· ·
Total, Unemployment insurance	51,178	49,874	50,290	51,806	52,831	54,364		57,418	60,315	59,964	61,439	
Other retirement:												
Federal employees retirement- employee share	3,629	3,794	4,254	4,510	4,822	5,171	5,556	5,977	6,426	6,904	7,405	7,889

Table 12-3. RECEIPTS BY SOURCE—Continued

	2015					<u> </u>	Estimate					
Source	Actual	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Non-Federal employees retirement 2	23	22	21	20	19	18	16	15	15	14	13	12
Total, Other retirement	3,652	3,816	4,275	4,530	4,841	5,189	5,572	5,992	6,441	6,918	7,418	7,901
Total. Social insurance and retirement	0,002	0,010	1,270	1,000	1,011	0,100	0,072	0,002	0,111	0,010	7,110	7,001
receipts (trust funds)	1,065,257	1,100,796	1,141,206	1,191,135	1,239,676	1,286,481	1,351,811	1,416,476	1,478,562	1,546,399	1,613,964	1,694,690
On-budget	(294,885)	(303,139)	(314,338)	(327,864)	(341,467)	(354,627)	(371,595)	(388,938)	(406,545)	(422,887)	(440,658)	(462,284)
Off-budget	(770,372)	(797,657)	(826,868)	(863,271)	(898,209)	(931,854)	(980,216)	(1,027,538)	(1,072,017)	(1,123,512)	(1,173,306)	(1,232,406)
Excise taxes:												
Federal funds:												
Alcohol	9,639	9.583	9,707	9,783	9,875	9,951	10,035	10,112	10,186	10,258	10,322	10,380
Legislative proposal, subject to	3,003	3,300	3,707	3,700	3,073	0,001	10,000	10,112	10,100	10,230	10,022	10,000
PAYGO			109	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146
Tobacco	14,453	14,368	14,252	14,136	14,019	13,903	13,787	13,671	13,554	13,438	13,322	13,205
Legislative proposal, subject to												
PAYGO			13,309	17,212	16,955	16,959	16,438	15,839	15,249	14,505	13,865	13,203
Transportation fuels	-3,394	-3,462	-3,383	-958	-957	-955	-956	-959	-962	-966	-966	-969
Telephone and teletype services	607	545	490	436	383	330	278	227	176	126	76	59
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			-490	-436	-383	–330	–278	-227	-176	-126	– 76	-59
High-cost health insurance						1,349	4,955	6,585	8,524	10,715	13,362	16,613
coverageLegislative proposal, subject to								,				
PAYGO						-27	-48	-60	-75	-91	-113	-143
Health insurance providers	11,261	11,295	7	14,281	15,065	15,861	16,700	17,573	18,491	19,461	20,479	21,551
Indoor tanning services	85	85	86	86	87	88	88	88	89	90	90	90
Medical devices	1,987	610	-10	1,601	2,371	2,537	2,704	2,886	3,060	3,243	3,432	3,629
Other Federal fund excise taxes	3,121	2,605	2,577	2,539	2,581	2,637	2,710	2,792	2,875	2,965	3,050	3,144
Legislative proposal, subject to												
PAYGO			3,175	4,493	5,961	7,238	8,396	9,305	9,218	8,577	7,769	7,569
Total, Federal funds Trust funds:	37,759	35,629	39,829	63,319	66,103	69,687	74,955	77,978	80,355	82,341	84,758	88,418
Transportation	40,813	41,323	41,068	40,988	40,868	40,773	40,755	40,814	40,805	40,824	40,861	40,966
Legislative proposal, subject to	·											
PAYGO			6,454	14,767	22,723	30,713	38,470	45,884	46,787	48,137	49,554	50,392
Airport and airway	14,268	14,351	15,063	15,639	16,123	16,779	17,319	17,620	18,001	18,347	18,907	19,392
Sport fish restoration and boating safety	574	542	545	548	551	554	558	562	565	569	572	576
Tobacco assessments	49											
Black lung disability insurance	552	525	530	539	340	227	213	208	202	194	194	199
Inland waterway	98	107	106	105	104	103	102	101	100	100	99	98
Legislative proposal, subject to												
PAYGO			3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
Hazardous substance superfund (Legislative proposal subject to												
PAYGO)			902	1,216	1,227	1,239	1,249	1,261	1,275	1,285	1,297	1,312
Oil spill liability	496	530	585	607	611	616	617	618	622	623	621	624
Legislative proposal, subject to				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •						, , ,	
PAYGO			127	178	180	183	183	187	189	191	192	195
Vaccine injury compensation	275	311	318	325	334	343	349	357	366	376	385	396
Leaking underground storage tank	179	212	211	209	208	205	206	205	204	201	201	199
Supplementary medical insurance	2,991	2,969	3,980	4,098	2,826	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
Patient-centered outcomes									. <u>.</u> .			
research	225	322	339	356	377	399	423	447	471	496	523	552
Total, Trust funds	60,520	61,192	70,231	79,578	86,475	94,937	103,247	111,067	112,390	114,146	116,208	117,703
Total, Excise taxes	98,279	96,821	110,060	142,897	152,578	164,624	178,202	189,045	192,745	196,487	200,966	206,121
Estate and gift taxes:												
Federal funds	19,232	21,094	22,399	23,730	25,073	26,421	28,079	29,686	31,493	33,492	35,613	37,869
i odorar rando	10,202	21,004	22,000	20,700	20,010	20,721	20,013	20,000	01,700	00,702	00,010	07,000

Table 12-3. RECEIPTS BY SOURCE—Continued

•	2015						Estimate					
Source	Actual	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO				7,787	8,941	10,258	11,756	13,360	15,254	17,403	19,867	22,329
Total, Estate and gift taxes	19,232	21,094	22,399	31,517	34,014	36,679	39,835	43,046	46,747	50,895	55,480	60,198
Customs duties and fees:												
Federal funds:												
Federal funds	33,527	35,083	37,779	40,310	42,180	43,781	45,541	47,217	48,871	50,361	51,829	53,588
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO				-2,253	-3,124	-3,448	-3,811	-4,196	-4,593	-4,966	-5,337	-5,758
Total, Federal funds	33,527	35,083	37,779	38,057	39,056	40,333	41,730	43,021	44,278	45,395	46,492	47,830
Trust funds:												
Trust funds	1,514	1,638	1,758	1,853	1,935	2,019	2,108	2,187	2,270	2,343	2,422	2,518
Total, Customs duties and fees	35,041	36,721	39,537	39,910	40,991	42,352	43,838	45,208	46,548	47,738	48,914	50,348
Miscellaneous receipts:												
Federal funds:												
Miscellaneous taxes	528	536	535	526	526	526	525	525	525	525	525	525
Deposit of earnings, Federal Reserve System	96,468	116,445	64,818	44,492	37,878	41,598	47,924	54,717	60,314	64,870	69,366	74,423
Transfers from the Federal												
Reserve	485	565	636	649	663	677	691	706	720	736	751	767
Fees for permits and regulatory and judicial services	25,349	24,446	23,957	21,602	23,588	24,739	26,309	28,120	28,571	29,991	29,677	30,376
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO			288	487	496	506	508	463	466	477	472	482
Fines, penalties, and forfeitures	23,236	16,190	30,766	32,348	32,389	34,392	35,850	37,454	39,093	40,674	42,364	44,199
Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO				_1		1	6	4	3	3	3	3
Refunds and recoveries	-34	-35	-35	_35	-35	-35	_35	-35	_35	-35	_35	-35
Total, Federal funds	146,032	158,147	120,965	100.068	95,494	102,404	111,778	121,954	129,657	137,241	143,123	150,740
Trust funds:		,	,					,	,	,		,
United Mine Workers of America, combined benefit fund	25	23	21	19	18	16	15	11	10	9	8	7
Defense cooperation	330	249	353	531	534	536	539	140	142	145	148	151
Inland waterways (Legislative proposal, subject to PAYGO)				75	115	153	153	153	153	153	153	153
Fines, penalties, and forfeitures	1,091	1,256	1,494	1,396	1,436	1,476	1,517	1,567	1,606	1,622	1,661	1,702
Total, Trust funds	1,446	1,528	1,868	2.021	2,103	2,181	2.224	1,871	1,911	1.929	1,970	2,013
Total, Miscellaneous receipts	147,478	159,675	122,833	102,089	97,597	104,585	114,002	123,825	131,568	139,170	145,093	152,753
Allowance for immigration reform			1,000	7,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	45,000	55,000	64,000	74,000	84,000
Total, budget receipts	3,249,886		3,643,742	3,898,625		 	-,	4,755,848			5,411,188	
, ,	, ,	(2,537,845)			(3,196,845)		(3,591,774)	, ,				, ,
Off-budget	, , ,	(797,657)	(826,868)			, , ,	, ,	, , ,		(1,123,512)	, ,	
1D the box Obstacles and the box of the sent	(1)		,,		, , , , , , , , ,				10			

Deposits by States cover the benefit part of the program. Federal unemployment receipts cover administrative costs at both the Federal and State levels. Railroad unemployment receipts cover both the benefits and administrative costs of the program for the railroads.

2 Represents employer and employee contributions to the civil service retirement and disability fund for covered employees of Government-sponsored, privately owned enterprises and the District of Columbia municipal government.